Introduction

Pyrotinib is an irreversible receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) used to inhibit multiple ErbB receptors such as human epidermal growth factor receptor 1 (HER1), HER2, and HER4 (1). Pyrotinib has been demonstrated to be an effective and safe drug to treat HER2-positive relapsed and metastatic breast cancers (2-4). For example, Ma and co-workers reported response to and tolerable adverse effects of pyrotinib therapy in half of 38 patients with heavily pretreated metastatic breast cancer, and determined a maximum tolerated pyrotinib dose of 400 mg per day (4). Pyrotinib combined with capecitabine could significantly improve patients’ objective response rate (ORR) and median progression-free survival (PFS) time (3), and therefore has been approved for treating HER2-positive advanced or metastatic breast cancer in patients previously treated with taxanes, anthracyclines, and trastuzumab (5). However, the effect of pyrotinib therapy on patients with resistance to trastuzumab has not been fully demonstrated. A phase II trial study showed promising effects of pyrotinib plus capecitabine therapy on patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer previously treated with taxanes, anthracyclines and trastuzumab (3). Specifically, pyrotinib plus capecitabine therapy showed a
significant higher objective response rate (79% vs. 57%) and median progression free survival (PFS) time (18.1 vs. 7.0 months) compared to lapatinib plus capecitabine therapy. Nevertheless, the treatment effect of pyrotinib combined with other chemotherapy drugs remains unclear, limiting a clinician’s selection of chemotherapy drugs.

As a widely used adjuvant chemotherapy drug, carboplatin has been found to own highly synergistic activity with trastuzumab (6-8). One previous study has found that trastuzumab combined with carboplatin, could significantly improve the clinical outcomes of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (9). Therefore, investigating the treatment efficacy of pyrotinib combined with carboplatin could supply significant insights into new therapeutic options for the pyrotinib-based treatment regimen. Here we present a case showing continuous clinical benefits from pyrotinib combined with carboplatin in one patient with HER2-positive relapsed breast cancer previously treated with taxanes, anthracyclines, and trastuzumab. We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-1363).

Case history

A 45-year-old female patient was recruited for the case study. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the host institute. In May 2017, the patient was diagnosed as left breast cancer (Figure 1), invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC), grade III, with lymphatic vessels infiltrated with tumor cells, estrogen receptor (ER) negative (<1%), progesterone receptor (PR) negative (<1%), HER2 positive (3+ by immunohistochemistry, IHC), Ki67 50%+, and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) positive (Figure 1A,B,C,D). Then, she underwent a mastectomy of the left breast and left axillary LNs dissection, with 21 of 23 axillary LNs found as metastasized. From May to November 2017, she received adjuvant chemotherapy with four cycles of EC (epirubicin, 85 mg/m², cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m², iv drip, cycled every 21 days), followed by TH (docetaxel 80 mg/m², iv drip, cycled every 21 days for 4 cycles; trastuzumab, 8 mg/kg as the first dosage, 6 mg/kg in the following cycles, cyclic every 21 days for one year). With postoperative radiotherapy and one-year trastuzumab treatment, no recurrence or metastasis was detected in her regular follow-ups until November 2018.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Figure 2) on December 26, 2018, showed skin thickening and subcutaneous multiple strip shadows of the right breast, enlarged right axillary LNs, and right pleural effusion, suggesting that tumor infiltration could not be excluded (Figure 2A,B,C). Three months after trastuzumab adjuvant use, she occasionally noticed rashes on the skin of her right breast and left chest wall (Figure 3). However, the patient refused to receive further biopsies because she claimed not to have discomfort.

Three months later, the patient came to seek further treatment because more rashes had occurred on the right breast and left chest wall (Figure 3A). On February 11, 2019, we performed a lumpectomy on her right breast mass with the last MRI result. She was pathologically diagnosed as IDC, grade II, ER-negative, PR negative, HER2 positive, and Ki67 40%+ (Figure 1E,F,G,H), with tumor cells dispersing in a 5×4.5 cm area and infiltrating lymphatic vessels in the skin. Meanwhile, biopsies of skin rashes on the right breast and left chest wall were performed, which were identified as IDC, with tumor cells in the skin dermis and lymphatic vessels, ER-negative, PR negative, HER2 positive and Ki67 20%. Therefore, the patient was diagnosed with HER2-positive relapsed breast cancer, metastasis from the left to the right breast and chest wall skin. According to the thoracic surgeon’s opinion, a pleural puncture biopsy could not be administered due to insufficient pleural effusion.

From February 28, 2019, the patient received treatment of pyrotinib (400 mg, orally once per day, days 1–21) combined with carboplatin (600 mg, iv drip, day1, cycled every 21 days) as the first-line treatment regimen. Four days later, the color of the rashes on the skin faded (Figure 3B). She completed another two cycles of treatments as planned without clear side effects, and then received breast MRI scanning. Compared with the MRI result of December 26, 2018, the skin thickness and cord shadow of the right breast were observed to have decreased (Figure 2D,E,F), while the right pleural effusion remained similar. Moreover, the rash area on the right breast skin had significantly shrunk, and the color of the rashes had faded. No rashes were found on the left chest wall skin (Figure 3C).

The patient did not receive later treatment in our hospital due to personal financial issues. No new rashes were found on the skin of her right breast or her left chest wall after three months (Figure 3D). No obvious signs of recurrence were shown from the breast MRI (Figure 2G,H,I). Also, skin thickness and cord shadow of the right breast was observed to have decreased, while the degree of right pleural effusion remained similar. Finally, no enlarged LN was found. All procedures performed in
studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient.

**Discussion**

The patient was diagnosed as breast cancer recurrence three months after one-year trastuzumab adjuvant use, showing possible acquiring of trastuzumab resistance. These findings served as the rationale to change to other HER2 target
Figure 2 Breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). (A,B,C) MRI on December 26, 2018: transverse plane, sagittal plane, enlarged LN of right axilla. (D,E,F) MRI on April 30, 2019: transverse plane, sagittal plane, no enlarged LN of right axilla. (G,H,I) MRI on August 1, 2019: transverse plane, sagittal plane, no enlarged LN of right axilla. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
agents. Ma and co-workers observed a durable response to pyrotinib treatment in six patients with the trastuzumab-resistant disease. Five patients achieved partial responses, with the most prolonged response duration of 382 days. One trastuzumab-resistant patient with stable disease had a time to progression (TTP) of 128 days. In our case, we implemented three cycles of pyrotinib combined with carboplatin as the later therapy to treat the patient. The patient was evaluated as a stable disease for more than 150 days (from February 28, 2019, to August 1, 2019), which might have been even longer. These results show that the efficacy of pyrotinib combined with carboplatin on our patient is close to pyrotinib combined with capecitabine in other studies. Diarrhea is the most common side effect associated with TKI targeting epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)/HER2 (10). The patient in our case took 400mg of pyrotinib per day and did not exhibit obvious diarrhea during the whole treatment. Also, carboplatin is much cheaper than capecitabine, and therefore may be more affordable for patients in economically underdeveloped regions. In conclusion, our study provides evidence of the feasibility of pyrotinib combined with carboplatin in treating patients who have HER2-positive replaces or metastatic breast cancer previously treated with taxanes, anthracyclines, and trastuzumab.
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