Application effect of the hospital-community integrated service model in home rehabilitation of stroke in disabled elderly: a randomised trial
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Background: Disabled elderly with stroke usually have difficulty in obtaining professional rehabilitation intervention after being discharged from the hospital, and their self-health management ability is low, so their illness is prone to relapse. The hospital community-integrated service model (HCISM) is a scientific model designed to meet the needs of home care after discharge from the hospital, improve the quality of life of patients after discharge from the hospital, ease the burden on the family, and improve the service capabilities of community medical staff. The purpose of this study is to explore the effect of HCISM in home rehabilitation of stroke disabled elderly.

Methods: From September 2019 to September 2020, 120 the disabled elderly patients with stroke admitted to Affiliated hospital of Jiangnan University were selected and divided into two groups with a random number table method, with 60 cases in each group. Both groups underwent home rehabilitation after discharge, the control group was given routine intervention, and the observation group was given HCISM intervention. The changes of self-care ability, compliance behavior, self-efficacy, and adverse mood before and after intervention were compared between the two groups.

Results: The modified Barthel Index (MBI) score of the observation group after 3 months of intervention was higher than that of the control group (P<0.05). In the observation group, the changes in the proportion of medication, reasonable diet, moderate exercise, and regular return visits after 3 months were higher than those in the control group (P<0.05). The General Self-efficacy Scale (GSES) score of the observation group was higher than that of the control group after 3 months of intervention (P<0.05). Zung's Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) scores in the observation group were low after 3 months of intervention than those in the control group (P<0.05).

Conclusions: HCISM applied to the home rehabilitation of the disabled elderly patients with stroke can improve life self-care ability and self-efficacy, improve medical compliance behavior, and reduce negative emotions, thus making it worthy of further promotion.
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Introduction

In recent years, with the change of population structure in China, the proportion of the elderly population has increased significantly, resulting in a corresponding rise in the prevalence of stroke (1). Relevant survey results showed that about 7/10 of stroke survivors had dysfunctions such as hemiplegia and aphasia, resulting in disability and difficulty in living independently (2). Kang et al. reported that the incidence of mental disorders in stroke survivors reached 36.08\% (3). Therefore, the health problem of disabled elderly patients with stroke has become a public health concern, constituting a great burden on the patient's family and society. Disabled elderly patients with stroke usually have difficulty in obtaining professional rehabilitation intervention after being discharged from the hospital, their self-health management ability is low, and thus their illness is prone to relapse (4,5). In this study, the hospital-community integrated service model (HCISM) was applied to the disabled elderly patients with stroke, and the influence of HCISM on self-care ability, compliance behavior, self-efficacy, and adverse mood changes was explored.

We present the following article in accordance with the CONSORT reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-602).

Methods

Patient selection

From September 2019 to September 2020, 120 the disabled elderly patients with stroke admitted to Affiliated hospital of Jiangnan University were selected and divided into two groups according to a random number table method, with 60 cases in each group. The inclusion criteria were the following: (I) diagnosed as stroke by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (II) age ≥60 years old; (III) in stable condition; (IV) modified Barthel Index (MBI) score ≤60 points; (V) signed informed consent. Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria were the following: (I) mental illness or a history of previous mental illness; (II) cognitive impairment; (III) without an accompanying family member; (IV) severe heart, liver, kidney, or other organ dysfunction; (V) with malignant tumors. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University (No. 20190725) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). All subjects provided informed consent.

Interventions

The control group received routine intervention. Before the patients were discharged from hospital, they were given routine home rehabilitation knowledge and training guidance, and were told to take drugs as prescribed by the doctor. After discharge, the patients were given telephone follow-up, once a month.

HCISM intervention was applied in the observation group according to the following phases. (I) The integrated intervention group was first established. Team members included neurologists, rehabilitation therapists, head nurses, specialist nurses, community doctors, and nursing staff. The head nurse served as the team leader, responsible for the coordination and operation of the entire intervention. Rehabilitation therapists were responsible for systematic training of team members. Neurologists, community physicians, head nurses, and rehabilitation therapists discussed and determined the final intervention measures and implemented them together with nursing staff. (II) Second, a hospital-community information platform was established. The hospital-community information platform could not only share and discuss patient information for hospitals and community medical staff, so as to ascertain the progress of patient recovery, but also strengthen the connection between the hospital and the community medical service center. (III) The final step was the specific implementation process, which involved several components. (I) Hospital nursing group: the team leader was responsible for formulating an intervention plan based on the patient's condition and treatment-related information, and arranging specific plans for family visits and telephone follow-ups. The nursing staff were responsible for the implementation of the specific intervention plan. During the patient's hospitalization, nursing staff needed to conduct regular rounds, evaluate the intervention effect with the head nurse, and clarify the focus of the next stage of work. Before the patient was discharged from the hospital, the nursing staff needed to evaluate the patient's condition and physical rehabilitation, understand their family and social support situation, and provide comprehensive nursing information for the patient to be transferred to the community health service center for home rehabilitation management. (II) Nursing group of the community health service center: after receiving the notification from the hospital nursing team, the community nursing team organized community physicians and specialist nurses to conduct a patient bedside visit to
clarify the patient’s condition, evaluate their compliance behavior and family support, communicate with the hospital nursing team to jointly formulate the patient’s home rehabilitation intervention plan, and finally complete the referral. After the patient was transferred to the community, the community care team conducted phone follow-ups twice a month and acquired the WeChat contacts of the patient or his family caregivers to establish a WeChat group for the disabled elderly patients with stroke. Patients or family members could ask about home rehabilitation–related knowledge and difficulties encountered in the group at any time, and community medical staff would provide solutions to problems. In addition, the nursing team of the community health service center conducted home visits to patients once a month. Home visits mainly included monitoring the patient’s vital signs, understanding the patient’s ability to take care of themselves, assessing the patient’s risk factors and health education, reporting the assessment results and information to the hospital nursing team, and applying timely adjustments to the patient’s intervention plan through discussion.

**Evaluation indexes**

**Self-care ability**
The MBI was used to evaluate the self-care ability of the two groups before and after 3 months of intervention. The MBI includes 10 items, with a total score of 0–100 points: 100 points indicates complete self-care of life; 61–99 points indicate basic self-care of life; 41–60 points indicates life assistance is needed; ≤40 points indicates lack of self-care ability with considerable life assistance being required (6).

**Compliance behavior**
The changes of the proportion of medication according to doctor’s advice, reasonable diet, moderate exercise, and regular follow-up visits before and after intervention for 3 months were counted and compared between the two groups.

**Self-efficacy**
General Self-efficacy Scale (GSES) was used to evaluate the self-efficacy of the two groups before and after intervention for 3 months. The GSES includes 10 items, with each item scored from 1 to 4 points, for a total score of 10–40 points. The higher the score is, the greater the self-efficacy (7).

**Adverse mood**
Zung’s Self-rating Anxiety Scale (SAS) and Zung’s Self-rating Depression Scale (SDS) were used to evaluate the adverse mood of the two groups before and after intervention for 3 months. The above scales all included 20 items, each item scored between 1 and 4 points, with the standard being the sum of all items scored multiplied by 1.25 to obtain an integer. The higher the score is, the more severe the anxiety and depression (8).

**Statistical analyses**
Statistical analysis in this study was performed using SPSS20.0 (IBM Corp.). Self-care ability, self-efficacy, and adverse mood are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and were compared using two-tailed t tests. Compliance behavior was expressed by rates and percentages, and compared using the χ² test or the rank-sum test. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant in this study.

**Results**

**General information**
This study included 120 disabled elderly patients with stroke. In the control group, there were 31 males and 29 females with an age range of 61–79 years old and an average age of 70.27±5.41 years. There were 39 cases of ischemic stroke and 21 cases of hemorrhagic stroke. In terms of monthly family income, 6 earned less than CNY 4,000, 29 earned CNY 4,000–8,000, and 25 earned CNY 8,000 or more. In the observation group, there were 33 males and 27 females with an age range of 61–81 years and an average age of 70.52±5.73 years. There were 41 cases of ischemic stroke and 19 cases of hemorrhagic stroke. In terms of family income, 7 earned less than CNY 4,000, 31 cases earned CNY 4,000–8,000, 22 earned CNY 8,000 or more. There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups of patients in general data such as gender, age, stroke type, and family income (P>0.05). See Table 1 for details.

**Self-care ability**
Before intervention, the MBI score of the observation group was 49.71±9.39 points, while that of the control group was 49.68±9.51 points, with no significant difference between the two groups (t=0.017 P=0.986). After intervention, the MBI scores of observation group and control group
Table 1 Comparison of the general information of the two groups (n, %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Control group (n=60)</th>
<th>Observation group (n=60)</th>
<th>Statistical value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (x±s, years)</td>
<td>70.27±5.41</td>
<td>70.52±5.73</td>
<td>t=0.246</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.134</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31 (51.67)</td>
<td>33 (55.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>29 (48.33)</td>
<td>27 (45.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.150</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>39 (65.00)</td>
<td>41 (68.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhagic</td>
<td>21 (35.00)</td>
<td>19 (31.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ²=0.335</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4,000</td>
<td>6 (10.00)</td>
<td>7 (11.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000–8,000</td>
<td>29 (48.33)</td>
<td>31 (51.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;8,000</td>
<td>25 (41.67)</td>
<td>22 (36.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Comparison of self-care ability between the two groups before and after intervention (x±s, points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Before the intervention</th>
<th>3 months after the intervention</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n=60)</td>
<td>49.68±9.51</td>
<td>60.02±10.11</td>
<td>5.770</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=60)</td>
<td>49.71±9.39</td>
<td>72.28±10.52</td>
<td>12.398</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t value</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>6.509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were higher than those before the intervention (t=5.770, t=12.398, respectively; P=0.000, P=0.000, respectively), and the degree of improvement of the observation group was better than that of the control group (t=6.509; P=0.000). See Table 2 for details.

Compliance behavior

Before the intervention, there were no significant differences between the observation group and the control group in the proportion of medication according to doctor’s advice, reasonable diet, moderate exercise, and regular follow-up visits (t=0.136, t=0.033, t=0.136, t=0.035, respectively; P=0.713, P=0.855, P=0.713, P=0.852, respectively). After 3 months of intervention, the observation group’s rate of medication according to doctor’s advice, reasonable diet, moderate exercise and regular follow-up visits were higher than those in the control group (t=9.219, t=6.114, t=6.984, t=9.412, respectively; P=0.002, P=0.013, P=0.008, P=0.002, respectively). See Table 3 for details.

Self-efficacy

Before the intervention, the GSES score of the observation group was 20.79±4.57 points, while that of the control group was 20.81±4.61 points. There was no significant difference between the two groups (t=0.024, P=0.981). After intervention, the GSES score of the observation group was higher than that before intervention (t=6.648; P=0.000), and the degree of increase was better than that of the control group (t=6.007; P=0.000). See Table 4 for details.

Adverse mood

Before intervention, there were no significant differences in SAS and SDS scores between the observation group and the control group (t=0.128, t=0.219, respectively; P=0.899, P=0.827, respectively). After 3 months of intervention, the SAS and SDS scores of the observation group were lower than those of control group (t=6.698, t=6.288, respectively; P=0.000, P=0.000, respectively). See Table 5 for details.
Table 3 Comparison of compliance behaviors between the two groups before and after intervention (n, %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Medication according to doctor’s advice</th>
<th>Reasonable diet</th>
<th>Moderate exercise</th>
<th>Regular follow-up visits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control group (n=60)</td>
<td>33 (55.00)</td>
<td>31 (51.67)</td>
<td>35 (59.33)</td>
<td>36 (60.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observation group (n=60)</td>
<td>35 (59.33)</td>
<td>30 (50.00)</td>
<td>33 (55.00)</td>
<td>37 (61.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>χ² value</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months after the intervention</td>
<td>Control group (n=60)</td>
<td>47 (78.33)</td>
<td>42 (70.00)</td>
<td>44 (73.33)</td>
<td>45 (75.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observation group (n=60)</td>
<td>58 (96.67)</td>
<td>53 (88.33)</td>
<td>55 (91.67)</td>
<td>57 (95.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>χ² value</td>
<td>9.219</td>
<td>6.114</td>
<td>6.984</td>
<td>9.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Comparison of self-efficacy between the two groups before and after intervention (x±s, points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Before the intervention</th>
<th>Three months after the intervention</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group (n=60)</td>
<td>20.81±4.61</td>
<td>21.55±4.18</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation group (n=60)</td>
<td>20.79±4.57</td>
<td>26.61±5.01</td>
<td>6.648</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t value</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>6.007</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 Comparison adverse mood between the two groups before and after intervention (x±s, points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>SAS scores</th>
<th>SDS scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before the intervention</td>
<td>Control group (n=60)</td>
<td>58.27±6.03</td>
<td>61.06±5.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observation group (n=60)</td>
<td>58.41±5.98</td>
<td>61.28±5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t value</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months after the intervention</td>
<td>Control group (n=60)</td>
<td>53.37±5.26</td>
<td>55.34±6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Observation group (n=60)</td>
<td>47.01±5.14</td>
<td>48.71±5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t value</td>
<td>6.698</td>
<td>6.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAS, Zung’s Self-rating Anxiety Scale; SDS, Self-rating Depression Scale.

Discussion

Stroke is a sudden cerebrovascular circulatory disease. Due to the physical and language dysfunction of stroke patients, it not only has a serious impact on their quality of life, but also incurs great burden to their family and to society at large (9,10). The function of various organs of the disabled elderly patients with stroke are usually reduced, this dysfunction is often accompanied by other basic diseases such as diabetes and hypertension, and these patients experience different degrees of psychological problems; thus, effective interventions are needed (11). The HCISM is a scientific model designed to meet the needs of home care after discharge from the hospital, improve the quality of life of patients after discharge from
the hospital, ease the burden on the family, and improve
the service capabilities of community medical staff (12).
Previous studies have shown that the hospital community
family linkage management has a precise effect on the
intervention of post-stroke depression patients, which can
significantly improve the anxiety and depression of patients
after stroke, improve the quality of life of patients, and the
patients have high satisfaction with doctors' service, which
is worth applying and promoting in the clinical treatment
of post-stroke depression patients (13). In this study, the
implementation of the HCISM for the disabled elderly
patients with stroke achieved significant results.

Our findings showed that the MBI score of the
observation group was higher than that of the control
group after 3 months of intervention (P<0.05). This
suggests that the HCISM could improve the self-care
ability of the disabled elderly patients with stroke. The
can be explained by the fact that after stroke patients are
discharged from the hospital in a stable condition, family
caregivers usually take care of the rehabilitation stage.
However, family caregivers do not have a high degree of
disease-related knowledge and lack professional nursing
knowledge, so the effect of home rehabilitation exercises
for patients is not good; even when patients attend
regular follow-up in the outpatient department of the
hospital, the rehabilitation effect remains unsatisfactory
(14,15). The HCISM strengthens the connection between
the hospital and the community health service center,
ensuring both parties to have a timely and comprehensive
understanding of the patient's condition and physical
recovery. This, combined with a greater knowledge
concerning the patient's family environment and
support, available medical resources at home, and living
standards condition, etc., enables the formulation of an
individualized rehabilitation training plan in line with the
actual situation of the patient, and is better able to provide
effective rehabilitation guidance to the patient, leading to
significantly improved self-care ability (16).

The results of this study also indicated that after
3 months of intervention, the observation group's rate
of medication according to doctor's advice, reasonable
diet, moderate exercise, and regular follow-up visits,
along with GSES scores, were higher than those in the
control group (P<0.05). This implies that the HCISM
can markedly improve the self-efficacy and compliance
behavior of patients. The main reasons for the analysis are
that community nursing staff conduct bedside visits before
patients are discharged from the hospital, which enables
the assessment of the patient's condition and seamlessly
connects the nursing work after the patient is transferred
to the community health service center, ensuring that
patients receive professional guidance and enhancing
the trust of patients and their families in the services of
community medical staff. In addition, the nursing staff of
the community health service center conduct regular home
visits, which not only allows them to more fully understand
and grasp the patient's recovery, but facilitates the upload
of real-time patient information to a common information
platform for easy access by hospital medical staff. This also
permits the continuous, relevant, and rational adjustment
of the rehabilitation plan according to the patient's
recovery situation, thus increasing patients' confidence in
rehabilitation and ultimately improving their self-efficacy
and compliance (17,18).

In addition, the mood of the observation group was
significantly improved compared with that of the control
group (P<0.05), indicating that the HCISM could reduce
negative emotions in patients. This can be attributed to
the fact that HCISM enables patients to benefit from the
joint efforts of hospitals and community health service
centers to solve any difficulties encountered in home
rehabilitation. HCISM realizes the ideal of two-way referral
by making full use of and integrating the medical resources
of communities and hospitals, which helps patients to solve
problems, promotes patient's physical rehabilitation, lessens
the burden to the family, and ultimately relieves the patient
of adverse emotions (19-21). And the hospital community
integrated service mode constructed in this study is realized
through discharge education, community nurse door-to-
door service and mobile network in form, and combined
with the daily life needs of stroke patients and their
caregivers in content. The simple and easy form and strong
practical content make the model more realistic and more
extensive.

**Conclusions**

HCISM applied to the home rehabilitation of the disabled
elderly with stroke can improve life self-care ability and
self-efficacy, improve medical compliance behavior, and
reduce negative emotions, and is thus worthy of further
promotion.
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