Accuracy of stroke volume variation and pulse pressure variation to predict fluid responsiveness in patients with thoracic kyphosis
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Background: This study aims to evaluate the ability of stroke volume variation (SVV) and pulse pressure variation (PPV) to predict fluid responsiveness in mechanically ventilated patients with thoracic kyphosis.

Methods: A total of 35 patients diagnosed with thoracic kyphosis undergoing corrective surgery were studied. For all patients, the Vigileo/FloTrac system was used for analysis. Hemodynamic data such as mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), stroke volume (SV), stroke volume index (SVI), cardiac output (CO), cardiac output index (CI), SVV, and PPV were recorded before and after volume expansion (VE). Fluid responsiveness was defined as an increase in SVI ≥10% (ΔSVI ≥10%). Patients were divided into responders and non-responders as determined by changes in ΔSVI ≥10% and <10%. Nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare the hemodynamic parameters of Responders and Non-responders before and after VE. Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the values of SVV, PPV and ΔSVI. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of each hemodynamic index was drawn to determine its accuracy and threshold.

Results: Two patients were excluded. There was no significant difference in patients’ characteristics between Responders and Non-responders. After VE, there were no significant changes in HR, MAP, and SV in both responders and non-responders, but CI were significantly changed in the two groups. SVI and CO increased significantly in responders before and after VE, but not in non-responders. VE also caused decreases of PPV and SVV in both responders and non-responders. Before VE, the SVV and PPV correlated with ΔSVI in responders (r=0.621, r=0.569, respectively, P<0.05), but neither the SVV nor PPV correlated with ΔSVI in non-responders (P>0.05). The areas under the ROC curves of patients with thoracic kyphosis were 0.872 (95% CI: 0.719–1.000) for SVV and 0.833 (95% CI: 0.667–1.000) for PPV. The threshold of the SVV of patients with thoracic kyphosis was 13.5%, and the threshold of PPV was 14.5%.

Conclusions: Both SVV and PPV can be used as effective indicators to monitor volume changes in patients with thoracic kyphosis.
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Introduction

With the development of spinal surgery, more and more kyphosis surgery is carried out. It is crucial to maintain critical organ perfusion and hemodynamic stability during surgery, as this operation is often prone to hemorrhage and a long operation time (1,2). In patients with thoracic kyphosis, chest deformity may seriously affect the patient's heart and lung function, bringing more challenges to the intraoperative anesthetic management, especially in volume management.

Commonly used static hemodynamic parameters, such as central venous pressure (CVP) and pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP), are easily affected by right ventricular function and body position among other factors, and are often not capable of predicting a cardiac response to fluid therapy (3). Conversely, stroke volume variation (SVV) and other dynamic hemodynamic parameters, which are based on the interaction of the heart and lungs during mechanical ventilation, have been proven to be good indexes for predicting fluid volume in patients undergoing neurosurgical procedures, cardiac surgery, liver transplantation, and laparoscopy-assisted surgery (4-7).

To our knowledge, there are few articles on SVV and pulse pressure variation (PPV) in the prediction of intraoperative volume monitoring in patients with thoracic kyphosis. It is unclear whether chest deformity affects the accuracy of SVV and PPV, and whether it can cause changes in their threshold values. These questions will be explored and discussed below. We present the following article in accordance with the STARD reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1211).

Methods

Patients and anesthesia

This prospective study was conducted at the outpatient clinic of the Chinese PLA general hospital between January and November in 2020. This study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The whole protocol was approved by the institutional review board committee of PLA General Hospital in China and written informed consent was obtained from each participant after the nature and possible results of the study were explained (Trial registration number: S2020-006-02). Mechanically ventilated ASA I–II patients (n=35) with thoracic kyphosis were included in this trial, and were arranged to undergo surgical treatment of selective thoracic kyphosis correction. All patients were normal in the Allen test. The age of patients was from 15 to 47, and the maximum Cobb’s angle was 35 to 60 degrees. Patients with hypertension, coronary heart disease, arrhythmia, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <50%, congenital cardiovascular malformation, and peripheral vascular disease were excluded, as well as patients with a long-term history of oral vasoactive drugs and patients who used vasoactive drugs before and during liquid treatment. The flow chart of the study is shown in Figure 1.

All patients were given 0.5 mg atropine intramuscularly 30–40 min before entering the operating room. After the patient arrived in the operating room, routine monitoring including non-invasive blood pressure, electrocardiogram, and pulse oximetry was applied. Adequate topical anesthesia in the mouth and throat was given concurrently. After midazolam (2 mg) and sufentanil (10 µg) were administered, cricothyroid membrane puncture was performed. After the puncture needle entered into the trachea, 40 mg tetracaine was intratracheally injected, and 5 min later, tracheal intubation was performed through the mouth with a fiberoptic bronchoscope. After successful intubation, propofol (2–3 mg/kg, i.v.), sufentanil (0.5–0.6 µg/kg, i.v.), and rocuronium (0.6–0.9 mg/kg, i.v.) were administered, and then the anesthesia machine was connected for mechanical ventilation in the volume control mode with a tidal volume of 8 mL/kg, an inspired oxygen concentration of 40–60%, and an inspiratory/expiratory ratio of 0.5. The respiratory rate was adjusted to maintain an end tidal carbon dioxide between 35 and 40 mmHg. Patients were maintained with propofol (100–200 µg/kg/h, i.v.), desflurane (4–6%, inhalation), and rocuronium (20 mg intermittently, i.v.). Then, an arterial catheter (REFRA-04220, Arrow International Inc., Reading, PA, USA) was inserted in the left radial artery and a central venous catheter (Certofix Duo V720, Braun International Inc., Melsungen, Germany) was placed through the right femoral vein. The pressure sensor was horizontally zeroed at the midline of the axillary line. The bispectral index (BIS, Aspect 1000™, Aspect Medical Systems Inc., Natick, MA, USA) was monitored between 30 and 40 during the whole operation.

Hemodynamic monitoring

A dedicated transducer (FloTrac™, Edwards Lifesciences, LLC, Irvine, CA, USA) was connected to the radial arterial line on one side and to the Vigileo System (Vigileo™ Edwards Lifesciences, LLC, Irvine, CA, USA) on the other side. It can continuously monitor cardiac output...
(CO), cardiac output index (CI), stroke volume (SV), stroke volume index (SVI), and SVV. SV is calculated as K × pulsation, where pulsation is the standard deviation of arterial pressure every 20 seconds, and K is the factor of arterial compliance and vascular resistance. SVV is the percentage change of SV in mechanical ventilation, and its calculation formula is $SVV (%) = \frac{SV_{max} - SV_{min}}{SV_{mean}}$, in which the maximum and minimum SV are the average values of the 4 SV extremums in 20 seconds, and the mean SV is the average value in that period.

The calculation method of PPV is similar, and it is calculated according to the change value of pulse pressure (PP), whose calculation formula is $PPV (%) = \frac{PP_{max} - PP_{min}}{PP_{mean}}$. The maximum and minimum PP are the average values of the 4 extreme values of PP, and the mean PP is the average value of PP in this period. SVI is recorded by the system, which is used to distinguish responders and non-responders after volume expansion (VE). During the trial, the following items were recorded simultaneously from the system: mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rate (HR), CO, CI, SV, SVI, SVV, and PPV.

**Study protocol**

This study evaluated the capacity of SVV and PPV to predict fluid responsiveness in patients with thoracic kyphosis during surgery. The VE was started after anesthesia induction and before the operation, beginning in the prone position. During the study, the values of MAP, HR, CO, CI, SV, SVI, SVV, and PPV were recorded before (T0) and after (T1) fluid loading. A hydroxyethyl starch solution (6%, 130/0.4, Fresenius Kabi, Germany) was infused between 10–15 min for fluid therapy. Hemodynamic measurements were performed before and within 30 s after VE without stimulation. All patients were studied before the operation began and the patients were in the prone position. During the VE, ventilator settings were kept consistent.

**Statistical analysis**

All hemodynamic data were expressed as mean values ± SD. The patients were divided into responders and non-responders according to $\Delta$SVI ≥10% and <10% before and after VE. The nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare the hemodynamic parameters of responders and non-responders before and after VE. Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the values of SVV and PPV, and the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of each hemodynamic index was generated. According to the area under the curve, the predictive ability and the diagnostic thresholds of SVV and PPV were determined. A P value less than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. All statistical data were analyzed by the software SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).
Results

Patient selection

A total of 35 patients were initially included in this study, 2 of which were excluded due to the frequent premature ventricular contractions during the study. The characteristics of the 33 studied patients are presented in Table 1. According to the results of hemodynamic changes, 19 patients were classified as responders and 14 patients were non-responders. There were no significant differences in patient characteristics between responders and non-responders (Table 1).

Hemodynamic changes

Table 2 shows the changes in hemodynamic indexes before and after VE between the two groups. After VE, there were no significant changes in HR, MAP, and SV in both responders and non-responders, but CI were significantly changed in the two groups. SVI and CO increased significantly in responders before and after VE, but not in non-responders. VE also caused decreases in PPV and SVV in both responders and non-responders.

Correlation analysis of SVV, PPV, and ΔSVI before VE

Before VE, the SVV and PPV correlated with ΔSVI in responders (r=0.621, r=0.569, respectively, P<0.05, Figure 2), but neither the SVV nor PPV correlated with ΔSVI in non-responders (P>0.05).

Dynamic indices to predict fluid responsiveness

The overall performance of SVV and PPV in predicting the...
responsiveness of SV to intravascular VE was evaluated by constructing ROC curves (Figure 3). The areas under the ROC curves of patients with thoracic kyphosis were 0.872 (95% CI: 0.719–1.000) for SVV and 0.833 (95% CI: 0.667–1.000) for PPV. The threshold for the SVV of patients with thoracic kyphosis was 13.5%, and the threshold for the PPV was 14.5%. The ROC curves showed that both SVV and PPV could accurately predict fluid responsiveness in patients with thoracic kyphosis. There was no significant difference between the areas under the ROC curves of SVV and PPV (P<0.05).

**Discussion**

SVV and PPV are currently important functional hemodynamic parameters in volume management, the trends

---

**Figure 2** Correlations between the SVV, PPV, and ∆SVI before VE in responders and non-responders. Before VE, the SVV and PPV correlated with the ∆SVI in responders [(A) r=0.621, P<0.01; (B) r=0.569, P<0.05]. However, there were no significant correlations between SVV, PPV, and ∆SVI in non-responders [(C) r=0.225, P>0.05; (D), r=0.199, P>0.05]. SVV, stroke volume variation; PPV, pulse pressure variation; SVI, stroke volume index; VE, volume expansion.

**Figure 3** ROC curve of SVV and PPV to predict fluid responsiveness in the two groups. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; SVV, stroke volume variation; PPV, pulse pressure variation.
of which can be used to evaluate the ability to change the volume load of SV and CO (8,9). However, many factors can influence their accuracy, such as body position, abdominal pressure, tidal volume, and vasoactive drugs (10-13).

Kyphosis is a pathological spinal deformity, usually secondary to ankylosing spondylitis, spinal trauma, tuberculosis, and other diseases, and is due to pathological changes resulting in muscle ligament relaxation, osteomalacia, and bone deformity caused by the role of gravity. Studies have shown that when the Cobb's angle is more than 60 degrees, the deformity will continue to be aggravated, leading to back pain or even paraplegia, which generally requires corrective treatment. Multiple thoracolumbar vertebrae are often involved in kyphosis surgery. During the operation, the exposure of the vertebral body, the placement of pedicle screws, and the resection of hemivertebra will cause a large amount of blood loss, and the blood loss of some patients can reach tens of thousands of ml. In order to maintain the stability of perioperative circulation and the perfusion of organs, anesthesiologists need to input a large number of fluids, including crystalloid fluids and various kinds of colloid fluids, while importing blood products. Therefore, an index is needed that can accurately reflect the patient's volume in kyphosis corrective surgery.

In patients with thoracic kyphosis, respiratory resistance increases due to thoracic deformity and poor chest wall compliance (14). Patients with scoliosis often develop this condition due to a congenital reason, while patients with kyphosis often develop this condition secondary to ankylosing spondylitis. It was reported that in severe cases of thoracic kyphosis, not only respiratory function was limited, but small pulmonary airways and the capillary bed could be distorted, which increased respiratory circulation resistance and made patients prone to complications such as pneumonia, atelectasis, and respiratory insufficiency (15,16). Therefore, will the pathophysiology of thoracic kyphosis affect the accuracy and thresholds of SVV and PPV to predict fluid responsiveness? A clear conclusion has been lacking until now. Biais et al. showed that PPV and SVV in the prone position were lower than in the supine position during the scoliosis operation (17). However, no one has explained how thoracic deformity and scoliosis affect SVV and PPV, and there have been no studies on how SVV and PPV work in patients with thoracic kyphosis. In addition, patients with thoracic kyphosis are often frail, with decreased pulmonary compliance and cardiac reserve function, and have poor adaptability to fluid responsiveness (18).

Our study shows that both SVV and PPV can be used to predict fluid responsiveness in patients with thoracic kyphosis. The baseline values of SVV and PPV were linearly correlated with ∆SVI, so the higher baseline values of patients with thoracic kyphosis before VE were, the higher the predictive value was. The areas under the ROC curves showed the ability of the hemodynamic parameters to discriminate between responders and non-responders after VE. In our study, we found a threshold value of 13.5% for SVV and 14.5% for PPV in patients with thoracic kyphosis. The threshold values of thoracic kyphosis were both higher to normal (13% for SVV and 13.5% for PPV). This may be due to the decrease of chest volume and chest wall compliance in patients with kyphosis, which may affect the accuracy and thresholds of SVV and PPV in predicting volume response. When the abdominal and thoracic pressure increases, the volume of blood in the veins and the resistance of the whole body are increased, and the SV and CO are decreased. According to the formula $SVV = (SV_{max} - SV_{min})/SV_{mean}$, intrapleural pressure increases and vena cava reflux decreases during the whole anesthesia process, which results in decreases in the $SV_{mean}$ value during the whole positive pressure ventilation process. As the difference of $SV_{max} - SV_{min}$ remains unchanged, SVV will increase. In the same way, the threshold of PPV will also increase.

Especially note that, mechanical ventilation is a necessary condition according to the principle of SVV. The accuracy of SVV is very poor under the condition of spontaneous breathing, because the tidal volume of spontaneous breathing changes greatly, which will artificially increase the change of SV with breathing (19). PPV is the same as SVV.

There are some limitations in our research. Firstly, all patients had thoracic kyphosis, and it remains unclear whether lesions involving abdomen kyphosis may influence the accuracy of SVV and PPV to predict fluid responsiveness. Secondly, we recorded hemodynamic data before the operation in the prone position, and we do not know whether it will be the same in the supine position. Thirdly, the patients we chose all had normal heart function (LVEF >50%), while some severe patients with poor heart and lung function were not selected. Therefore, we cannot infer whether PPV or SVV can be used in severe patients with thoracic kyphosis. Fourthly, we only used the FloTrac system for measurement. In the future, thermomodulation and echo technology can be used to further prove the effectiveness of hemodynamic parameters. Lastly, the
sample size of our study was relatively small, and research with larger sample sizes would provide more accurate results.

In conclusion, both SVV and PPV can accurately predict fluid responsiveness in patients with thoracic kyphosis during the operation. However, we should pay more attention to the changes in thresholds in clinical work.
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