Endoscopic ultrasound-guided radiofrequency ablation of unresectable pancreatic cancer with low ablation power and multiple applications: a preliminary study of 11 patients
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Background: Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is a novel modality in the treatment of solid tumours. The aim of the study is to evaluate the technical feasibility, safety and efficacy of multiple-round EUS-RFA with low ablation power for unresectable pancreatic cancer.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective analysis of eleven cases with unresectable pancreatic cancer who underwent EUS-RFA between November 2013 and November 2018. For each lesion, RITA 1500X radiofrequency generator was used to deliver 5–10 watts ablation power for 90 seconds, repeatedly. Eight cases underwent the same procedure one week later. Additionally, one patient with the lesion size of 29.7 mm underwent 8 total sessions of RFA every other week.

Results: The procedure was successful in all cases and no major adverse events were observed. The post procedure imaging studies and serum CA19-9 level were performed 1 month after procedure, showing two patients had decreased lesion sizes and five patients had decreased serum CA19-9 level. Follow-up duration ranged 2 to 12 months. The patient who underwent 8 total sessions of RFA survived 12 months after follow-up and showed increased tumour apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value and 20% ablated area inside the tumour.

Conclusions: A multiple-round ablation with optimal RFA energy could be a technically feasible, safe and short-term efficacy option for those patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer.
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Introduction

Pancreatic cancer often has a poor prognosis, with a 5-year survival rate below 10% and a median survival of less than 6 months (1). Conventional treatment approaches, such as surgery, radiation, chemotherapy, or combinations of these, have limited benefit for this aggressive cancer (2). Although several recent randomized controlled phase III trials have suggested potential approaches to improve pancreatic cancer treatment, resulting in median survivals of 8 to 13 months (3,4), better treatment options are required for patients with advanced pancreatic cancer.

Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is a well-established technique that produces a high level of heat within the tumour, leading to necrosis, followed by replacement of the dead tumour cells with scar tissue. It works well in treating various types of cancer such as liver, lung, and kidney cancers, causing few side effects without worsening quality of life in these patients (5,6). During laparotomy, RFA has been introduced to serve as a palliative procedure in inoperable pancreatic cancers (7,8). Nevertheless, ablating part of the pancreas might prove rather challenging because of its complex anatomy and the difficulty to precisely localize the target. Additionally, pancreatic tissue, which is biologically highly thermosensitive, when subjected to high levels of heat will cause an inflammatory response, followed by oedema, fibrotic changes, and finally, cystic transformation (7,8).

With the development of linear-array endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), RFA with EUS guidance (EUS-RFA) allows for real-time imaging deep in the pancreas, which would avoid possible injury to the adjacent tissues (9). Promising results has been reported for EUS-RFA of pancreatic cancer as a technically feasible and minimally invasive option and a novel future modality in a porcine model (10). However, there is no standardized protocol for pancreatic RFA since the optimal thermal kinetic characteristics for the pancreas have not been determined. For larger pancreas masses, it is still difficult to safely induce complete coagulation necrosis. The currently achievable coagulation diameter is 8 to 10 mm. Varadarajulu et al. (11) utilized EUS-RFA with a 19-gauge fine needle aspiration (FNA) needle fitted with an umbrella-shaped, retractable needle electrode array and they achieved a complete coagulation necrosis of 2.6 cm in diameter in the liver of 5 Yucatan pigs without damage to the surrounding parenchyma or vasculature.

We aimed at applying multiple needle insertions and low ablation power to achieve complete coagulation necrosis while still minimizing the risk of damage to the intestinal mucosa. Hence, here we showed our preliminary result of conducting multiple-round EUS-RFA with low ablation power for unresectable pancreatic cancer and evaluated the technical feasibility, safety and efficacy. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-1468).

Methods

Patients

In the present study, data of eleven patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer referred to EUS-RFA were prospectively collected from Tongji Hospital of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology between November 2013 and November 2018, and retrospectively analysed. All of the patients were diagnosed as pancreatic cancer by FNA cytology and gave written informed consent before the EUS-RFA procedure. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committees of Tongji Hospital of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (TJ-IRB20190611) and conformed to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

EUS-RFA procedure

RFA was performed under deep sedation according to the principles of “monitored anaesthesia care”. The patients were anaesthetized with intravenous administration of propofol. All patients received oxygen during the procedures; blood pressure and heart rate were monitored.

The procedure was performed by a single experienced endosonographer with more than 5 years of EUS experience, who had performed more than 300 EUS procedures and more than 150 FNA annually. The Olympus linear echoendoscope (GF-UCT 260; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) platform on an ultrasound processor was manoeuvred to obtain proper sonographic visualization of the target lesion. The ultrasound processors we used including Alpha 5 (Aloka, Tokyo, Japan), SU-8000 (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan), EU-ME1 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), and EU-ME2 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The Habib™ EUS RFA (EMcision Ltd., London, UK) is a 1 Fr wire (0.33 mm, 0.013”), which has a working length of 190 cm, that can be inserted through the biopsy
channel of an echoendoscope, and the length of the active tip is 2 cm. RFA power is applied to the active tip to coagulate tissue in the pancreas. Under EUS control, a 22-gauge FNA needle (with stylet) (Echo Tip; Cook Endoscopy, Ireland) was introduced into the target lesion. The tip of FNA needle was then positioned at the deepest part of the tumour through the gastric wall or duodenum. The stylet was removed from the FNA needle and the Habib™ EUS RFA catheter was gently pushed inside the hollow of the FNA needle until it could not be pushed farther. Carefully maintaining this position of the probe, the FNA needle was gradually withdrawn by 2–2.5 cm to disengage contact between the active part of the RF catheter located at the tip and the metallic FNA needle (12).

After insertion of the RFA electrode into the mass, the radiofrequency generator (RITA System Generator 1500X; RITA Medical Systems, California, USA) was applied. Generator power was set to 5–10 watts. The ablation was performed for 90 seconds per site, and was repeated until the hyperechoic zone around the electrode tip sufficiently covered the tumour.

Follow-up
Patients were kept in hospital at least for 2 days to evaluate the safety of the RFA treatment (clinical symptoms and laboratory tests including complete blood count, liver function tests, serum amylase/lipase levels). Serum CA19-9, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were performed before the procedure and at 1 month after the procedure. Clinical follow-up (consisting of CA19-9, imaging examination, clinical signs and survival time) was conducted at each other month after the procedure.

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables were described in terms of the numbers or percentages, whereas continuous variables were described as the mean (range). All of the statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA).

Results
Patients
Eleven patients with a median age of 64.7 (range, 42–83) years, 5 women and 6 men, were evaluated in this study. The patients presented to our hospital with common symptoms, including abdominal pain, jaundice, and diarrhoea. Diagnosis of pancreatic cancer was based on imaging reviewed by an expert radiologist, and was further confirmed by diagnostic FNA cytology. All patients were deemed not suitable for surgical intervention. Locally advanced disease was present in 7 patients, and 4 patients had metastasis. The pancreatic cancer was located in the head of the pancreas in four cases, in the pancreas neck in three cases, in the pancreas body in three case, and in the tail of the pancreas in one case. Table 1 provides the patient characteristics and procedure specifications.

Feasibility
The mean diameter of the tumours was 28.0 mm. In larger lesions, the Habib™ EUS RFA probe and needle was pulled back as one unit and repositioned to ablate near the proximal end of the lesion. This process could be repeated as many times as needed, to ensure complete ablation of the lesion. In case 1 and case 2, RFA was applied at 10 watts three times, respectively. In the other nine cases, RFA was applied at 5 watts four times, three times or two times according to the lesion size, and the same procedure was repeated 1 week later. In case 3, in which the lesion size was 29.7 mm, RFA was applied at 5 watts twice in one session, and the same procedure was repeated every other week for 8 total sessions. Table 2 summarizes the key outcomes.

Technical success was judged as the correct placement of the needle in the lesion to generate an efficient ablation wave and hyperechoic zone during the procedure (Figure 1). In this context, EUS-RFA was performed successfully in all cases.

Safety
There were no procedure-related deaths and no major complications observed post-procedure, including pancreatitis, bleeding, duodenal injury, or portal vein and/or splenic vein thrombosis (13). Only two patient (Case 5 and Case 9) experienced mild abdominal pain without detectable pancreatic enzyme elevation, and the condition improved in 24 hours.

Efficacy
Technical efficacy was evaluated by tumour size, serum
CA19-9, tumour apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value of MRI-diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and ablated area percentage measurement one month after the procedure. In 2 patients (case 3 and case 5) the tumour size decreased, and the serum CA19-9 levels decreased in 5 patients (case 1, case 4, case 5, case 8 and case 9) (Table 2). In one patient (case 3), we observed increased tumour ADC value (Figure 2) and 20% ablated area inside the tumour (Figure 3), indicating a favourable response to cancer therapy (14).

Case 3, a 62-year-old woman had locally advanced pancreatic cancer confirmed by EUS-FNA cytology. She underwent eight sessions of RFA every other week and...
 tolerated the treatment well. After the third session of RFA, we observed ablated area (12.0 mm × 8.5 mm) by EUS (Figure 3), and the patient had no signs of necrotizing pancreatitis. One month after the procedure was finished, CT imaging showed a reduced tumour size and MRI imaging show the increased tumour ADC (Figure 2). The patient was then followed for twelve months and at the time drafting this article, she remained alive without pain or jaundice.

Discussion

Under EUS guidance, RFA energy can target pancreatic lesions accurately. The use of RFA has expanded to treat more pancreatic diseases, including pancreatic cystic neoplasms and neuroendocrine tumours, as well as pancreatic cancer (15-17).

Recent study involving 10 adult mini pigs, Kim et al. (10) demonstrated safety, feasibility, and efficacy for EUS-RFA of the pancreatic body and tail. The pathology results also confirmed a well-demarcated spherical focus of coagulation necrosis in RFA sites. In a study of 5 Yucatan pigs, Gaidhane et al. (18) further demonstrated that EUS-RFA of the pancreatic head was well tolerated with minimal pancreatitis. Barthet et al. (15) reported that EUS-RFA performed on 29 patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours or cystic neoplasms was safe with a 10% complication rate, which can be decreased by improved prophylaxis for the procedure. Song et al. (13) applied EUS-RFA in 6 patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer (4 patients had locally advanced disease and 2 had metastasis). In their study, only 2 patients experienced mild abdominal pain, with no other adverse events. In addition, they found that the necrotic areas induced by RFA tended to increase blood flow on contrast-enhanced EUS, which might indicate the potential of RFA to enhance the efficacy of systemic chemotherapy.

Though EUS-RFA is technically successful in many cases, there have been clinical complications associated with the technique, including acute pancreatitis, pancreatic leaks, infection of necrotic pancreatic tissue, and post-treatment bleeding. Another problem is to achieve complete coagulation necrosis of pancreatic lesions with large diameter. It thus underlines the importance of choosing appropriate time and power used during ablation. As suggested by others, using lower energy may allow for
The images of case 3 indicate the change of the tumour after Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-radiofrequency ablation (RFA). (A) Computed tomography (CT) image of the pancreatic mass, which measured 29.7×17.2 mm prior to EUS-RFA; (B) magnetic resonance imaging diffusion-weighted imaging (MRI-DWI) (b-value 1,000 s/mm$^2$) shows the ROI (region of interest, arrow) before therapy; (C) color-coded apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map of the pancreatic mass before therapy, and the tumour ADC is 997.0×10$^{-6}$ mm$^2$/s in the ROI (arrow); (D) a CT scan performed 1 month after EUS-RFA, showing that the size of pancreatic mass was 26.5×11.7 mm; (E) MRI-DWI (b-value 1,000 s/mm$^2$) shows the ROI (arrow) after therapy; (F) color-coded ADC map of the pancreatic mass after therapy, and the tumour ADC is 1,397.3×10$^{-6}$ mm$^2$/s in the ROI (arrow).

Figure 3 Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) images show the coagulative necrosis generated after radiofrequency ablation (RFA). (A) EUS images of the pancreatic mass (arrow) before EUS-RFA; (B) EUS images of the ablated area (arrow) after three sessions of EUS-RFA.

Multiple ablations with lower morbidity and two or more procedures may be necessary in many cases (11,18).

Here we showed our preliminary study of conducting multiple-round EUS-RFA with low power for unresectable pancreatic cancer. In our first two patients, we observed charring of the pancreatic tissue that tended to interfere with thermal conduction. Therefore, we reduced power for the remainder of study. Yoon et al. (19) used the Habib$^{TM}$ monopolar EUS RFA electrode in their porcine pancreas model. The mean maximum diameters of necrotic areas generated by 3, 4, 5, 10, 15, and 25 watts energy were 2.5±0.7, 4.0±1.4, 8.0±1.7, 6.3±1.5, 4.7±1.2, and 4.5±0.7 mm, respectively. It seems that using 5 watts is sufficient to achieve the maximum coagulative necrosis (8.0±1.7 mm). We carefully chose 5 watts for case 3 and observed a discrete zone of coagulation necrosis during follow-up.
In current study, we used the RFA electrode transmitting monopolar RF energy through the RITA System Generator 1500X. Accordingly, the tips of the RITA hooks have thermocouples that report real-time temperatures at the treatment volume margin, as the tissue heats up, which automatically maximizes treatment volume. Thus, the RFA electrode provides linearly, scalable ablations up to 2 cm in length and 1 cm in diameter. For case 3, the tumour was 29.7×17.2 mm. Ideally, a maximum of 2 to 3 needle insertions could be made into the lesion for each ablation session. For safety and efficiency purposes, RFA was conducted with 5 watts ablation power twice in one session, and the same procedure was repeated every other week for 8 total sessions. After the third session of RFA, we observed that the maximal coagulative necrosis was 12×8.5 mm by EUS (Figure 3). In case 4 to 11, RFA was applied at 5 watts four times, three times or two times according to the lesion size. Nevertheless, the same procedure was only repeated once, 1 week later, mainly because the patients could not afford the EUS-RFA cost.

We evaluated main outcomes, including technical success and short-term efficacy (tumour response), measured by the tumour size, the tumour ADC, CA19-9 level and ablated area percentage. MRI-DWI technique depicts molecular diffusion and has been used for the depiction and characterization of tumours in oncological imaging (20). DWI is valued by ADC. A significant reduction in the diffusion properties of water protons in solid tumour and the resulting reduction in the measured ADC value of tumour relative to normal tissue have been well documented and now is often used to predict the early response of solid tumours to an effective therapy (14,21). Thus, the tendency for ADC to decrease as tumour volume increases whereas the increasing ADC reflects the favourable response to cancer therapy (14).

We observed case 3 who survived one year after follow-up and showed increased ADC value of DWI and 20% ablated area, indicating survival benefit maybe achieved. It has been reported that the decrease of CA 19–9 during chemotherapy with gemcitabine predicts survival time in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer (22). However, cases in our study such as case 4 and case 5 who were found died due to metastasis although had reduced CA19–9 value after procedure, it seems that EUS-RFA did not provide a survival benefit. In the future, a case series study is required to confirm our results.

The obvious drawback of this ablative method is its palliative nature, given the possibility of growth of the remnant tumour (23). However, recent bench research suggested that RFA-mediated adaptive immunity also plays important anticancer roles by recruiting immune cells to ablation sites and subsequently releasing anti-tumour responses (24,25). Dromi et al. (26) reported that RFA treatment results in enhanced anti-tumour T-cell immune responses. Another study suggested that RFA might stimulate antigen specific anti-tumour immunity by inducing expression of heat shock protein 70 (27). Given that RFA generates large amounts of cellular debris and stimulates necrotic cell death which may activate local dendritic cells, our method using multiple-round EUS-RFA with low ablation power may continuously create a proimmune environment both locally and systemically and therefore contribute to the enhanced adaptive immunity.

Our study presents the following limitations: a small cohort of patients, a short follow-up period, and their disease was heterogeneous. Moreover, given the short-time interval in analysis and the discontinuing after two round RFA treatments in most patients, it is still not possible to deduce any conclusion regarding the improvement in the survival rate after RFA procedure.

Conclusions

The present case series showed that a multiple-round ablation with optimal RF energy is feasible, safe, and short-term efficacy for patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. However, the long-term efficacy of this novel treatment method should be further assessed in properly designed studies.
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