A systematic review and meta-analysis on transcranial Doppler in diagnosing ischemic cerebrovascular disease
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Background: The incidence of ischemic cerebrovascular disease (ICVD) has been increasing, leading to disability and deaths among middle-aged and elderly people. Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) is the gold standard for diagnosing ICVD, but it is invasive, expensive, and complex to operate. Transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasound is characterized by high accuracy, simplicity, and low cost, and thus became the focus of this study.

Methods: The databases of PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and The Cochrane Library were searched from January 2000 to September 2020, for literature involving the use of TCD to diagnose ICVD. The software RevMan 5.3 was used for quality assessment, and forest plots and summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curves were drawn. The software STATA12.0 was adopted for publication bias analysis.

Results: A total of 11 references were included, and the combined sensitivity, specificity, and 95% confidence interval (CI) of TCD were 0.93 (0.75 to 1.00) and 0.95 (0.78 to 1.00), respectively. The area under the curve (AUC) of SROC was 0.887.

Discussion: Superb capabilities in diagnosing ICVD have been demonstrated by TCD, and it should therefore be applied in the clinic. The results are important to realize early diagnosis of ICVD and improve the prognosis of patients.
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Introduction

Statistics have shown that the mortality rate of ischemic cerebrovascular disease (ICVD) is approximately 20%, and about 55% experience varying degrees of disability (1,2). The incidence of vascular cerebrovascular disease (ICVD) is basically around one in 100,000. In some areas it is even higher, as high as 7 per 100,000. Early diagnosis of ICVD is required to improve prognosis and reduce the mortality rate. Intracranial artery stenosis arises from atherosclerotic plaque, vasospasm, vasculitis, and so on (3,4). Atherosclerosis is also an important causative factor of ischemic stroke and emergency vascular occlusion (5). Correct diagnosis is a prerequisite for stratifying disease risks, formulating treatment plans, and reducing treatment risks.

Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) is a new X-ray imaging system, which combines conventional angiography...
with computer image processing. Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) is the gold standard for the diagnosis of ICVD (6); however, it is invasive, costly, and there are certain risks in its clinical application, so it has not been clinically popularized. In addition, computed tomography angiography (CTA), magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), and transcranial Doppler (TCD) are also widely used in the clinical diagnosis of cerebrovascular diseases (7,8). Among them, TCD is relatively cheap, non-invasive, and easy to operate. It can determine the timing of reperfusion by continuously monitoring micro-embolic signals, so it is widely used in the diagnosis of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (9). Studies have shown that the diagnostic rate of TCD for cerebrovascular diseases is as high as 80% (10). However, few studies have systematically evaluated its performance in diagnosing ICVD.

Based on this, we collected studies conducted in China and internationally on the use of TCD to diagnose ICVD, and conducted a meta-analysis, aiming to determine the value of TCD in the diagnosis of ICVD, expecting to provide a reference for lifting its clinical diagnosis rate.

We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1759).

Methods

Literature retrieval


Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The studies were selected according to the following inclusion criteria: (I) published international and Chinese literature on applying TCD in the diagnosis of ICVD; (II) diagnostic efficiency data could be obtained directly or indirectly; (III) literature containing at least 10 samples; and (IV) with DSA, CTA, or MRA as the diagnostic gold standard.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) literature with duplicate data; (II) review, conference report, experience lecture, individual case report, and commentary research; (III) literature irrelevant to the subject of this research; (IV) literature with unclear diagnostic criteria; and (V) unclear reporting of outcome indicators.

Literature quality assessment

The software RevMan 5.3 (Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) and the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS) were used by 2 researchers to evaluate the quality of included literature. Any inconsistencies were resolved through discussion or arbitration by a third expert.

The QUADAS contains a total of 16 items judged using “Yes”, “No” and “Unclear”, where “Yes” means conforming to the standard, “No” means non-compliance with the standard, and “Unclear” is selected when the information is not comprehensive or partially meets the standard. Using RevMan 5.3 to evaluate the quality of literature involves quality assessment via the following aspects: (I) whether it is a randomized controlled trial (RCT); (II) whether an allocation concealment is used; (III) whether a blind method is used; (IV) whether the data is complete; (V) the presence of selective reporting; and (VI) whether there are other biases.

Data extraction

The following data was collated: (I) first author; (II) year of publication; (III) research type; (IV) gold standard; and (V) diagnosis results. The diagnosis results had to include the number of true positives (TP), number of false positives (FP), number of false negatives (FN), and number of true negatives (TN).

Statistics

The software RevMan 5.3 was used to analyze the risk bias of the included literature. The summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve was used for diagnostic analysis. When the model was consistent, the SROC curve showed a shoulder-shape distribution, or the sensitivity and specificity were negatively correlated, and P<0.05. Analysis of variance was conducted to check the consistency of the results, and α=0.1. When I²<50% and P>0.05, it was considered that there was no heterogeneity in the study, so the fixed effects model was used for statistical analysis; when I²>50% and P<0.05, it was considered that the study was heterogeneous, and the random effects model was used for statistical analysis. After the corresponding SROC curve was drawn, the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated.
to determine the diagnostic value. An AUC of 0.5–0.7 was considered a low diagnosis rate; an AUC of 0.7–0.9 was considered a medium diagnosis rate; and an AUC of 0.9–1.0 was considered a high diagnostic rate. The Deek funnel chart in Stata 12.0 (StataCorp., College Station, TX, USA) was used to analyze the publication bias of the included literature, and P<0.05 was the threshold for significance.

**Results**

**The basic information of the included literature**

Initially, a total of 2,896 references were identified. After exclusion of duplicates, 2,351 remained. After reading the abstract and title, 268 references were retained. After further reading of the full text, 11 references were finally included (11-21). The literature retrieval process is depicted in **Figure 1**, and the basic information of the included literature is shown in **Table 1**.

### Bias risk assessment

First, the RevMan software (version 5.3) provided by the Cochrane System was used to evaluate the quality of the included literature. As shown in **Figures 2 and 3**, “Patient...
selection” in the study by Bar et al. showed high risk bias and “unclear” applicability concerns (11). The “Patient selection” showed high risk bias in the studies of Hou et al. and Karmel et al. (15,16). The “Patient selection” and “Reference standard” in the study by Panebianco et al. (18) both showed high applicability concerns. The “Patient selection” of the study by Sharma et al. showed high applicability concerns (20). Overall, the 11 included studies showed low risk bias and low applicability concerns, indicating that they met the analysis requirements.

The QUADAS tool was used to evaluate the quality of included literature, and the results are shown in Table 2. It was noted that the 11 references included in the study all showed low risk bias, meeting the subsequent analysis requirements.

Meta-analysis results

As shown in Figure 4, the estimated sensitivity of TCD in diagnosing ICVD was 0.73 to 1.00, and the specificity was
The bivariate model results are shown in Figure 5. It was noted that the combined estimated sensitivity of different studies was 0.93 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.75 to 1.00], and the combined estimated specificity was 0.95 (95% CI: 0.78 to 1.00). The AUC under the SROC was 0.887.

The Deek funnel chart was drawn using the software Stata12.0 to analyze publication bias of the included literature, and the results are shown in Figure 6. It was noted that the included studies were evenly distributed on both sides of the regression line, indicating that there was no obvious publication bias in the included literature (P=0.366).

Discussion

Cerebrovascular disease is the third highest cause of human deaths, among which the ICVD is the most threatening (22). Patients with ICVD have an increased risk of paralysis, and are prone to cerebral perfusion, and vasculature or cerebrovascular accidents (23). Statistics show that about 85% of CVD patients present ischemic features, that is, interruption of blood flow to different areas of the brain (24). As per the Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) classification standard, ICVD can be divided into 4 categories, namely, macrovascular atherosclerosis, lacunar infarction, cardiac embolic stroke, and cryptogenic stroke (25). Studies have
shown that when a patient develops ICVD, about 2 million neurons die every minute (26). Early diagnosis and timely treatment are necessary to improve the treatment effects and raise the quality of life of patients.

Imaging by CT has gradually been promoted in the diagnosis of cerebrovascular diseases, such as cranial CT imaging, CTA, and DSA (27,28). Among them, DSA is the gold standard for the diagnosis of ICVD, but it is invasive and difficult to operate, so its clinical application is limited (29). Studies have confirmed that CTA imaging can reveal the shape of blood vessels using contrast agents, and thus, is used in the diagnosis of occlusion or stenosis (30,31).

Transcranial Doppler ultrasound imaging technology is a non-invasive inspection method, which can reduce the risk of patients being irradiated. At the same time, transcranial Doppler ultrasound imaging has the advantages of low price, simple operation and superior detection effect (32). Today, transcranial Doppler ultrasound imaging can be used to diagnose cerebral vascular stenosis, occlusion, and spasm (33). And studies have confirmed that the disease has high sensitivity for the diagnosis of cerebrovascular stenosis and occlusion, and the diagnostic specificity can be as high as 80% or more (34). In the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke, transcranial Doppler ultrasound is an accurate and low-cost diagnostic method, which is widely popular in clinical practice. Studies have shown that compared with CTA detection, the sensitivity and specificity of transcranial Doppler ultrasound for diagnosing arterial occlusion in patients with ischemic stroke are more than 90% (35,36).

In this study, meta-analysis was conducted to systematically evaluate the value of TCD in diagnosing ICVD. The results showed that the sensitivity and specificity of TCD were 0.93 and 0.95, respectively, and the AUC was 0.887. The AUC of the SROC curve was the index used to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of a method. The ordinate and abscissa were sensitivity and specificity, respectively, and the diagnostic accuracy increased with the increase of AUC (37), that is, an AUC closer to 1 suggested a higher diagnostic accuracy. When the AUC was greater than 0.9, it was considered high accuracy (38). However, the AUC of the SROC of TCD in diagnosing ICVD was only 0.887, indicating a moderate accuracy rate. This may have arisen from subjective factors, such as the doctor’s manipulation, image interpretation experience, and other factors such as hemodynamic changes. Therefore, it is necessary to combine a variety of techniques in the diagnosis of ICVD to lift the diagnosis rate. Limitation of TCD in the diagnosis of ICVD: (I) the inspection skill level of the operator is very high. (II) Due to the anatomical structure and thickness of the skull, 10% of the patients can’t be detected through the glume and occipital window, which is more common for the elderly and women, so the blood flow signal of some vessels may not be obtained. (III) Cerebrovascular activity is affected by a variety of factors (PaCo₂, PaO₂, pH, blood pressure, and self-regulation of the cerebrovascular), and some people have physiological variation of the cerebrovascular, which can affect the detection results. (IV) There is still a lot of work to be done to control some diseases, or to study TCD signals with...
other means of detection. In addition, accurate noninvasive monitoring with TCD is not possible, and TCD examination, unlike CT and MRI, does not provide direct imaging findings. Despite these limitations, TCD remains the only available non-invasive method for detecting changes in cerebral hemodynamics.

Conclusions

To systematically evaluate the role of TCD in the diagnosis of ICVD, a total of 11 references were included in this meta-analysis. It was found that the sensitivity and specificity of TCD 0.93 (95% CI: 0.75 to 1.00) and 0.95 (95% CI: 0.78 to 1.00), but the AUC of the SROC curve was 0.887, indicating moderate efficiency. However, some limitations should be noted. The number of included references was small, and the effects of TCD combined with other imaging techniques were not discussed. In the follow-up, an expanded size of references is needed, and their quality should be controlled in accordance with the Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (STARD). In conclusion, the results of this study provide a theoretical basis for the application of TCD ultrasound in the diagnosis of ICVD.
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