Study on the relationship between sex hormone changes and erectile dysfunction in patients with chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome
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Background: To investigate the relationship between serum sex hormones and erectile dysfunction (ED), changes in erectile function and sex hormones were studied in patients with chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CP/CPPS).

Methods: A total of 171 patients with CP/CPPS who met the inclusion criteria from January 2016 to June 2019 were retrospectively analyzed. The level of patient's testosterone (TT), estradiol (E2), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH), prolactin (PRL), premature ejaculation diagnostic tool (PEDT) score and international index of erectile function (IIEF-5) score were separately observed and compared.

Results: Among 171 eligible patients, 131 (76.61%) cases were diagnosed as ED and 40 (23.39%) cases were normal. Between the ED and No-ED groups, the PRL and PEDT score were statistically significant (P<0.01) based on the test results. ED-dependent and PEDT-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis and decision curve analysis (DCA) were performed on different degrees of ED to determine the predictive performance and clinical applicability. The results showed that PRL can better predict the possibility of ED in CP/CPPS patients than PEDT.

Conclusions: For CP/CPPS patients, the Prolactin level decreases as the degree of ED increases. Prolactin can be used as a predictor to better predict the possibility of ED in CP/CPPS patients.
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Introduction

The incidence of prostatitis is high and its complex symptoms seriously affect the quality of the life of patients (1). Chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain syndrome (CP/CPPS) as category III is classified as aseptic prostatitis according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (2). As the most common type of prostatitis, it accounts for 90–95% of prostatitis diagnosis and the prevalence rate is about 15% of the male population (3). CP/CPPS as a prostatitis seriously afflicts the healthy life of men. At present, the treatment for CP/CPPS are constantly updated. The current methods of treating CP/CPPS include antibiotics, alpha blockers, phosphodiesterase inhibitors, 5-α reductase inhibitors and so on (4). Additionally, physiotherapy has been shown to be effective in relieving symptoms of CP/CPPS, such as acupuncture (5), low-intensity extracorporeal shock wave therapy (Li-ESWT) (6) and circumcision (4).

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is defined as the sustained inability to obtain and maintain sufficient erections to get satisfactory sexual performance (7). Related studies indicate a correlation between ED and CP/CPPS, the incidence of ED is generally higher in patients with CP/CPPS (8). According to several studies, the prevalence of ED is 15.0% to 40.5% in CP/CPPS patients in China according to several studies (9). Relevant studies indicated that the obstruction of penile blood circulation is the pathological mechanism of ED (10). Moreover, the animal model studies we conducted have indicated that decreased levels of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) in penile tissue may be the causes of ED in CP/CPPS (11-13).

In practice, atherosclerosis and diabetes are the most common causes of ED. Additionally, obesity, nerve damage and abnormal hormone expression are all risk factors for ED (10). The role of sex hormones in the development of ED has also been well studied; especially testosterone, prolactin, estradiol, etc. (14). For example, free testosterone is one of the predictors of ED occurrence (15). However, there is still a lack of clinical investigations for CP/CPPS patients with ED, especially the relationship between various serum hormones and ED. We conducted a retrospective study of CP/CPPS patients to explore the effects of sex hormones on ED in CP/CPPS patients. Our investigation can better complement the mechanism of ED in CP/CPPS patients, as a supplement to verify the results of animal experiments and guide further research directions. Simultaneously, the results of our research can assist in clinical diagnosis and distinguishing different kinds types of ED for the individualized treatment. We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-985).

Methods

Clinical materials

From January 2016 to June 2019, a total of 326 patients with CP/CPPS were treated in our hospital, of which 171 patients met the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (I) the patients with CP/CPPS received anti-inflammatory analgesic treatment; (II) the chief complaints concluded an abnormality in the erectile function; (III) the patients signed an informed consent form; (IV) the patients completed relevant questionnaires [premature ejaculation diagnostic tool (PEDT) and international index of erectile function (IIEF-5)] and laboratory examinations. Exclusion criteria: (I) personal history of the patients included endocrine diseases such as diabetes etc.; (II) personal history of the patients included neurological and genetic disorders; (III) the diagnosis of the patients included urinary tract infection; (IV) the patients had testicular disease such as testicular torsion etc.; (V) patients’ diagnosis included varicocele.

The diagnostic criteria of CP/CPPS complied in this study were as follows: (I) history of present illness had recurrent pain in the perineum, suprapubic or lumbar sacral area lasting more than three months; (II) laboratory tests ruled out urinary tract infections; (III) no pathogens such as bacteria were found in expressed prostatic secretions (EPS). Simultaneously, the diagnostic criteria of ED used in our study were complied with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) criteria (https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/urologic-diseases/erectile-dysfunction?dkrd=hispt0409).

The detailed study design was shown in Figure 1. All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013) and approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Tenth People’s Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University (SHSY-IEC-KY-4.0/18-68/01) and written informed consent was obtained from all patients or their relatives.
We counted the basic information of the patient, such as age and body mass index (BMI). Simultaneously, the patients’ sex hormone variables were recorded, including testosterone (TT), estradiol (E2), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and prolactin (PRL). The patients received an investigation of ED related questionnaire, including PEDT and international index of erectile function (IIEF-5). All the above information was recorded by specialized researchers and kept properly. The patient information was kept by a dedicated clinical investigator to prevent information leakage. In addition, data collection and processing require the consent of all participants in this study to proceed.

According to whether suffering from ED based on the diagnostic criteria adopted in our study, the patients were divided into two groups: ED and No-ED. The degree of ED was determined by inquiring about the patient’s own history of sexual intercourse and completing the investigation of the patient’s confidence, satisfaction and duration of the intercourse process based on the IIEF-5. And the patients with ED were separated into three subgroups [Mild group (12 to 21), Moderate group (8 to 11) and Severe group (5 to 7)] based on the varying degrees of ED.

**Statistical method**

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS, version 20.0) was used to analyze and process the data. The chi-square test was used to analyze the clinical factors related to ED and the subgroups. Based on the statistical results, PRL was included as the target variable. The relationship between PRL and ED was analyzed by correlation test, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and decision curve analysis (DCA) analysis. P<0.05 indicated statistical significance. DCA was conducted using R software (v. 3.5.1, Vienna, Austria). The “rmda” package was used to construct the DCA curve plots.

**Results**

**General information of patients**

We confirmed 171 eligible patients from January 2016 to June 2019 in our medical center. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of total patients. Among the eligible patients, 131 cases were diagnosed as ED and 40 cases were normal. The average age and BMI of the study sample were 38.89±8.88 years and 23.54±3.00 kg/m$^2$. Average PRL was 258.87±129.34 mIU/L. The average values of other sex hormone indicators such as TT, E2, LH and FSH were 24.08±136.11 nmol/L, 74.41±44.10 pmol/L, 4.99±2.69 IU/L and 5.06±4.36 IU/L. Average PEDT and IIEF-5 score were 9.38±4.87, 16.27±6.03 respectively.

**Comparison of sex hormone levels in No-ED group and ED group**

According to the IIEF-5 index, patients were divided into two groups: No-ED group (22 to 25) and ED group (5 to 21). The clinical characteristics of all patients stratified by whether suffering from ED were showed by Table 2. There

---

**Table 1 Baseline characteristics of total patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Median ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>38.89±8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>23.54±3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>24.08±136.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (nmol/L)</td>
<td>74.41±44.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (pmol/L)</td>
<td>4.99±2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (IU/L)</td>
<td>5.06±4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (IU/L)</td>
<td>258.87±129.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL (mIU/L)</td>
<td>9.38±4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDT</td>
<td>16.27±6.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; TT, testosterone; E2, estradiol; LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; PRL, prolactin; PEDT, premature ejaculation diagnostic tool; IIEF-5, international index of erectile function.
was no significant difference in age, BMI, testosterone, estradiol, LH and FSH (P>0.05). The value of PRL (374.18±135.92 mIU/L) in No-ED group was higher than it (223.67±104.85 mIU/L) in ED group. And the comparison was statistically significant (Figure 2). The values of PEDT score were 7.43±4.76 in No-ED group and 9.98±4.76 in ED group. Similarly, the values of IIEF-5 were 23.25±0.90 (No-ED group) and 14.14±5.26 (ED group).

Analyzing the relationship between PRL and ED by correlation test. The Kendall correlation coefficient (r) was 0.381 (P<0.01). ED-dependent and PEDT-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis showed that the area under curve (AUC) of PRL and PEDT for predicting ED were 0.817 and 0.657 (Figure 3). DCA curve was used to assess whether PRL would help with clinical treatment strategies (Figure 4). In our study, when the threshold probability varied from 0 to 1, according to the

Table 2 Clinical characteristics of all patients stratified by whether suffering from ED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No-ED</th>
<th>ED</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>40 (23.39)</td>
<td>131 (76.61)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>37.45±9.13</td>
<td>39.34±8.79</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.28±3.15</td>
<td>23.32±2.96</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (nmol/L)</td>
<td>15.16±5.34</td>
<td>13.21±5.71</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (pmol/L)</td>
<td>77.31±43.89</td>
<td>73.44±44.29</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (IU/L)</td>
<td>4.76±1.38</td>
<td>5.06±2.98</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (IU/L)</td>
<td>4.82±2.46</td>
<td>5.14±4.79</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL (mIU/L)</td>
<td>374.18±135.92</td>
<td>223.67±104.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDT</td>
<td>7.43±4.76</td>
<td>9.98±4.76</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIEF-5</td>
<td>23.25±0.90</td>
<td>14.14±5.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; TT, testosterone; E2, estradiol; LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; PRL, prolactin; PEDT, premature ejaculation diagnostic tool; IIEF-5, international index of erectile function.

Figure 2 The scatter plot of PRL expression levels in No-ED group and ED group (**P<0.01). PRL, prolactin; ED, erectile dysfunction.

Effect of PRL in predicting ED

Figure 3 ROC curve for PRL-dependent and PEDT-dependent. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; PRL, prolactin; PEDT, premature ejaculation diagnostic tool.
DCA, “PRL” achieved the most net benefit compared with “treat all” strategy, “treat none” strategy, and PEDT. It was found that PRL can better predict the possibility of ED in CP/CPPS patients than PEDT. And the cut-off points for predicting ED was 275.9 mIU/L.

**Comparison of sex hormone levels in different ED group**

According to the IIEF-5 index, patients were divided into three groups: Mild group (12 to 21), Moderate group (8 to 11) and Severe group (5 to 7). Table 3 shows the clinical characteristics of all patients stratified by the severity of ED. PRL, estradiol and PEDT had significant differences between different groups (P<0.05, Figure 5A). The values of PRL in different groups were 245.21±106.84 mIU/L (Mild group), 183.98±58.08 mIU/L (Moderate group) and 134.39±28.98 mIU/L (Severe group) respectively. The scatter diagram between PRL and ED was drawn (Figure 5B). For estradiol, the values were 64.61±40.74 pmol/L (Mild group), 76.59±39.07 pmol/L (Moderate group) and 120.47±42.74 pmol/L (Severe group) respectively.

**Discussion**

As the most common type of CP, CP/CPPS seriously affects men’s health (4). ED is one of the functional diseases that afflict middle-aged men (16). Previous studies have reported that CP/CPPS is associated with ED (8,9). And the results in animal models also indicated that CP/CPPS was one of the causes of ED (2,11,12). In addition, abnormal levels of sex hormone are important causes of ED and testosterone therapy or phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors have been proven effective in treating ED (17,18). The aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between sex hormone changes and ED in CP/CPPS patients.

Low androgen levels or abnormalities in androgen receptors were partially account for ED (19). Testosterone as the most important androgen in men, plays an effective role in the treatment of ED (20,21). About 44% of

---

**Table 3 Clinical characteristics of all patients stratified by the severity of ED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mild (Total %)</th>
<th>Moderate (Total %)</th>
<th>Severe (Total %)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>92 (70.77)</td>
<td>22 (16.92)</td>
<td>16 (12.31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>38.61±8.53</td>
<td>40.45±9.46</td>
<td>41.63±9.26</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.41±2.71</td>
<td>23.79±3.74</td>
<td>24.63±3.23</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT (nmol/L)</td>
<td>13.05±5.87</td>
<td>14.13±4.90</td>
<td>12.87±5.99</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (pmol/L)</td>
<td>64.61±40.74</td>
<td>76.59±39.07</td>
<td>120.47±42.74</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (IU/L)</td>
<td>4.90±3.03</td>
<td>6.02±3.51</td>
<td>4.56±1.29</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (IU/L)</td>
<td>4.97±5.34</td>
<td>5.93±3.29</td>
<td>5.06±3.12</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRL (mIU/L)</td>
<td>245.21±106.84</td>
<td>183.98±58.08</td>
<td>134.39±28.98</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDT</td>
<td>9.14±4.74</td>
<td>12.95±3.37</td>
<td>10.50±5.11</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIEF-5</td>
<td>16.97±2.65</td>
<td>9.14±1.08</td>
<td>4.31±1.99</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; TT, testosterone; E2, estradiol; LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; PRL, prolactin; PEDT, premature ejaculation diagnostic tool; IIEF-5, international index of erectile function.
testosterone combined to serum sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) to form testosterone without biological activity and bioavailable testosterone (Bio-T) did affect sexual function. Martinez-Jabaloyas et al. found that the total testosterone (TT) levels were not related to sexual function in men with ED. And it has been reported that free testosterone (FT) has a predictive effect on the occurrence of ED after radical prostatectomy. Our study found that comparison between groups in CP/CPPS patients were not statistically significant (P>0.05).

Hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (HPGA) plays an important role in male sexual function maturation and maintenance. LH and FSH, as the important components in the axis, their functions were self-evident. They can promote the maturation of follicles and more researches are now focused on female reproduction. FSH worked on male sperm maturation. We can distinguish between primary and secondary testosterone deficiency (TD) by detecting LH levels. It has been reported that the use of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LRH) to promote LH release also had a certain promoting effect on normal male sexual function. We found that they were not related to ED (P>0.05).

Estradiol as a kind of estrogen promoted female secondary sexual development and sexual organ maturation. It will combine SHBG to affect testosterone expression in males and further affect sexual function. Related reports had confirmed that high estradiol was positively correlated with erectile function. And the testosterone : estrogen ratio was found to be associated with sexual function. Increased ratio of estrogen: testosterone in animal studies indicated a negative effect on sexual function. Mancini et al. found serum E2 positively correlated with severity of ED secondary to venous leak. At the same time, elevated estradiol was a contributing factor to PRL. It could directly act on the pituitary to promote PRL release; increased the sensitivity of the anterior pituitary to PRL regulatory factors. As we found, estradiol levels were positively correlated with ED levels (P<0.05); but it didn’t make sense for the diagnosis of ED. This may be due to the lack of our sample size. Prospective study of multicenter participation is urgently needed to further study its role in sexual function.

PRL, as one part of HPGA had been reported in studies, was related with male sexual function. Many related studies had reported that PRL was associated with libido. The low libido exhibited by hyperprolactinemia was mainly related to the inhibition of HPGA. This indirectly indicated that low PRL had the potential to cause sexual dysfunction. There is also evidence that low PRL levels also influence sexual function. Related epidemiological surveys indicate that low PRL is associated with impaired penile blood flow and ED. Our findings also proved these relationship PRL levels were negatively correlated with ED (P<0.05). Treatment of hyperprolactinemia in men with reduced PRL medication could restore libido; this
result was not available in testosterone therapy (43,44). It is speculated that PRL can directly affect sexual function. This had also been verified in animal experiments (45).

At present, the specific mechanism to explain this association is not clear. The association between low PRL and ED can be explained by the central 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) reduction (36). Studies had confirmed that 5-HT is the stimulating factor of PRL (46). Researches in animal models had also shown that 5-HT is positively correlated with PRL (47). There were evidences demonstrated that agonists of 5-HT could induce erection in animal models and the antagonists could prevent the dopamine (DA)-induced erection (48). In summary, the relationship between low PRL and ED could be explained by a reduction of central 5-HT. However, there is still no further experiment to prove this hypothesis.

The relationship between premature ejaculation (PE) and ED has been reported in related studies (49,50). PEDT score as a method of evaluating PE can also predict ED. Our study found that patients with ED have lower PEDT scores which further corroborated the relevant findings. But its ROC analysis result showed that its prediction accuracy was lower than PRL (0.657 vs. 0.817). This result further demonstrated the feasibility of PRL as a predictor. We also used a DCA curve to assess whether PRL would help with clinical treatment strategies. DCA showed that the PRL outperformed the PEDT across a wide range of threshold probabilities, which revealed that the clinical parameters added incremental value to ED risk evaluation in both the primary and external cohorts.

Our study initially revealed the predictive role of sex hormones in developing ED in patients with CP/CPPS. As a retrospective study, this study had various limitations. Firstly, the small sample size was the point that cannot be ignored. Secondly, statistical bias is an important deficiency of retrospective research. The selection bias caused by subjective factors in data statistics and processing was also a defect in our study. Thirdly, the types of clinical factors included in our study were less and needed to be further expanded. Additionally, the patients’ information included in this study was only from one clinical center and may not be representative. There is still a lack of relevant multi-center prospective clinical trials to further demonstrate the results which is also the focus of our future work.

**Conclusions**

Patients with CP/CPPS are more susceptible to ED than healthy people. Serum sex hormone abnormalities are an important cause of ED, but no studies have been conducted in patients with CP/CPPS. Our retrospective study of the relationship between sex hormones and ED in patients with CP/CPPS found that routine examination of PRL can be used as a predictor of ED; and its level was positively correlated with ED. Future prospective studies are needed to validate its value as a predictive indicator.
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