Factors influencing vitamin D levels in women attending the fertility clinic and the effect on assisted fertility outcomes
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Background: Several factors affect vitamin D levels, such as sunshine, region, diet, obesity and so on. The primary objective of this study is to determine the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency (VDD) among infertile women in China and the contribution of VDD to the risk of infertility in women.

Methods: This single-center, retrospective case-control study included 2,456 infertile women. We investigated the vitamin D levels in all patients in the different seasons and across different ages. The clinical data of 411 patients who were in the assisted fertilization programs [in vitro fertilization (IVF) and ICSI] were also analyzed, as well as the correlation between vitamin D status and IVF clinical outcome.

Results: There were significant differences in 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentrations in different seasons (P<0.01). The proportions of severe VDD in spring, winter, summer, and autumn were 18.5%, 18.6%, 7.8%, and 8.8%, respectively. The normal levels of 25(OH)D concentration (50–74.9 nmol/L) in summer, autumn, spring, and winter were 28.5%, 26.4%, 13.5%, and 18.3%, respectively. The 25(OH)D concentrations in 3 months (July, August, and September) had the highest levels, with levels over 40 nmol/L in these months. Compared with winter, the risk of severe VDD was lower in summer and autumn (P<0.01). Serum 25(OH)D concentration significantly correlated with female infertility.

Conclusions: Inference from these results shows that vitamin D may minimize the risk of female infertility and may be related to the seasons and age.
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Introduction

Female infertility is a critical issue of global reproductive health, and the prevalence rate among child-seeking women is reported to be 9.3–16.7% (1). There are many etiologies of infertility in women, including abnormal ovulation, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), fallopian tube problems, endometriosis (5–10%) and unexplained causes (2). Infertility can result in depression, marital discordance, and family conflict, among other factors.

Vitamin D, a steroidal derivative, is also known as an anti-rickets vitamin. It is considered a steroid hormone, and the most important members of the vitamin D family are VD2 (ergocalciferol) and VD3 (cholecalciferol). Vitamin D is derived from these different members after ultraviolet irradiation (3). Plants lack vitamin D but pro-vitamin D is constituted in both animals and plants. Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin, consisting of 5 compounds. Vitamin D2
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and vitamin D3 are the most important for human health (4). The 7-dehydrogenated cholesterol that is produced from cholesterol is stored under the skin of the human body and can be converted into vitamin D3 after being exposed to ultraviolet radiation. Adequate sunbathing has been thought to be sufficient to cater for the body's need for vitamin D (5). 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) is the main form of vitamin D in the human body. Vitamin D is converted into 25(OH)D by hydroxylation in the liver, and then into the active 1, 25-dihydroxy vitamin D in the kidney (6). Serum levels of 25(OH)D can reflect vitamin D storage levels and are associated with certain clinical symptoms of vitamin D deficiency (VDD) (3).

The fundamental roles of vitamin D are related to calcium metabolism and bone structure, as well as steroid hormones, and are well-known to most people (7). More and more evidence shows that vitamin D can regulate female reproductive processes. Biologically, the functions of vitamin D are mainly mediated by the vitamin D receptor (VDR) (8), which is expressed in many reproductive tissues, they include ovaries, uterus, testes and placenta (9). So scientists are paying close attention to the potential of vitamin D in preventing female infertility (4,10).

In humans, some related articles have studied the relationship between serum 25(OH)D concentration in assisted reproductive technology and pregnancy rates (11,12). Previous studies have shown that women with high serum vitamin D concentrations have a higher chance of getting pregnant, while VDD may affect the pregnancy rate of infertile women undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (13,14). However, the factors that affect the concentration of vitamin D in preventing infertility remain to be explored.

In this study, we investigated the correlations between vitamin D and infertility. Specifically, the present study looked at the relationship between vitamin D and female infertility among patients attending fertility clinics. Serum vitamin D was measured and associations were determined in relation to other fertility factors. We also looked at the effect of seasons on serum vitamin D levels. VDD, having been reported as one of the risk factors for female infertility, is estimated by serum 25(OH)D concentration levels. However, our results showed no direct effect of VDD in women in the fertility clinic. Also, this study reported on the significant effects of seasons and ages on serum levels in women in the fertility clinic. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1511).

Methods

Research object

This study used a case-control method and was based on assessing the relationship between vitamin D and infertility in Chinese women. This study included women who went to the Fertility Clinic of the Affiliated Wuxi Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital of Nanjing Medical University for treatment of infertility from 2013 to 2019.

Except for infertility, none of the selected patients had a history or cure of serious underlying diseases such as cancer, cerebrovascular disease, trauma, liver, kidney, heart, respiratory system disease, chromosomal abnormality, etc. According to the standards of the World Health Organization, infertility is defined as not being pregnant or pregnant after unprotected intercourse for more than 12 months, regardless of whether it is eventually pregnant or not (15).

None of the participants had undergone hysterectomy at the time of recruitment, and each participant’s written informed consent was obtained. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Wuxi Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

Data collection

Trained interviewers conducted face-to-face interviews with participants using standardized questionnaires to collect a range of information, including demographic factors (age, occupation, education, and family income), menstrual and reproductive history (age, menarche, pregnancy history, menopausal status and gynecological surgery), vitamin D supplementation within 30 d, sun exposure, family history and lifestyle behaviors (including current drinking and smoking), etc. Medical information such as anthropometric variables (height and weight), contraceptive history, and gynecological examinations are also obtained from the patient’s medical records.

Serum 25(OH)D measurements

Take 10 mL blood samples from each participant, centrifuge to separate serum and plasma, and store at −80 °C until analysis. Serum 25(OH)D was measured by radioimmunoassay.
Table 1 Characteristics of serum 25(OH)D, age groups, and infertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D (nmol/L)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>IQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>29.2–49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>29.0–47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>29.7–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A history of infertility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary infertility</td>
<td>2,251</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>29.3–48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary infertility</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>29.0–51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,456</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>29.3–48.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(RIA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (25(OH) total vitamin D-RIA-CT, DIA source Immuno Assays, Nivelles, Belgium). The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variations (CV) were <6% and <10%, respectively. Vitamin D levels [25(OH)D concentration] were categorized as follows: normal levels as 50–74.9 nmol/L, VDD as <50 nmol/L, and severe deficiency as <25 nmol/L.

**Statistical analysis**

The main results of this study were the prevalence of VDD among infertile women in China and the contribution of VDD to the risk of female infertility. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant; hence, Z1-a =1.96 was used in the formula. Sample size was calculated with precision/absolute error (d) of 5%. Data were presented as mean ± SD for continuous variables, and as frequencies or percentages for categorical variables. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test and χ² tests were used to determine any statistical difference among the groups. The distribution of 25(OH)D in cases and controls is presented using median and interquartile range (IQR). The Wilcoxon nonparametric test was used to compare the 25(OH)D serum concentration differences between cases and controls.

We performed an unconditional logistic regression to calculate the odds ratio (OR) as an estimate of the relative risk and its 95% confidence interval (CI) in order to clarify the relationship between the serum 25(OH)D concentration and take into account the exposure to the disease The relationship may not be linear or monotonous. Finally, according to these definitions, the 25(OH)D concentration is divided into two categories: VDD (<50 nmol/L), normal level (50–74.9 nmol/L) and severe deficiency (<25 nmol/L). Following our univariate analysis and previous research, these variables were considered as potential confounding factors and were adjusted in multivariate logistic regression: age, education, household income, sun exposure, parity, and vitamin D supplementation within 30 d. And our data showed that most women did not drink alcohol and smoke. Hence, these two variables were not considered for adjustment.

**Results**

**Characteristics and vitamin D levels across the study population**

This study investigated the vitamin D levels in 2,456 infertile women, with an average age of 29.1±3.2, within the age range of 21–35 years old. The median vitamin D levels were 37.5 nmol/L [interquartile range (IQR), 29.3–48.5] and 40.7 nmol/L (IQR, 29.0–51.5) in the primary and secondary infertility groups, respectively (Table 1). The vitamin D level of <25 nmol/L was 13.6%, the level of 25–49.9 nmol/L was about 63.7%, the level of 50–74.9 nmol/L was 20.9%, and the level of 75 nmol/L and greater was only 1.8% in infertile women (Table 2).

**Seasonal characteristics of vitamin D levels**

Our research showed significant differences in vitamin D levels across the different seasons, namely winter, spring, summer, and autumn. The median vitamin D levels in summer, autumn, spring, and winter were 42.3, 40.8, 34.5,
and 33.4 nmol/L, respectively (P<0.05, Figure 1). The proportions of severe VDD in spring, winter, summer, and autumn were 18.5%, 18.6%, 7.8%, and 8.8%, respectively. Acceptable levels of vitamin D must be within the range of 50 to 74.9 nmol/L. In summer, autumn, spring, and winter, 28.5%, 26.4%, 13.5%, and 18.3%, respectively, were reported to fall within the acceptable levels in the study group (P<0.05, Figure 2). Monthly comparisons of these levels were also estimated. There were significant differences in the vitamin D levels in different months. The vitamin D levels in July, August, and September showed the highest levels, with the levels all over 40 nmol/L (P<0.05, Figure 3).

Additionally, the proportion of severely deficient patients was 13.6% (<25 nmol/L), the proportion of deficient and severely deficient patients altogether was 77.3% (<50 nmol/L), and the proportion of patients whose vitamin D levels were less than 75 nmol/L was 98.2%. Compared with the winter season, the risk of severe VDD was lower in the summer and autumn seasons (P<0.001, Figure 3).

Table 2 Characteristics of various categories of serum 25(OH)D with age groups and infertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Serum 25(OH)D (nmol/L), n (%)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>25–49.9</td>
<td>50–74.9</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–25</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>39 (13.3)</td>
<td>184 (62.8)</td>
<td>65 (22.2)</td>
<td>5 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–30</td>
<td>1,352</td>
<td>197 (14.6)</td>
<td>871 (64.4)</td>
<td>265 (19.6)</td>
<td>19 (1.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–35</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>99 (12.2)</td>
<td>509 (62.8)</td>
<td>183 (22.6)</td>
<td>20 (2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A history of infertility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary infertility</td>
<td>2,251</td>
<td>304 (13.5)</td>
<td>1,447 (64.3)</td>
<td>462 (20.5)</td>
<td>38 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary infertility</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>31 (15.1)</td>
<td>117 (57.1)</td>
<td>51 (24.9)</td>
<td>6 (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,456</td>
<td>335 (13.6)</td>
<td>1,564 (63.7)</td>
<td>513 (20.9)</td>
<td>44 (1.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 A graph showing vitamin D levels of participants measured in the various seasons. The months of July, August, and September showed relatively higher levels of vitamin D (* stands for data bias).
Figure 2 A graph showing the percentage of participants and their vitamin D levels in the various seasons. Each bar is stratified to reflect the various categories of vitamin D levels. A greater percentage of participants with adequate vitamin D levels were measured in summer and autumn.

Figure 3 A graph showing vitamin D levels of participants measured in the various months. The months of July, August, and September showed relatively higher levels of vitamin D (* stands for data bias).

and there was no significant difference in the spring season. Other basic data are shown in Table 3.

Age affects vitamin D levels
This study also reported the effects of different age groups on vitamin D levels in the study population. Interestingly, there were significant differences in vitamin D levels among the different age groups (P<0.021, Table 1). The median vitamin D levels were as follows: 37.5 nmol/L among 21–25 years old, 36.8 nmol/L among 26–30 years old, and
Table 3 Prevalence of various serum 25(OH)D categories with the respective seasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 nmol/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>2,456</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.587–1.04</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.222–0.468</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.257–0.523</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| <50 nmol/L |     |                |            |            |         |
| Season     | 2,456 | 77.3           |            |            |         |
| Spring     | 785  | 84.5           | 1.129      | 0.834–1.529| 0.431   |
| Summer     | 575  | 68.9           | 0.460      | 0.343–0.616| <0.001  |
| Autumn     | 602  | 71.6           | 0.524      | 0.391–0.702| <0.001  |
| Winter     | 494  | 82.8           | 1          |            |         |

| <75 nmol/L |     |                |            |            |         |
| Season     | 2,456 | 98.2           |            |            |         |
| Spring     | 785  | 98.3           | 0.607      | 0.215–1.714| 0.346   |
| Summer     | 575  | 97.6           | 0.410      | 0.147–1.146| 0.089   |
| Autumn     | 602  | 98.0           | 0.503      | 0.176–1.437| 0.503   |
| Winter     | 494  | 99.0           | 1          |            |         |

38.5 nmol/L among 31–35 years old. However, there was no significant difference in vitamin D levels among the different age groups. Likewise, there were no significant differences in vitamin D levels between primary and secondary infertility groups (P=0.21, Table 1).

**Effects of vitamin D in patients undergoing assisted fertilization programs (IVF and ISI)**

The clinical data of 411 patients who were in the assisted fertilization programs (IVF and ISI) were analyzed, as well as the correlation between vitamin D status and IVF clinical outcome. The 411 patients were divided into a vitamin D deficient or severely deficient group [25(OH)D <50 nmol/L] and vitamin D suitable or sufficient group [25(OH)D ≥50 nmol/L]. The mean ages of the two groups were 29.80±3.88 and 29.72±3.76 years old, respectively, and were not significantly different. The percentages of success in outcome (i.e., pregnancy) were 59.7%, 49.1%, 49.3%, and 75% in patients with vitamin D levels <25, 25–49.9, 50–74.5, and >75 nmol/L, respectively. Comparing the clinical outcomes of fresh-cycle transplantation in the two groups, the high-quality embryo ratios of the VDD or severely deficient group and the vitamin D suitable or sufficient group were 48.6% and 46.3% (P=0.319), respectively. The HCG endometrial thicknesses of the VDD or severely deficient group and the vitamin D suitable or sufficient group were 11.37±2.07 and 1.85±0.36 cm (P=0.311), respectively. Also, the clinical pregnancy rates of the VDD or severely deficient group and the vitamin D suitable or sufficient group were 51.1% and 51.9%, respectively (P=0.893). The embryo implantation rate of the vitamin D suitable or sufficient group was 37% and was higher than the VDD or severely deficient group with 29.9%. However, there were no significant differences between the two groups (P=0.098) in all these parameters. Other characteristics of the data are shown in Table 4. The overall outcomes of the IVF and ISI procedures were also not significant among the various categories of vitamin D levels as well as when compared seasonally.
Vitamin D is one of the most important steroid hormones in the body. It plays the most important role in mineral homeostasis and regulation as well as bone formation through calcium and phosphorus metabolism (16,17).

Under general circumstances, most vitamin D could cater for the human body's need by self-synthesis, and only a little amount of vitamin D is obtained from diet (4,10). The human body's synthesis of vitamin D starts within the skin. When skin cells are exposed to the sun's ultraviolet rays, they convert cholesterol into 7-dehydrogencholesterol (3,16-18). An inactive precursor, vitamin D3, is derived from the 25-hydroxylation of cytochrome P450 in the liver to produce calcitriol [25(OH)D]. After binding with circulating vitamin D binding protein (DBP), calcitriol is catalyzed by another cytochrome P450 enzyme and 1 alpha-hydroxylase in the kidney to form the active vitamin D metabolite calcitriol [1,25-2 hydroxyvitamin D3, 1,25 (OH) 2D] (15,19,20). Ultimately, calcitriol works through the VDR. Vitamin D exerts biological effects in the body mainly by binding to the VDR. VDR is a nucloephile protein belonging to the superfamily of the steroid hormone receptor which mediates the expression of the active component of vitamin D, namely 1, 25-dihydroxy vitamin D (21,22). With the participation of other ligands, VDR regulates calcium as well as phosphorus metabolism in vivo.

Vitamin D and its receptors’ mechanisms are as follows: they raise the expression of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding protein, reduce the activity of IGF, and block the mitosis of IGF-1 (22,23). Vitamin D, by raising the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CDKI) P21 and P27, inhibits the activity of periodic element (cyclin) i.e., cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) complexes. C-Myc is a gene of translocation and an important factor involved in cell cycle regulation. Vitamin D, however, promotes the tyrosine phosphorylation of the c-Myc protein and accelerates the ubiquitination and degradation of the c-Myc protein (24).

Vitamin D is essential in the body for regulating calcium and phosphorus metabolism, and is useful in preventing and treating rickets (3,4,7,16-18). Since its receptors are almost everywhere in various tissues and organs in the body, vitamin D has more extensive extracellular biological effects, such as regulating immunity, anti-tumor effects, protecting the central nervous system, as well as preventing and controlling metabolic syndrome (5,6,25). Many researchers have reported that vitamin D levels and a variety of diseases are closely related to each other. For instance, infantile respiratory tract infection, asthma, osteoporosis, a variety of autoimmune diseases, kidney disease, cardiovascular diseases, preeclampsia, allergic asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, type I or II diabetes, dementia, and infectious diseases (3-6,25-27), as well as some kinds of tumors, have all been implicated in VDD. The effects of vitamin D levels on different diseases have also been the focus of research in recent years.

Infertility refers to men and women of childbearing age who live together for more than 1 year, have a normal sex life,, have never taken any contraceptive measures, and still fail to conceive (11,28-30). There are many factors.
that may be involved in infertility. However, females have
been the main target when trying to deal with infertility.
In the analysis of female infertility factors, a large number
of research data has confirmed that there are many factors
affecting female infertility. According to previous reports,
the number of female infertility patients is about 14.5% of
the population within childbearing age, and secondary
infertility accounts for about two-thirds, and this trend
keeps increasing year by year. In regards to the leading
etiological causes, pelvic factors in secondary infertility
account for the first, ovulation factors account for the
second, and immune factors and other reasons account for
a small proportion (13,14). In traditional Chinese families,
situation of infertility can lead to a dissatisfied sexual
life, problems within the family, divorces, and many other
unpleasant outcomes. Therefore, it is important that
patients with infertility are examined at the hospital in time
to be able to determine the cause, and timely treatment of
various endocrine system diseases is provided. Most patients
with this condition actively cooperate with drug treatments
and follow the doctor’s advice on a reasonable diet and
active intervention.

Having understood the role of vitamin D in the body, and
particularly the reproductive system, this research sought
to provide some answers as to its link to female infertility
by understanding the levels of vitamin D in women with
infertility attending fertility clinics. Recent research has
focused on the role of vitamin D concentrations in female
infertility. Based on data reported in various systematic
reviews and meta-analyses of cohort studies and also the
results from our 2,456 women in this study, the association
between vitamin D status and infertility has been
established. Particularly, this study is the first to report on
the variations in vitamin D levels across the seasons. From
our data, the high vitamin D level during summer could
be the result of increased exposure to the sun due to the
warm weather and the higher likelihood that the sun comes
into direct contact with the skin. The reverse could be
explained for the low levels during winter and spring, where
most people are likely to reduce their exposure to outside
conditions as a result of the cold weather. This observation
was corroborated when we analyzed the data by month.
The results showed significant increases in July, August, and
September, which happen to be the warm months, and as
explained previously, likely lead to increased skin exposure
to sunlight.

The results of investigating the effect of VDD on the
outcome of patients who underwent the IVF or ICSI
procedure showed no significant effect on the outcome i.e.,
pregnancy. This could be the result of the small number
of patients analyzed for this outcome. It is also common
knowledge that the procedure for IVF entails a complex
medication system that could impact the body’s natural
processes. Therefore, assessing this condition could have
many confounders whose impact could be direct or indirect.

According to the Italian Association of Clinical
Endocrinologists (AME) and the Italian Chapter of American
Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), serum
vitamin D3 values >20 ng/mL (50 nmol/L) can be considered
appropriate, in the general population, with recommended
levels >30 ng/mL (31,32). The results of this present study
demonstrate that VDD is a risk factor for infertility in
women. VDD seems to independently affect female fertility.
Combining the current results with previous literature, we
can deduce that adequate vitamin D might reduce the risk
of infertility in women of reproductive ages. We suggest
using either vitamin D2 or vitamin D3 for the treatment
and prevention of vitamin D deficiency We suggest that all
adults who are vitamin D deficient be treated with 50,000 IU
of vitamin D2 or vitamin D3 once a week for 8 wk or its
equivalent of 6,000 IU of vitamin D2 or vitamin D3 daily to
achieve a blood level of 25(OH)D above 30 ng/mL, followed
by maintenance therapy of 1,500–2,000 IU/d. There is no
evidence that there is a downside to increasing vitamin D
intake in children and adults, except for those who have a
chronic granuloma-forming disorder or lymphoma (32).

However, a large number of prospective studies and
clinical trials are still needed to assess the causal relationship
between adequate vitamin D and other factors that
contribute to infertility. This study also reveals the seasonal
changes in vitamin D levels in infertile women, which can
be further explored to offer better treatments in terms of
vitamin D supplementation in particular seasons.

Conclusions

Inference from these results shows that adequate amounts
of vitamin D may minimize the risk of female infertility and
may be related to the seasons and age.
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