Effect of family enteral nutrition on nutritional status in elderly patients with esophageal carcinoma after minimally invasive radical surgery: a randomized trial
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Background: Postoperative patients with esophageal carcinoma (EC) are prone to malnutrition. Studies have shown that the incidence of malnutrition after EC surgery reaches 60–80%, and deaths due to malnutrition account for about 22%. Patients with EC need at least 3 months to establish a new dietary pattern after surgery, so short-term enteral nutrition is of great significance. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of family enteral nutrition (FEN) on nutritional status in elderly patients with EC after minimally invasive radical surgery (MIS).

Methods: A total of 106 elderly patients with EC, who had undergone MIS at the 904th Hospital of the Joint Service Support Center of the Chinese People’s Liberation Army and Taixing People’s Hospital from January 2017 to July 2019 were selected to participate in the present study and randomly divided into the observation group and control group. There were 53 cases in each group. Patients in the control group were given regular meals after they were discharged from hospital, and the observation group was given FEN support based on the intervention of the control group. Body mass index (BMI), nutritional risk screening, nutritional status, and the incidence of complications were compared between the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge.

Results: The BMI of the observation group was higher than that of the control group 1 month after discharge (P<0.05). The nutritional risk ratio of the observation group was lower than that of the control group 1 month after discharge (P<0.05). Hemoglobin, serum albumin, serum total protein, transferrin, and serum prealbumin of the observation group were higher than the control group 1 month after discharge (P<0.05). There was no significant difference in the incidence of total complications between the two groups (P>0.05).

Conclusions: FEN could improve the nutritional status of elderly patients with EC after MIS and reduce the risk of postoperative malnutrition and incidence of complications.

Trial registration: Chinese Clinical Trial Registry ChiCTR2100046121.
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Introduction

Esophageal carcinoma (EC) is a clinically high incidence of gastrointestinal tumors, and China is a high-incidence area of such diseases (1). Related survey results have showed that, in 2014, the incidence of EC in China was 0.22‰, with an average of 150,000 deaths per year (2). With the continuous development of minimally invasive techniques in recent years, minimally invasive radical resection of EC is an important treatment for this disease. Minimally invasive radical surgery (MIS) mainly includes three steps: (I) free thoracic esophagus with thoracic lymph node dissection under laparoscopic; (II) free stomach, make tubular stomach and abdominal lymph node dissection under laparoscopic; (III) small left neck incision for tubular gastroesophageal anastomosis; due to the global medical equipment, technology, and treatment concepts vary from region to region. Postoperative patients with EC are prone to malnutrition. Studies have shown that the incidence of malnutrition after EC surgery reaches 60–80%, and deaths due to malnutrition account for about 22% (3,4). Patients with EC need at least 3 months to establish a new dietary pattern after surgery, so short-term enteral nutrition is of great significance. Family enteral nutrition (FEN) is to provide home enteral nutrition support after the patient is discharged from the hospital under the guidance of a professional nutrition support group (5). Chen et al. found that in order to optimize the efficacy of EN, a home EN should last no less than eight weeks after discharge, and persistent EN can help improve the immune function of elderly patients undergoing radical EC surgery (6). In the present study, FEN was applied to elderly patients with EC after MIS, and body mass index (BMI), nutritional risk screening, nutritional status, and the incidence of complications were examined.

We present the following article in accordance with the CONSORT reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1219).

Methods

Patient selection

A total of 106 elderly patients with EC who underwent MIS at the 904th Hospital of the Joint Service Support Center of the Chinese People’s Liberation Army and Taixing People’s Hospital from January 2017 to July 2019 were selected and using the random number table method, patients were divided into an intervention group and control group according to the ratio of 1:1 (Figure 1). There were 53 cases in each group. Patients met the following criteria to be eligible to participate in the present study: (I) diagnosed with EC through clinical examination, gastroscopy, and pathological examination; (II) aged ≥60 years old; (III) underwent minimally invasive radical resection of EC; (IV) had a clear consciousness; (V) did not require radiotherapy and chemotherapy for 3 months after surgery; and (VI) provided signed informed consent. Patients were excluded from the study if they met any of the following exclusion criteria: (I) severe cognitive dysfunction; (II) language communication impairment; (III) severe complications after surgery; (IV) unable to tolerate immune enteral nutrition preparations; (V) poor treatment compliance; (VI) withdrawal from the study halfway through. The study was
approved by the Ethics Committee of the 904th Hospital of the Joint Service Support Center of the Chinese People’s Liberation Army and Taixing People’s Hospital, and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). All patients provided informed consent.

**Interventions**

The patients in the control group were given regular meals after they were discharged from hospital. Intervention staff undertook a nutritional assessment of patients before they were discharged from hospital and instructed them to consume ordinary meals. The patients underwent home rehabilitation and were provided with dietary health manuals. Home nutrition guidance included the following: (I) patients were encouraged to eat steamed eggs, millet porridge, mashed potatoes, pumpkin porridge, and other easily digestible foods; (II) the diet changed from thin to thick, and patients were encouraged to 5–8 small meals daily; (III) patients were instructed not to speak or communicate when eating, to chew slowly, and avoid eating hard and indigestible foods, such as rice cakes and bamboo shoots; and (IV) instructed patients not to smoke, drink alcohol, and not eat fried and overnight foods. The patient could gradually transition to soft food 1 month after surgery. After the patient was discharged from hospital, the intervention staff followed up by telephone once a month to discuss the patient’s daily diet and changes in their condition. The jejunostomy tube was removed during the hospital review 1 month later.

The observation group underwent FEN support based on the intervention of the control group. After being discharged from hospital, patients were provided with regular home dietary guidance, as well as enteral nutritional emulsion (TPF-T; Fresenius Kabi Huarui Pharmaceutical, National Medicine Standard H20040722) tube feeding. The dietitian determined the patient’s daily intake based on their basic information and daily activity. Specialist physicians, nutritionists, and specialist nurses formed a FEN support group. The FEN support team evaluated whether the patient and their family members could undertake FEN 3 days before discharge and provided guidance on enteral nutrition and related knowledge training. The main content of the training included the infusion of home nutrient solution, the routine nursing operation of the catheter, the nursing of jejunostomy, and the prevention of complications and the coping methods. Carried out the assessment before the patient is discharged from the hospital. After passing the assessment, a FEN treatment card was issued, and a patient file was established to record their general information, condition, nutritional status, and contact information. After the patient was discharged from the hospital, the patient was gavaged with 200–500 mL of TPF-T daily, which slowly injected through the jejunostomy tube. Nutritional support was given 3–6 times a day. The interval between each time needed to be greater than 2 h. The temperature of the nutrient solution was kept at 35 °C. The pipeline was flushed with warm water before and after the infusion. The FEN support team developed a follow-up plan. The FEN support team conducted telephone follow-up with patients once a week. The follow-up content included the patient’s diet, physical condition, self-monitoring and enteral nutrition infusion. The FEN support team also needed to ask and record whether the patient has diarrhea, jejunostomy blockage, etc. after the infusion, and encourage the patient to adhere to FEN. One month after the operation, the follow-up frequency was changed to monthly. The jejunostomy tube was removed during the patient’s hospital review 1 month later.

**Evaluation indexes**

- **BMI**
  The BMI of patients in both groups were recorded at the time of discharge and 1 month after discharge as follows: BMI = weight (kg)/height (m²).

- **Nutritional risk screening**
  The European Nutritional Risk Screening 2002 (NRS 2002) was used to assess the nutritional risk of the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge. NRS 2002 ≥3 points was classified as high nutritional risk, and NRS 2002 <3 points was considered to have no nutritional risk (7).

- **Nutritional status**
  Levels of hemoglobin, serum albumin, serum total protein, transferrin, and serum prealbumin upon discharge and 1 month after discharge were recorded.

- **Complications**
  The incidence of complications, including nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal distension, were recorded 1 month after discharge in the two groups.

- **Statistical analyses**
  The statistical analysis in the present study was performed
using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). BMI and nutritional status of the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (\( \bar{x} \pm s \)) and compared using two-tailed \( t \)-tests. Nutrition risk screening and complications of the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge were expressed by rates and percentages, and compared using the \( \chi^2 \)-test or rank-sum test. \( P<0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**General information**

The present study comprised 106 patients with minimally invasive radical resection of EC. The control group included 41 males and 12 females, aged 61–78 years, with an average age of 67.79±7.24 years. In terms of education, 11 cases had an education of elementary school and below, 20 cases had a junior high school education, 14 cases had a high school or technical secondary school education, and 8 cases had a junior college and above education. In terms of disease stage, 24 cases were stage I and 29 cases were stage II. Thirty-two cases were married and 21 cases were single/divorced/widowed. In relation to family income, 6 cases earned <4,000 yuan per month, 28 cases earned 4,000–8,000 yuan per month, and 19 cases earned >8,000 yuan per month. The observation group comprised 43 males and 10 females, aged 62–77 years, with an average age of 67.83±7.17 years. In terms of level of education, 12 cases had an education of elementary school and below, 18 cases had a junior high school education, 13 cases had a high school or technical secondary school education, 10 cases had a junior college and above education. In terms of disease stage, 25 cases were stage I and 28 cases were stage II. Thirty-one cases were married and 22 cases were single/divorced/widowed. In relation to family income, 6 cases earned <4,000 yuan per month, 30 cases earned 4,000–8,000 yuan per month, and 17 cases earned >8,000 yuan per month.

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups of patients in terms of general data, such as sex, age, education level, disease stages, marital status, or family income (\( P>0.05 \)) (Table 1).

**BMI**

At discharge, the BMI of the observation group was 20.38±2.15 kg/m\(^2\), and it was 20.45±2.24 kg/m\(^2\) for the control group. There was no statistical difference between the two groups (\( t=0.164, P=0.870 \)). One month after discharge, the BMI of the observation group was 21.47±2.26 kg/m\(^2\), and it was 20.21±2.02 kg/m\(^2\) for the control group. The difference between the two groups was statistically significant (\( t=3.026, P=0.003 \)). The BMI of the observation group was higher 1 month after discharge than that at discharge (\( t=2.544, P=0.012 \)), while the BMI of the control group was not significantly higher 1 month after discharge than that at discharge (\( t=0.580, P=0.564 \)) (Table 2).

**Nutritional risk screening**

At discharge, all patients in the observation group and the control group were at nutritional risk. One month after being discharged from the hospital, there were 24 patients in the observation group who were at nutritional risk, which was significantly lower than the 35 patients in the control group (\( \chi^2=4.625, P=0.032 \)) (Table 3).

**Nutritional status**

At discharge, there were no significant differences in levels of hemoglobin, serum albumin, total serum protein, transferrin, and serum prealbumin in the observation group compared with the control group (\( t=0.204, 0.131, 0.343, 0.118, 0.017; P=0.839, 0.896, 0.733, 0.906, 0.987 \)). One month after discharge, levels of hemoglobin, serum albumin, total serum protein, transferrin, and serum prealbumin in the observation group were higher than those in the control group, and the differences were statistically significant (\( t=8.925, 7.851, 8.697, 8.110, 4.644; P=0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000 \)) (Table 4).

**Complications**

One month after discharge, the observation group had 2 cases of nausea and vomiting and 1 case of abdominal distension; the complication rate was 5.66%. The control group had 3 cases of nausea and vomiting, 2 cases of diarrhea, and 2 cases of abdominal distension; the complication rate was 13.21%. The incidence of complications in the two groups was not statistically significant (\( \chi^2=1.767, P=0.184 \)) (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Enteral nutrition support for patients with EC is mainly used in the perioperative period, and its effect has been
widely recognized in clinical practice (8). However, in China, due to factors, such as limited bed numbers and high medical expenses, patients usually stop enteral nutrition after taking a semi-liquid diet without serious complications, and switch to oral diet and leave the hospital to go home to recuperate. Following EC surgery, gastric capacity is significantly reduced, and most patients can experience satiety and anorexia (9), and conventional home meals cannot meet their nutritional needs. Therefore, postoperative patients with EC would experience weight loss, body protein exhaustion, reduced immunity, and develop complications, such as infection, which significantly increase the probability of recurrence and adversely affect their prognosis (10,11). Continuous enteral nutrition for patients after EC surgery is vital in order for them to meet their nutritional needs and improve their immunity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control group (n=53)</th>
<th>Observation group (n=53)</th>
<th>Statistical value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (T ± s, years)</td>
<td>67.79±7.24</td>
<td>67.83±7.17</td>
<td>t=0.029</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.229</td>
<td>0.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41 (77.36)</td>
<td>43 (81.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 (22.64)</td>
<td>10 (21.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.408</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior college and above</td>
<td>8 (15.09)</td>
<td>10 (18.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school or technical secondary school</td>
<td>14 (26.42)</td>
<td>13 (24.53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior high school</td>
<td>20 (37.74)</td>
<td>18 (33.96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school and below</td>
<td>11 (20.75)</td>
<td>12 (22.64)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease stage, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.038</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>24 (45.28)</td>
<td>25 (47.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>29 (54.72)</td>
<td>28 (52.83)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.039</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>32 (60.38)</td>
<td>31 (58.49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried/divorced/widowed</td>
<td>21 (39.62)</td>
<td>22 (41.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income (yuan), n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.180</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4,000</td>
<td>6 (11.32)</td>
<td>6 (11.32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000–8,000</td>
<td>28 (52.83)</td>
<td>30 (56.60)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8,000</td>
<td>19 (35.85)</td>
<td>17 (32.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Comparison of general information between the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>At discharge</th>
<th>1 month after discharge</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n=53)</td>
<td>20.45±2.24</td>
<td>20.21±2.02</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=53)</td>
<td>20.38±2.15</td>
<td>21.47±2.26</td>
<td>2.544</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t value</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>3.026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Comparison of BMI between the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge (T ± s, kg/m²)

BMI, body mass index.
intestine, with high safety and wide selection of enteral nutrition agents (12). Enteral nutrition can more effectively improve the nutritional status of patients with esophageal cancer after operation, so as to protect the intestinal mucosal function and enhance gastrointestinal motility (13).

The main manifestation of malnutrition in patients with esophageal cancer is protein-energy-deficient malnutrition, and hemoglobin and serum albumin in the observation indicators are important indicators for evaluating the nutritional status of patients (14,15). The results of the present study indicated that the observation group’s BMI was higher than of the control group 1 month after discharge, and the observation group’s serum total protein, hemoglobin, transferrin, serum prealbumin, and serum albumin levels were significantly higher than the control group after the intervention group (P<0.05). The findings

---

Table 3 Comparison of nutritional risk screening between the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>At nutritional risk (NRS 2002 ≥3 points), n (%)</th>
<th>No nutritional risk (NRS 2002 &lt;3 points), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At discharge</td>
<td>Control group (n=53)</td>
<td>53 (100.00)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observation group (n=53)</td>
<td>53 (100.00)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month after</td>
<td>Control group (n=53)</td>
<td>35 (66.04)</td>
<td>18 (33.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discharge</td>
<td>Observation group (n=53)</td>
<td>24 (45.28)</td>
<td>29 (54.72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 4 Comparison of nutritional status of the two groups at discharge and 1 month after discharge (x ± s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Hemoglobin (g/L)</th>
<th>Serum albumin (g/L)</th>
<th>Serum total protein (g/L)</th>
<th>Transferrin (g/L)</th>
<th>Serum prealbumin (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At discharge</td>
<td>Control group (n=53)</td>
<td>10.83±1.24</td>
<td>36.38±3.01</td>
<td>65.17±5.43</td>
<td>1.91±0.42</td>
<td>186.27±53.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observation group (n=53)</td>
<td>10.78±1.28</td>
<td>36.46±3.25</td>
<td>65.53±5.39</td>
<td>1.90±0.45</td>
<td>186.09±55.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month after</td>
<td>Control group (n=53)</td>
<td>10.71±1.23</td>
<td>37.02±3.57</td>
<td>63.96±5.38</td>
<td>1.80±0.44</td>
<td>171.18±40.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discharge</td>
<td>Observation group (n=53)</td>
<td>12.94±1.34</td>
<td>41.88±2.75</td>
<td>72.51±4.72</td>
<td>2.47±0.41</td>
<td>216.59±58.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Comparison of complications between the two groups 1 month after discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Nausea and vomiting, n (%)</th>
<th>Diarrhea, n (%)</th>
<th>Abdominal distension, n (%)</th>
<th>Incidence of complications, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n=53)</td>
<td>3 (5.66)</td>
<td>2 (3.77)</td>
<td>2 (3.77)</td>
<td>7 (13.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=53)</td>
<td>2 (3.77)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>1 (1.89)</td>
<td>3 (5.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ² value
P value
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indicated that FEN support could effectively improve the level of laboratory nutrition indicators among elderly patients with EC after MIS, and improve their BMI. The main aims of the present study were as follows. First, as a tumor-specific immune enteral nutrition preparation, TPF-T is rich in protein, energy, and fat, and has low carbohydrates (16,17). Second, ω-3 unsaturated fatty acids are added to TPF-T, and have better gastrointestinal tolerance than conventional enteral nutrition. TPF-T does not only promote the production of protein in the patient’s body and improve nutritional status, but also helps the patient maintains body fat content in a short period of time and improves the body’s immunity and cellular immunosuppressive state caused by surgical trauma (18,19).

As a nutritional risk screening tool commonly used in clinical practice, NRS 2002 can objectively reflect the nutritional risk status of patients and help medical staff predict the clinical outcome of patients. An NRS 2002 score of ≥3 indicates that the patient is at high risk of malnutrition, and treatment and prognosis of primary disease will have a serious negative impact (20,21). The results of the present study indicated that the proportion of NRS 2002 scores ≥3 in the observation group was significantly higher than that in the control group (P<0.05). FEN after discharge from the hospital among elderly patients with EC following MIS could effectively improve patients’ feeding and nutritional status, and reduce the risk of malnutrition (22,23).

The elderly patients in the observation group did not have intestinal fistula clogging or detubation, and no patients had diarrhea. This could be due to the enteral nutrition support received during the hospitalization; therefore, improving patients’ intestinal tolerance and resulting in better compliance after discharge.

**Conclusions**

FEN could improve the nutritional status of elderly patients with EC after MIS, and reduce the risk of postoperative malnutrition and the incidence of complications.
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