Effect of Teriparatide on pain relief, and quality of life in postmenopausal females with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures, a retrospective cohort study
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Background: Osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF) is a common disease in elderly population, which could cause serious back pain and has a substantial impact on patients’ health-related quality of life (HRQoL). The aim of this study was to identify the effect of Teriparatide as a conservative treatment on reducing back pain, and improving quality of life for postmenopausal women with osteoporotic vertebral fractures.

Methods: In a 12-month, retrospective study, 112 postmenopausal women with OVCFs were assigned to Teriparatide group (20 μg Teriparatide, subcutaneous, once daily, n=38) or control group (500 mg calcium and 400–800 IU Vitamin D per day, oral administration, n=74) according to patients’ choices between January 2016 and October 2018. Patient-reported outcomes scores including the visual analogue score (VAS), Oswestry disability index (ODI), and short form 36 questionnaire (SF-36) were assessed at baseline, the 3rd months, the 6th months and 1 year after treatment.

Results: Treatments with Teriparatide or calcium plus vitamin D supplements had significant effect on improvement of patients’ back pain as well as HRQoL, with significantly reduced VAS and ODI and increased SF-36 physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary (MCS) scores. At the endpoint, Teriparatide showed better therapeutic effect, with greater reductions in VAS and ODI and more increases in SF-36 PCS and MCS scores. However, more adverse events (AEs) were found in Teriparatide group, but symptoms were relatively mild and of short duration.

Conclusions: In postmenopausal women with OVCFs, the consequent persistent back pain and impaired HRQoL, treatment with Teriparatide was associated with more profound therapeutic effects and more AEs compared with calcium plus vitamin D supplements.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis, a worldwide age-related metabolic bone disease in elderly population, is characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue (1). Osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs) are known as the most common type of fracture associated with skeletal fragility, affecting more than 30% of women aged 75 and even 50% of women aged 85 or older (2,3). It is emerging as a major serious public health problem worldwide, especially in developing countries, and brings severe challenges to global health and social service sector because of aging of population.

Back pain, the first appeared and the most common clinical manifestation of fractures, causes significant disability, functional limitations and loss of independence. In addition to back pain, patients are increasingly becoming concerned about the burden on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) (4,5). It is known that OVCFs can result in reduced physical functions and psychosocial impairments, which eventually make the patients more prone to depression, loss of productivity, independence, and social isolation (2,6). All of these are the reasons of significant reduction of HRQoL (7-9). What’s more, the annual hospitalization expenditures associated with vertebral fractures were estimated at 500 million dollars in the United States and about Euro 377 million in Europe, and majority of these hospital costs were related to the treatment of back pain and the resultant impaired HRQoL (10,11). Consequently, it is vitally important to improve HRQoL and relieve back pain in patients with OVCFs (12).

Among many attempts to improve HRQoL and relieve back pain with pharmacological therapies in the patients with very advanced osteoporosis or OVCFs, Teriparatide has drawn more and more attention in the past two decades because of its central role in regulation of calcium and phosphate metabolism. Besides, Teriparatide is the first anabolic agent recommended for severe osteoporosis that offers the efficacy of increasing new bone formation and improving bone quality (13-15). Therefore, Teriparatide has been reported to reduce the risk of vertebral fracture (14,16), and have the potential to accelerate fracture healing, form new bone, mitigate the progression of osteoporosis, heal and stabilize pre-existing fractures or microdamages in patients with advanced osteoporosis (15,17). Yet, to date, sparse data exists regarding the efficacy of Teriparatide on relieving back pain and improving HRQoL of postmenopausal females with OVCFs.

This study aims to assess whether use of Teriparatide was associated with better therapeutic efficacy in postmenopausal women with OVCFs compared with calcium plus vitamin D supplements. The safety profiles also were assessed. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-2333).

Methods

This was a 12-month retrospective cohort study. The study was performed in compliance with the ethical principles outlined in the World Medical Association’s Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2103) and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University (No. 2020-149) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. Patients were recruited from our osteoporotic-fracture clinic or our spinal surgery clinic in the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University between January 2016 and October 2018. 112 ambulatory postmenopausal women aged 55 years or older with established OVCFs were enrolled (18). Prior use of any anti-resorptive drugs was not allowed to avoid the aftereffects of previous drugs. Subjects were excluded from the study if they had metabolic bone disease other than postmenopausal osteoporosis; imminent need for kyphoplasty or vertebroplasty; and evidence of significant pathology related to back pain which was difficult to be interpreted by osteoporotic vertebral fracture. Besides, subjects with uncontrolled high blood pressure, liver disease, secondary osteoporosis or abnormal thyroid function, renal and hepatic dysfunction, medical conditions such as cancer, severe sleep apnea, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, severe lactose intolerance, and current use of tobacco were excluded.

Subjects recruited were assigned to two groups according to their own choices between Teriparatide and calcium plus vitamin D supplements. Subjects in the Teriparatide group received once-daily subcutaneous self-injection of Teriparatide (20 μg/day), while subjects in the control group received daily supplements of 500 mg calcium and 400 to 800 IU vitamin D.

DXA scans at lumbar spine and proximal femur were performed at baseline. Blood samples were collected and analyzed the serum phosphorus, calcium, and alkaline phosphatase at baseline as well. The initial assessments of patient-reported outcome scores were performed on site, and the following assessments were conducted at months 3,
6, and 12 after initiation of treatment at each study visit or by phone call follow-up.

Demographic variables such as age, sex, body-mass index (BMI), and total vertebral fractures at baseline were recorded. Patient-reported outcomes scores, including the visual analogue score (VAS), Oswestry disability index (ODI), and short form 36 questionnaire (SF-36) were employed to evaluate each patient’s symptoms and HRQoL, respectively. In brief, patients were asked to rate their back pain on a VAS, the most reliable and reproducible tool for the measurement of pain (19). It ranges from 0 to 10, representing no back pain at all and the worst possible back pain, respectively. The disease-specific ODI score ranges 0 to 100%, with higher scores indicating more disability related to back pain. The SF-36, a generic HRQoL questionnaire, consists of 36 self-administered questions which measure health status in eight domains of HRQoL: physical functioning (PF); role-physical (RP), or limitation in daily role functioning due to physical problems; role-emotional (RE), or limitation in daily role functioning due to emotional problems; bodily pain (BP); general health perception (GH); vitality (VT); social functioning (SF); and mental health perception (MH). The physical component summary (PCS) and mental component summary (MCS) scales summarize the eight SF-36 scale scores into two summary scores that give an overall assessment of HRQoL related to physical and mental health, respectively. The PCS includes four domains: PF, RP, BP, and GH. The MCS includes four domains: VT, RE, SF, and MH. It has been proved that the SF-36 PCS and MCS scales are valid, reliable, and equivalent for the Chinese adult population (20). Domain scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better health status (21).

**Adverse events (AEs)**

An AE was defined as any untoward medical occurrence in a patient or clinical investigation subject administered a pharmaceutical product and which does not necessarily have to have a causal relationship with this treatment (22). AEs were recorded at each study visit and were given instructions for the assignment of AE severity as mild, moderate or severe. Mild was defined as no change in physical activity with occasional medication use for relief of symptoms. Moderate included mild disruptions in daily physical activities and regular medication use for the alleviation of symptoms. Criteria for severe were major disruptions in normal daily activities, additional medication use and additional treatment above and beyond normal that may have included hospitalization. Treatment would be discontinued if serious AEs occurred. All of them completed the observation period and no patients withdrew from the trial for this reason.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables were expressed as number or percentages and compared using the Chi-square or Fisher exact test. The Student \(t\)-test was used to compare the characteristics between two treatment groups. Means and standard deviations (SDs) were used to summarize those characteristics. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed to compare the changes between treatment groups during the follow-up period throughout the treatment. All these statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0, with 0.05 regarded as significant.

**Results**

A total of 112 subjects were enrolled (n=38 in Teriparatide group and n=74 in control group) and screened for 1 year in the study. Baseline demographic data and clinical characteristics including age, BMI, the results of metabolic, and bone absorptiometry tests showed no difference between the groups (Table 1). The results of metabolic and bone absorptiometry tests were obtained only once and showed the loss of bone mass and abnormal bone metabolism status at the start of the study. The overall clinical outcomes for the two groups at each time point were listed in Figure 1 and Tables 2,3. There was no significant difference between groups regarding the results of VAS, ODI, SF-36 PCS, and MCS scores at baseline.

The VAS scores showed a trend towards improvement and decreased continuously during the whole treatment course (Tables 2,3) in both groups. The VAS scores in Teriparatide group were significantly lower (P<0.05) than in control group at all time points. At the end of study, the mean VAS scores had declined by 84.7% (6.84) from baseline in Teriparatide group and by 35.4% (2.68) in control group (P<0.05). In other words, Teriparatide showed a more significant effect on back pain relief (P<0.05).

Changes in ODI scores are shown in Table 2 and Figure 1. This value declined in both Teriparatide group and control group. But the ODI scores in Teriparatide group were significantly lower at the end of study, and Table 3 shows the scores for overall ODI reduced by 62.62 from baseline in the Teriparatide group compared with 29.32 in control.
Table 1  Background demographic data and baseline characteristics of patients in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of patients</th>
<th>Teriparatide group (n=38)</th>
<th>Control group (n=74)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>70.24±8.49</td>
<td>71.89±6.87</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>38 (100.0)</td>
<td>74 (100.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.26±3.31</td>
<td>23.26±3.68</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral density at lumbar spine (SD)</td>
<td>−2.15±1.72</td>
<td>−2.22±1.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral density at proximal femur (SD)</td>
<td>−1.58±1.36</td>
<td>−1.74±1.61</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphorus (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.06±0.18</td>
<td>1.10±0.22</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum calcium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.27±0.16</td>
<td>2.26±0.20</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum alkaline phosphatase (U/L)</td>
<td>71.39±25.28</td>
<td>100.95±253.27</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of OVCFs</td>
<td>38 (100.0)</td>
<td>74 (100.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of fresh OVCFs</td>
<td>29 (76.3)</td>
<td>54 (73.0)</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial VAS</td>
<td>8.08±1.40</td>
<td>7.55±1.53</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial ODI (%)</td>
<td>74.66±19.73</td>
<td>69.41±17.47</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial SF-36 PCS</td>
<td>22.45±10.15</td>
<td>25.93±14.28</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial SF-36 MCS</td>
<td>36.14±16.91</td>
<td>41.18±17.46</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± SD and values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise. BMI, body-mass index; SD, standard deviation; OVCFs, osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures; VAS, visual analogue score; ODI, Oswestry disability index; SF-36, short form 36 questionnaire; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary.

Figure 1  VAS (A), ODI scores (B) and SF-36 PCS index (C), SF-36 MCS index (D) for recruited patients in Teriparatide and control group during follow-up. Bars show standard error. VAS, visual analogue score; ODI, Oswestry disability index; SF-36, short form 36 questionnaire; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary.
At baseline, between-group differences were not statistically significant in neither PCS nor MCS (P>0.05 for all). However, the SF-36 physical component and mental component followed the same trend during the treatment course, and showed significant improvement at the end of study (Table 2). Mean changes in both SF-36 PCS and MCS from baseline were positive, indicating better HRQoL at the end of the study. What's more, the changes from baseline to endpoint in Teriparatide group were relatively larger compared with that of control group (P<0.05), showing a notably superior response to Teriparatide than calcium plus vitamin D supplements regarding the improvement of HRQoL (Table 3).

AEs were systematically recorded at all patient visits. Overall, self-administered injections of Teriparatide and the oral calcium plus vitamin D supplements were well tolerated in spite of some cases of slight discomforts. Twelve (31.6%) patients complained of slight nausea and dizziness in Teriparatide group. Discomfort generally resolved as the study progressed with no further consequences and did not result in any drop-out. By contrast, all patients in the control group were satisfied with therapy and no side effect was reported. In short, more AEs were documented in Teriparatide group (P<0.0001).

**Discussion**

In this retrospective observational study of postmenopausal women with OVCFs to report quality of life and back pain in routine clinical practice, treatment with Teriparatide resulted in significantly greater decrease in basal pain and immediate improvement in impaired quality of life, compared with calcium plus vitamin D supplements.

Musculoskeletal symptoms are relatively common in postmenopausal women with OVCFs, with back pain being the most frequent and the earliest. In postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, vertebral fracture itself is an important etiology of back pain, and the degree of back pain increases with aggravating vertebral fracture. Back pain seems to contribute more to disability by itself than vertebral fracture does (23). Several previous studies had
demonstrated the use of Teriparatide can effectively reduce the incidence and severity of back pain in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. Genant et al. previously reported that women randomized to PTH (20 μg) had a 31% reduced relative risk of moderate or severe back pain and a 57% reduced risk of severe back pain (24). Two studies of Neer et al. and Body et al. had reported that fewer women treated with PTH suffered back pain when compared with those treated with placebo (14,15). However, it was uncertain whether Teriparatide alleviated back pain of postmenopausal women with OVCFs. In the current study, we demonstrated the analgesic effect on basal and induced pain of OVCFs compared to calcium plus vitamin D supplements in postmenopausal women with OVCFs, consistent with those results above. What’s more, we found that the mean reduction in the VAS score was approximately 84.65% in Teriparatide group, exceeding the reduction of 30% that is considered as clinically minimally important difference (MID) (25). While a much smaller reduction of 35.36% was observed in the control group, suggesting the superiority of Teriparatide over calcium plus vitamin D supplements.

To the best of our knowledge, this current study is the first to demonstrate an improvement in HRQoL with Teriparatide treatment. In the past few years, some researchers focused on the HRQoL of patients with OVCFs, and demonstrated that the OVCFs were closely associated with the reduced HRQoL (26,27). In addition, they also put forward evidences that vertebral fractures can diminish physical function and emotional status; reduce capacity to perform activities of daily living, and overall decline in a patient’s well-being (6,28,29). In fact, the need to “to rethink vertebral osteoporosis and to focus more closely than before on the quality of life of these patients” has been recognized in 1992 in an editorial (30). Improvements in HRQoL associated with treatment would undoubtedly be of particular relevance to patients. In this study, the HRQoL improved early in the therapy course, as early as 3 months, and remained elevated at each time point until the study completion. Significantly more improvement in the Teriparatide group achieved HRQoL gains compared to the control group, in terms of both physical and mental component. These data suggest that Teriparatide may be a more potent anti-osteoporosis drug in postmenopausal females with OVCFs.

In this relatively short course of treatment, serious AEs secondary to the medication were not reported in the two treatment groups, ensuring high compliance of patients to complete the course. In our study, no patients stopped the drugs due to the AEs, and all of 112 patients had finished the course.

The main drawback of our study include the relatively small number of subjects, which were groups two groups according to their choices on different treatments, but the numbers were sufficient to detect statistically significant differences in the outcomes of interest. Secondly, a relatively short observation window limits the extrapolation of our final results to the generalization of a 24-month course to certain extent. Finally, in blood samples, bone turnover markers were not included; however, the positive biochemical marker response after treatment of Teriparatide has been proved an anabolic biologic response in the bone (31,32). What’s more, routine blood tests of bone turnover markers were very difficult to achieve, especially in the orthopedics clinic or during the outpatient follow-up. Furthermore, the aim of this study was to focus mainly on the effect of Teriparatide on reducing back pain, and improving HRQoL for postmenopausal women with OVCFs, rather than to explore the mechanism of action in depth. That’s why these bone turnover markers were not included in our study. To overcome these shortcomings, we set strict inclusion and exclusion criteria trying to ensure that improvements in HRQoL were due to treatment as much as possible. We believed that the improvements of pain and HRQoL could be proved by the effect of Teriparatide.

Conclusions

In postmenopausal women with OVCFs and the consequent persistent back pain and impaired HRQoL, treatment with Teriparatide was associated with more profound therapeutic effects and more AEs compared with calcium plus vitamin D supplements. A more extensive randomized controlled trial could allow the assessment of longer-term outcomes and provide additional information about potential AEs.
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