Anti-PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitors in combination with etoposide and platinum for extensive-stage small cell lung cancer: a case report
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Abstract: The conventional etoposide-platinum (EP) regimen and adjuvant radiotherapy remain the gold-standard treatment for small cell lung cancer (SCLC). However, most patients already have multiple metastases when they are first diagnosed with SCLC. The objective response rate (ORR) and 1-year survival rate are low in these patients despite active radiotherapy and chemotherapy. SCLC is oncologically featured by the high tumor mutational burden (TMB) of multiple genes, which makes immunotherapy a possible new treatment strategy for SCLC. New data from the IMpower133 and CASPIAN trials will shed new light on the treatment of SCLC. In 2020, the results from the phase 3 CASPIAN trial have already suggested that programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitors may represent breakthroughs in the management of SCLC. Here, we report a patient with extensive-stage SCLC (ES-SCLC) treated with first-line anti–PD-L1 immune checkpoint inhibitor (PD-L1 inhibitor) (i.e., durvalumab) combined with the EP regimen for 6 cycles. The patient consistently achieved partial response (PR) [nearly complete response (CR)], and no immune-related adverse events were noted during this period. The Karnofsky performance status (PS) score maintained at 1–2 points. We further review the history of SCLC treatment and elucidate the role of combination with immunotherapy in treating SCLC in the coming years.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death worldwide. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) is one of the most aggressive and lethal types, accounting for approximately 15% of patients diagnosed with lung cancer (1). SCLC has a poor prognosis due to its high rates of tumor cell proliferation, metastasis, and resistance to current treatments (2). Most patients already have extensive-stage SCLC (ES-SCLC) at diagnosis, and only a small proportion of the tumors are surgically resectable. Few advances have been made in the management of SCLC, and the etoposide-platinum (EP) regimen combined with adjuvant radiotherapy remains the gold-standard treatment. SCLC has complex gene mutations, which make it impossible to develop specific targeted drugs. Pathologically, however, the tumor is featured by high tumor mutational burden (TMB) of multiple genes, suggesting that DNA errors caused by cell replication and mutations continue to accumulate, and cannot be recognized or eliminated by the immune system in a timely manner. The lack of immune surveillance may be induced by tumors. Programmed death-1 (PD-1)/programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) is one of
the negative regulatory pathways in the human body. In the tumor microenvironment, it is a common for the expression of PD-L1 protein on the surface of tumor cells to be upregulated; after the PD-L1 protein binds to the PD-1 receptor on the surface of lymphocytes, it initiates negative immune feedback that prevents T cells from recognizing cancer cells. The accumulated errors in tumor cells and mutations finally result in a silenced immune response. Durvalumab is a PD-L1 inhibitor that can bind to the PD-L1 receptor on the surface of tumor cells in the tumor microenvironment, which allows T cells to be excluded from the tumor-induced immune silencing; accordingly, the normal immune surveillance mechanism is reactivated to exert its functions in recognizing and killing tumor cells.

We present the following case in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-2574).

Case presentation
A 68-year-old male patient was admitted due to “chest tightness and shortness of breath for 2 months” on March 25, 2020, with no special medical, family, and psycho-social history. Chest positron emission tomography–computed tomography (PET-CT) on March 29, 2020, revealed a huge space-occupying mass about 8 cm × 9 cm × 6.5 cm in size in the left lower lobe of the lung, along with obstructive pneumonia. The possibility of central bronchogenic carcinoma was considered (Figures 1 and 2). The findings of bronchosopic pathology are shown in Figures 3-5. The microscopic morphologies and immunolabeling findings supported the diagnosis of SCLC. The patient further received systemic assessments. In particular, PET-CT was performed. The possible diagnoses included SCLC with hilar and mediastinal lymph node metastases and bone metastasis. The clinical stage was cT4N2M1c. He began to receive immunotherapy combined with chemotherapy on April 6, 2020. The specific protocol was 6 cycles of durvalumab 1,000 mg (10 mg per kg) q3w combined with an EP regimen. The treatment went smoothly. Neither adverse reactions nor immune-related side effects were noted. The Karnofsky performance status (PS) score maintained at 1–2 points. His quality of life was satisfactory. During the entire clinical follow-up period, the solid lung tumor continued to shrink (Figures 6-11), and the neuron-specific enolase (NSE), a specific marker of SCLC, was completely resolved before the second cycle of treatment (Table 1). The final session of the immunotherapy–chemotherapy combination was given on August 21, 2020. According to the results of the CASPIAN III trial, maintenance treatment (durvalumab monotherapy maintenance, dose q3w) was applied beginning from the seventh session. The last imaging examination was performed at the patient’s home on October 13, 2020 (before the initiation of the single-drug maintenance treatment), when chest CT revealed a space-occupying lesion sized about 1 cm × 0.7 cm × 0.5 cm in the basal segment of the left lower lobe, which was markedly smaller than before treatment, indicating the approach to complete response (CR). According to the evaluation criteria, the patient has achieved a partial response (PR).

All procedures performed in studies involving human
participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) (No. 2019-018) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Figure 3 Pathological section (x40, HE staining).

Figure 4 Pathological section (x100, HE staining).

Figure 5 Pathological section (x200, HE staining).

Figure 6 After the first cycle of durvalumab plus EP (May, 19, 2020). EP, etoposide-platinum.

Figure 7 After the second cycle of durvalumab plus EP (June, 10, 2020). EP, etoposide-platinum.

Figure 8 After the third cycle of durvalumab plus EP (June, 30, 2020). EP, etoposide-platinum.
Discussion

While lung cancer is the most fatal cancer worldwide, SCLC is the most dangerous type of lung cancer, accounting for about 10–15% of lung cancer cases (3). SCLC has the biological characteristics of aggressive neuroendocrine tumors (4) and is featured by early metastasis, frequent recurrence, high proliferation rate, and high prevalence of drug-resistance mutations. Up to 60% of patients are already at the extensive stage at the time of diagnosis (5).

ES-SCLC is sensitive to initial chemotherapy but is prone to rapid relapse and drug resistance shortly after remission. The duration of disease control (DDC) is short, and the tumor often becomes refractory to chemotherapy after recurrence (6). The vast majority of SCLC patients will progress to clinical death within 2 years after disease relapse and drug resistance (7). A small proportion of limited-stage SCLC cases can be treated by surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and some other options. However, the therapeutic responses are far from satisfactory as the disease progresses. Only a small number of stage I SCLC patients can benefit significantly from surgery combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy (8). Most stage II, T1–2N1M0, and T3N0M0 patients have no significant benefit in overall survival (OS) after surgery (9,10), and limited-stage SCLC can easily metastasize to the brain. Its first-line treatment remains an EP regimen combined with prophylactic cranial irradiation (PCI). However, the response rate is low, with a 5-year survival rate of only about 6% and an average OS of less than 10 months (11).

Meanwhile, due to the lack of targetable mutations in SCLC (12), few breakthroughs have been made in the targeted therapy of SCLC. Rovalpituzumab tesirine (Rova-T), which showed initial promise, is an antibody-drug conjugate that targets cancer stem cell–related delta-like ligand 3 (DLL3). A preliminary study reported that its disease control rate (DCR) could reach 91% (13). However, its TRINITY phase II trial showed that, even in DLL3-positive patients, the objective response rate (ORR) was only 13.2%, the median OS (mOS) was only 5.8 months, and the 1-year OS was only 17.5%; notably, 63% of patients suffered from grade 3 to 5 immune-related adverse events (irAEs) (14). In the TAHOE phase III trial, the Rova-T group was significantly inferior to the topotecan group in terms of OS, which led to the premature cessation of the phase III trial. Ipiilimumab, which targets cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4), was also a once
highly anticipated drug. However, its combinations with standard platinum-based chemotherapy regimens as first-line treatment of ES-SCLC did not produce any benefit in progression-free survival (PFS) or OS (15).

As can be seen, despite myriad efforts, there has been no breakthrough in SCLC treatment for nearly 30 years. In 2012, a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis based on 63 SCLS tumor tissue samples showed that the genomic mutation rate in SCLC cells was 7.4 mut/Mbp, suggesting that patients with SCLC have a high TMB (16); however, no specific mutation marker has been found. Thus, SCLC has a uniquely high TMB and lacks any characteristic targetable mutations.

Once there is a problem with the immune surveillance mechanism of SCLC patients, the "damaged cells" cannot be recognized and eliminated by the immune system in time. Correcting the imbalance of the immune system may be a novel solution in the treatment of SCLC.

In 2002, American tumor biologist R.D. Schreiber proposed his now-renowned hypothesis of "cancer immunoediting" to explain the relationship between tumors and the immune system. The occurrence and development of cancer cells in the body constitute a dynamic process in which the immune system interacts with cancer cells. In this process, the immune system reshapes other biological characteristics (such as tumor antigenicity and invasiveness) of tumor cells, which is the so-called "immunoediting", while removing tumor cells. The immunoedited tumor cells become more malignant. These immunologically screened tumor cells induce the silencing of immune system, rendering it incapable of recognizing tumor cells and leading to their uncontrolled growth and spread.

According to the cancer immunoediting theory, the relationship between the immune system and tumors can be divided into three different phases: elimination, equilibration, and escape. In elimination, a screening process occurs in which many tumor cells are destroyed by the immune response, but a portion survive. These screened tumor cell subgroups grow rapidly to form a microenvironment that suppresses immune cells, where the tumor cells release molecules with immunosuppressive functions and induce the immune cell differentiation potentials. For example, these tumor cells may induce the production of CTLA-4-expressing regulatory T cells; the CD4+CD25+FOXP3+ T cells in turn induce an upregulation of immunosuppressive functions, and accelerate the depletion of cytotoxic T cells (17). The suppression and exhaustion of the immune system leads to the breakdown of equilibration. As a result, the immune system cannot offer an effective immune response to tumor proliferation and metastasis.

Many studies have investigated the upregulated PD-L1 ligand expression on the surface of tumor cells and the binding pathway of immune checkpoint PD-1 receptor on the surface of immune cells. These mechanisms may induce the silencing of lymphocytes against tumor cells (18,19). PD-1/PD-L1 pathway inhibitors disrupt the binding of PD-1 to PD-L1, block negative regulatory signals, and release the inhibited T cell activity (20), thereby restoring T cell activity and enhancing immune response. Thus, the dynamic surveillance and balance between the immune system and tumors are restored.

Theoretically, both PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors will eventually block the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, although their ligands are slightly different. However, the KEYNOTE 604 and IMPower133 trials reported that PD-1 inhibitors did not yield better survival outcomes in SCLC patients. However, PD-L1 inhibitors significantly improved OS. The exact mechanisms for this difference remain unclear, but may be explained by the role of PD-L1 in blocking CD80 signaling.

IMPower133 is a phase III, multicenter, double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled study evaluating the role of PD-L1 inhibitors combined with the EP regimen in treating treatment-naïve ES-SCLC patients. This combination was the first new treatment in the past 30 years to demonstrate a better effect than first-line EP chemotherapy in improving prognosis. The updated results of the IMPower133 trial have further shown that patients with ES-SCLC have gained obvious OS benefits. Based on the results of the IMPower133 trial, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has accepted for priority review a Biologics License

Table 1 Neuron-specific enolase changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuron-specific enolase</th>
<th>April, 9, 2020, initiation</th>
<th>May, 19, 2020, first session</th>
<th>June, 10, 2020, second session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSE (0–0.2 ng/mL)</td>
<td>70.71</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>8.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSE, neuron-specific enolase.
Application (BLA) for PD-L1 inhibitors. In 2019, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Version 1.2019 recommended the use of atezolizumab in combination with the EP regimen in the first-line treatment of adult patients with ES-SCLC. In the same year, the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) also included atezolizumab combined with EP regimen as the first-line treatment of adult patients with ES-SCLC in its guidelines.

The CASPIAN study is a randomized, open-label, global multicenter phase III clinical trial on the first-line treatment of patients with ES-SCLC. Treat-naïve patients with ES-SCLC were enrolled. In February 2020, the CASPIAN phase III trial published its findings, which showed that, compared with chemotherapy alone, durvalumab combined with chemotherapy could significantly improve OS. The median survival was 10.5 months in the chemotherapy-alone group and reached 12.9 months in the durvalumab plus chemotherapy group [hazard ratio (HR) =0.73, P=0.0047]; the 12-month OS rate was 39.8% in the chemotherapy-alone group but was 53.7% in the combination group; and the ORR was 57.6% and 67.9% in the chemotherapy-alone group and combination group, respectively. In addition, the survival rates in these two groups after 18 months of treatment were 24.7% and 33.9%, respectively. After 24 months of treatment, the rate of sustained remission was 3.9% and 13.5% in the chemotherapy-alone group and combination group, respectively (21,22).

The literature indicates that the immunotherapy of SCLC does not depend on the expression level of PD-L1, which may be due to the high TMB and imbalanced immune surveillance in SCLC. High TMB means that the abnormal antigens on the surface of tumor cells can be easily recognized by the immune system and become the targets of attack. The uncovering of the unique mechanism of immunotherapy provides new ideas for the treatment of SCLC. The treatment of our current patient used a combination protocol (durvalumab 10 mg/kg q3w + EP regimen), with the NSE being completely resolved before the second treatment cycle. After the third cycle, the patient had achieved PR according to results of imaging assessment, and the time to PR was significantly shorter than that in the traditional EP chemotherapy. The TMB was markedly reduced after the sixth cycle of treatment, and the tumor continued to shrink during the follow-up period. Durvalumab single-agent maintenance therapy may eventually achieve clinical CR. Currently there is an opportunity for radical resection of local tumor. Determining whether a major pathologic response (MPR) or even a complete pathologic response (CPR) at the cellular level could be achieved requires further examination and treatment efforts. Furthermore, the cumulative effect of PD-1 inhibitors can lead to a high incidence of immune enteritis at late stages; in contrast, no definite drug-related adverse event was noted during the durvalumab treatment. During the durvalumab treatment, the bone metastases were stable, alkaline phosphatase was not abnormally elevated, and there were no bone metastasis-related adverse events (e.g., pathological fractures). The patient has finished 6 cycles of immunotherapy, and surgical resection or immunotherapy for maintenance will be considered. No irAEs have occurred yet. It has been reported that immunotherapy combined with chemotherapy can effectively reduce the occurrence of irAEs in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (23), and a similar outcome has been seen in our current SCLC patient.

As a major breakthrough in tumor immunology in the past decade, the discovery of the tumor immunosuppressive mechanism has promoted advances in tumor immunotherapy. Initially it was thought that chemotherapy and other cytotoxic treatments would destroy the immune system and cause immunosuppression, thereby hindering the efficacy of immunotherapy. Since then, the view that immunotherapy combined with chemotherapy can increase anti-tumor T cell response through cross-priming and reduce irAEs has gradually been accepted (24). This phenomenon is especially prominent in the treatment of SCLC. Nevertheless, whether chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy can prolong its tail effect warrants further investigation.
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