Radiotherapy to the brain: what are the consequences of this age-old treatment?
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Abstract: Radiotherapy (RT) has been widely used in the management of benign and malignant brain tumors for decades. However, complications can develop as a result of adjacent structures being exposed to radiation. As such, careful selection of patients and deciding on the most suitable modality of RT are crucial to minimize complications. In general, complications can be subdivided based on its timeline of onset: acute (few days to weeks), early delayed (1–6 months) and late (>6 months). Late complications such as cognitive decline and radiation necrosis can be debilitating and negatively impacts quality-of-life. New strategies to reduce RT-related complications such as with hippocampal sparing-WBRT, memantine, and focal RT (e.g., stereotactic radiosurgery) have had promising results and are being adopted in clinical practice. This review will focus on RT-related complications in the brain, with a focus on WBRT or SRS-related late adverse events, as well as measures to mitigate these complications.
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Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT) remains a cornerstone in the management of brain tumors. The use of RT has been described from as early as 1930s, when Lenz and Freid described ‘temporary regression of signs of increased intracranial pressure and localized brain involvement following moderate dosage of radiotherapy’ (1). Chao et al. in 1954 reported symptomatic relief in two-thirds of patients with brain metastases who received brain radiation (2). RT has since become an established treatment of brain tumors in the curative, palliative, and also prophylactic settings (as in the case of small cell lung cancer to reduce the incidence of brain metastases) (3). In the curative setting, RT is an important adjunct modality to surgery and chemotherapy in primary brain tumors such as gliomas as these tend to be infiltrative and are incompletely removed with surgery alone (4). In the setting of brain metastases, early trials have shown that whole-brain RT (WBRT) improved survival compared to corticosteroids alone (5,6). Up to 30% of cancer patients eventually develop brain metastases, and the goals of care in these patients have widened over the years to encompass preserving and improving quality of life. RT still has a major role to play, as the activity of systemic chemotherapy within brain parenchyma remains limited (7). However, in patients with poorer prognosis, WBRT may
not offer significant benefit in terms of survival and quality of life. Therefore, the option of withholding WBRT may be considered (8).

The addition of local aggressive therapy (either surgery, or stereotactic radiosurgery) to WBRT lead to improved outcomes in patients with single or limited (1 to 3) brain metastases—including improved survival (in patients with a single lesion), fewer recurrence, and longer duration of functional independence (9-11). The use of SRS alone was then compared to upfront WBRT with SRS for patients with 1–4 intact brain metastases and no significant difference in survival was reported, however distant intracranial relapse was noted to be higher with SRS alone (12). The main drawback of adding WBRT to SRS for patients with limited brain metastases is the treatment-related neurocognitive deterioration which was first reported by Chang et al. in a single institution randomized controlled trial (RCT) in 2009 and more recently, in a larger scale multi-institution RCT (13,14). In 2014, the American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) recommended for WBRT to not be routinely added to SRS for limited brain metastases in their Choosing Wisely campaign and advised patients to undergo careful surveillance with consideration for salvage therapy in the event of relapse (15). Compared to WBRT, the use of SRS limits the volume of healthy brain parenchyma being exposed to radiation, however adjacent structures (such as cranial nerves, brainstem) are still at risk of developing complications. Stereotactic radiosurgery alone is now routinely recommended, by international guidelines, for patients with limited brain metastases, for it allows for better local control with fewer neurocognitive side effects (16,17). Table 1 shows the different modality and combination of treatment in the management of brain metastases.

Nevertheless, careful patient selection to undergo RT is important. Late toxicities from RT can be debilitating, affect quality of life and at times irreversible. This may be more critical for those receiving RT for benign conditions (e.g., pituitary adenoma, meningioma) or prophylactically. Even in the palliative setting, patients are living longer due to improvements in systemic therapy. As such, prognostic tools form an important part of clinical decision making. Within the context of brain metastases, the Graded Prognostic Assessment (GPA) provides a histology-specific scoring system for prognosticating patients’ expected survival. This is based on factors including age, Karnofsky performance status (KPS), number of brain metastases and status of extracranial metastases (25). The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) evaluated three consecutive trials involving brain metastases in patients and used recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) to subdivide prognosis into 3 classes; class I (KPS >70, <65 years old, controlled primary, no extracranial metastases), class III (KPS <70), class II (all others) (26). An individualized prognostic nomogram for patients with brain metastases has been developed using de-identified data from 7 RTOG randomized clinical trials and is useful in counselling patients with regards to their prognosis (27).

In this review article, we will be looking closer into the effects of radiation on the brain, with a focus on late adverse events related to WBRT or SRS. We will briefly touch on the pathophysiology, clinical manifestation and mitigation strategies.

Radiation-related complications

Brain parenchyma is known to be a late-reacting tissue with a low alpha/beta ratio and a limited capacity to for repair (28). In addition, brain parenchyma exhibits a volume effect—where small volumes can tolerate higher radiation doses (29). The Quantitative Analyses of Normal Tissue Effects in the Clinic (QUANTEC) workgroup document is an important and widely referenced for organ-specific tissue tolerance to RT and will be included in relevant sections below (30). Based on the time of onset of clinical manifestation, radiation-related complications can be described as acute (occurring during or days to weeks after RT), early-delayed (few weeks to months after RT), or late (several months to years after RT) (31). Histologically, Szeifert et al. described 3 types of tissue response seen in post-SRS resected brain metastases; acute, subacute-, and chronic-type reactions. The acute-type, observed from 1–17 months post-SRS, is characterized by sharply demarcated coagulation necrosis. During the subacute type, observed from 5–59 months post-SRS, well circumscribed coagulation necrosis was observed, and in the chronic-type, observed from 9–33 months post-SRS, scar tissue and calcification was seen (32,33).

Acute

Cerebral edema is commonly encountered within days to weeks of RT to the brain and is due to radiation-induced vascular injury causing a transient increase in permeability (31). Clinically, it manifests as headache, nausea, or even worsening of pre-existing neurological deficits.
Symptomatic edema was reported to occur in 5–43% of patients with meningioma after SRS (34). Corticosteroids typically provide good symptomatic relief, however there is no standardized guideline on corticosteroid prescription in the prevention or treatment of cerebral edema and the practice is largely physician dependent with low dose dexamethasone of 4–8 mg for 3–7 days prescribed with proton pump inhibitor being the most common practice (35,36). Radiation-induced seizures, particularly with SRS, can occur with 1–3 days post-SRS and may be more common for lesions located in the motor cortex (37). However, there remains a large variation in practice with regards to seizure prophylaxis for patients undergoing SRS (36). Fatigue is another well-known acute effect of WBRT (38). Alopecia, which may potentially cause distress in some patients, also frequently occurs in patients undergoing WBRT. A dose dependent effect has previously been reported with lower doses causing reversible alopecia with WBRT. A dose dependent effect has previously been reported with lower doses causing reversible alopecia with WBRT. A dose dependent effect has previously been reported with lower doses causing reversible alopecia with WBRT.
complete hair regrowth within 2–4 months whereas higher dose of RT causes irreversible alopecia (39,40). This has led to investigators evaluating scalp-sparing technique using intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) (41).

**Early delayed**

Radiation-related demyelination has been implicated in the subacute phase of radiation-related complications which occur 1 to 6 months after RT. Although the tumor itself can induce demyelination in surrounding tissues due to compression and vascular disturbance, this is further contributed by radiation and chemotherapy (42). Interestingly, dose-dependent demyelination appears to occur early in areas receiving high RT doses and subsequent dose-independent demyelination occur 4–6 months after RT (43).

**Neuropraxia**

Neuropraxia may occur after SRS and is generally transient. For example, Chopra et al. reported trigeminal neuropathy 5–48 months following SRS for acoustic schwannoma in 4% of patients. About half of them only developed transient numbness and none developed facial palsy (44). This is consistent with findings from a retrospective study of 383 patients with SRS-treated vestibular schwannomas by Hansasuta et al. They reported hemifacial spasm in 2% of patients after SRS, half of which was transient, and none developed facial weakness post-SRS (45). However, a study of 162 patients who received SRS for acoustic neuromas reported normal facial and trigeminal function in 79% and 73% of patients, respectively, after 5 years (46). A more recent study of 49 patients receiving SRS for intracanalicular acoustic neurinoma reported Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 1 facial nerve disorder 3 months after SRS which resolved 3 months later, and one had CTCAE grade 2 facial muscle weakness which resolved 12 months later (47).

**Somnolence syndrome**

Somnolence syndrome can occur in up to 79% of patients following RT to the brain and is characterized by a combination of symptoms such as lethargy, clumsiness, reduced cognitive function, drowsiness, some of which overlap with symptoms of fatigue experienced by patients with cancer (48-50). In a prospective study involving 19 patients receiving high doses of RT for primary brain tumor, all experienced at least grade 1 tiredness based on the Littman scale and 84% developed > grade 2 somnolence symptoms (48). Subsequent larger study by the same group, of 70 patients undergoing radical RT for primary brain tumor, reported 90% of patients with grade 1 somnolence using the Littman score, which correlated with the visual analogue scale (VAS) score. A significant increase in score between week 3 and 12 was observed with a peak at the end of RT and improvement noticed from week 6 onwards (51). Of note, the Littman scale is a specific grading system for somnolence syndrome ranging from grade 0 (no change in behavior) to grade 4 (inactive, sleeping 18–20 hours a day with low grade fever, marked reduced appetite, and taking oral fluids only) (52). As somnolence syndrome can reduce patients’ functional ability and disrupt their daily routine, they should be fully informed of the likelihood that somnolence syndrome affects most patients undergoing RT for primary brain tumor with an estimated peak at 6 to 8 weeks after commencing RT and complete resolution 4 to 6 weeks later (51).

**Late**

Late complications usually occur more than 6 months after RT, tend to be irreversible and often progressive. The pathogenesis of late complications is often seen in the white matter and are linked to persistent demyelination, reduced neurogenesis with altered neural stem cell differentiation, inflammatory response through oxidative damage and disruption of microvasculature resulting in ischaemia and toxic neuro-excitation (53).

**Radiation necrosis (RN)**

RN typically occurs between 6–24 months after RT, however can present earlier in the re-treatment setting (54).

**Risk factors**

Risk factors for RN include re-irradiation (prior WBRT or SRS), SRS dose prescription, target volume, and location. Previous studies have reported a 10% risk of radiation necrosis with SRS (29,55). Large lesions (>4 cm diameter) are at a higher risk of developing RN when treated with SRS. Therefore, such cases may be better managed with upfront surgery followed by cavity irradiation, or fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) (56). A recent study comparing 1- and 3-fraction SRS with RN as the primary endpoint is summarized in Table 2. The risk of RN increases when the volume of normal brain parenchyma receiving 12 Gy or higher (in a single fraction) exceeds 10 cc, or when the volume receiving 30 Gy (in 5 fractions) or
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Significant findings</th>
<th>Authors’ comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neurocognitive decline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aoyama et al. (2007) (86)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Randomized Prospective Multi-center [1–4]</td>
<td>SRS/WBRT vs. SRS</td>
<td>Average duration until deterioration: WBRT+SRS group: 16.5 months; SRS alone group: 7.6 months (P=0.05)</td>
<td>Control of the brain tumour is the most important factor for stabilizing neurocognitive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al. (2009) (13)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Randomized Prospective Single center [1–3]</td>
<td>SRS/WBRT vs. SRS</td>
<td>Trial stopped early due to significant decline in learning &amp; memory function in the SRS/WBRT group at 4 months</td>
<td>Recommends initial treatment with SRS followed by close clinical monitoring to preserve learning &amp; memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2007) (87)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Randomized Prospective Multi-center (multiple)</td>
<td>WBRT</td>
<td>1. Good responders to WBRT had significantly longer median time to neurocognitive deterioration (specifically executive function and fine motor skills) 2. Tumour shrinkage in long-term survivors significantly correlated with preservation of executive function and motor co-ordination (r =0.68 to 0.88)</td>
<td>Tumour progression adversely affects neurocognitive function more than WBRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurocognitive decline with memantine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al. (2013) (88)</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>Randomized Prospective Multi-center</td>
<td>WBRT/placebo vs. WBRT/memantine</td>
<td>1. WBRT/memantine arm had significantly longer time to cognitive decline (HR 0.78, 95% CI: 0.62–0.99, P=0.01) 2. Memantine arm had significantly better results for executive function at 8 (P=0.004) &amp; 16 weeks (P=0.0041), processing speed (P=0.0137) and delayed recognition (P=0.0149)</td>
<td>Patient treated with WBRT with memantine had better cognitive function over time and delayed time to cognitive decline and reduced rate of decline in memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurocognitive decline with hippocampal avoidance (HA) +/- memantine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown et al. (2020) (89)</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>Randomized Prospective Multi-center (multiple)</td>
<td>HA-WBRT/memantine vs. WBRT/memantine</td>
<td>1. Risk of cognitive failure significantly lower after HA-WBRT/memantine (adj HR 0.74, 95% CI: 0.58–0.95, P=0.02) 2. Significantly less deterioration in: (I) executive function at 4 months (23.3% vs. 40.4%, P=0.01); (II) learning at 6 months (11.5% vs. 24.7%, P=0.049); (III) memory at 6 months (16.4% vs. 33.3%, P=0.02)</td>
<td>HA-WBRT plus memantine better preserves cognitive function and patient-reported symptoms, with no difference in intracranial PFS &amp; OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation necrosis (RN) (single vs. multi-fraction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donovan et al. (2019) (90)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Retrospective Single center (Multiple)</td>
<td>1-fraction SRS vs. 3-fractions SRS</td>
<td>1. RN developed in 16 patients (21/62 lesions or 34%), 4/21 affected lesions were asymptomatic (20%) 2. Odds ratio for association between RN and a 10-unit increase in volume was 3.1 (95% CI: 1–9.6) 3. Odds ratio for association between RN and fractionation was 1.0 (95% CI: 1–9.6)</td>
<td>SRS for multiple brain metastases had higher rate of RN. Volume significantly associated with risk of RN. Fractionated SRS did not directly lower the rate of RN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBRT, whole brain radiotherapy; HA-WBRT, hippocampal avoidance-WBRT; SRS, stereotactic radiosurgery; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression free survival; RN, radiation necrosis.
higher exceeds 10 cc (57,58). In particular, the risk of RN with repeat SRS has been reported to be 20% at 1 year and 4–8% with prior use of WBRT or WBRT used in conjunction with SRS (59). The preferred time interval for re-irradiation to the brain is still unclear, however measures such as minimizing PTV margin, optimizing patient setup and the use of image-guidance help to reduce the volume of normal brain parenchyma that is exposed to high doses of radiation (60).

Concurrent systemic treatment with immunotherapy or targeted therapy may result in higher rate of post-SRS radiation necrosis (61,62). In a study by Kim et al., the use of concurrent targeted therapy (defined as administration within five biological half-lives) increased the 12-month cumulative incidence of radiological RN (8.8% vs. 5.3%, P<0.01) (63). This was particularly pronounced with VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) and EGFR TKIs. Concurrent chemotherapy increases the risk of RN in both primary brain and metastatic tumours (63,64). However, there is no standardized recommendation with regards to the ideal washout period between the use of chemotherapy and the delivery of SRS which is often decided on a case-by-case basis depending on the burden of systemic disease. Previous studies have also suggested that some locations within the brain are more prone to developing RN (such as the frontal cortex), whereas other locations (e.g., brainstem) are more resistant (65). Ohtakara and colleagues suggested that superficial lesions were at a lower risk of RN, as the dose spillage happens within non-brain parenchymal tissue (such as skull bone, skin) compared to deeper lesions (66).

Radiological features
Radiation necrosis may be difficult to distinguish from intra-crani al recurrences clinically and radiologically (55). Clinical signs largely depend on its size/location, or at times may remain asymptomatic. It appears as a contrast enhancing lesion (on T1 sequence) with surrounding edema and changes in signal intensity on MRI brain which is also a common feature of a recurrence (67). Surgical biopsy or resection of enlarging lesions post-SRS seen confirmed radiation necrosis in 22 out of 23 cases (68). Diffusion-weighted MR imaging has been used to distinguish between radiation necrosis and tumor progression (69). Amino-acid tracers (such as Carbon-11 methionine, and Fluoroethyltyrosine) in positron-emission tomography (PET) scanners are particularly useful, as normal brain parenchyma has a relatively lower amino acid uptake (70). For example, FET-PET imaging has been reported to have a sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 93% in the setting of recurrent gliomas (71). Additionally, MRI sequences such as Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST) have shown promise in differentiating RN from tumour progression (72). Figure 1 shows the radiological features of RN seen on various imaging modalities and comparison with tumour progression (73).

Pathophysiology
A retrospective study involving 516 brain metastases treated with gamma knife SRS (GK-SRS) reported increasing size of lesions in one third of brain metastases from 6 weeks to 15 months following GK-SRS. Ten patients underwent salvage resection and were found to have radiation necrosis appearing as inflammatory infiltrate with central necrosis on histopathological evaluation (68). This complex process is thought to be largely due to a combination of direct glial/oligodendrocyte injury, and immune-mediated perivascular infiltration of T-lymphocytes leading to cytokine release amongst others, and endothelial cell injury with blood brain barrier damage leading to increased permeability of the capillary network and basement membrane (31,74). These changes contribute to extracellular edema leading to focal neurological deficit (38,68,75,76).

Management
Making the diagnosis of radiation necrosis can be challenging but is a crucial part of management. Asymptomatic patients are usually managed with close observation and serial imaging. Those with symptoms may be treated with corticosteroids however potential side effects need to be carefully considered. Previous studies have shed light on the role of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapy in promoting capillary permeability and evidence of VEGF overexpression in radiation necrosis (77,78). This has led to the development of the VEGF inhibitor bevacizumab in the treatment of radiation necrosis with one study reporting 64% reduction in the size of radiation necrosis at the first MRI follow-up (mean 26 days), reduced dose of steroids required, and improvement or stability in symptoms in 10 out of 11 of their patients (79). A pooled analysis of 71 patients showed that the use of bevacizumab provided patients with ~80% clinical improvement, with nearly all patients having radiographic response (80). Symptomatic patients refractory to medical treatment can be considered for surgery, however anesthesia and surgical risks have to be carefully weighed against its benefits (81). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided laser-induced thermal therapy (LITT) is a well-tolerated minimally invasive procedure using laser light and heat to target tumor cells and peri-necrotic gliosis.
Figure 1 Figure shows the radiological features of RN seen on various imaging modalities and comparison with tumour progression (73). (A) Tumour recurrence (i) T2-weighted (ii) post-contrast T1 (iii) rCBV (relative cerebral blood volume) MR perfusion sequence of a lesion within the left temporal lobe. The lesion quotient of 0.71 and increased rCBV is suggestive of tumour recurrence. (B) Radiation necrosis (i) rCBV (ii) post-contrast T1 showing increased blood flow within the periphery of the lesion, which was histology-proven to a tumour recurrence (iii) rCBV and (iv) post-contrast T1 showing no increase in blood flow, in keeping with radiation necrosis. (C) Mixed picture of radiation necrosis and tumour recurrence (i, ii) MR spectroscopy (iii) post-contrast T1 showing a growing pericallosal lesion post WBRT. High lipid-lactate peak seen in radiation necrosis at the right cingulum while increased Choline: Creatine and Choline: N-Acetyl-Aspartate ratios suggestive of tumour recurrence in the left cingulum. (D) Tumour recurrence (i) F-18 FET PET showing amino acid tracer uptake within the enhancing lesion, with (ii) demonstrating the lesion on post-contrast T1.
Neurocognitive changes

Several studies have reported neurocognitive decline occurring weeks to months following WBRT. However, disease progression itself could also contribute to neurocognitive decline. A prospective study by Welzel et al. comparing cognitive function during and after RT in patients receiving prophylactic or therapeutic WBRT reported cognitive dysfunction 6 to 8 weeks after WBRT regardless of whether or not they had brain metastases (84). An RTOG trial evaluating 182 patients with unresectable brain metastases treated with WBRT reported pre-treatment MMSE as a statistically significant factor for survival and decreased risk of death with increased MMSE (85). They reported MMSE of >23 in 81% of patients at 6 months and 66% at 1 year. However, MMSE is not an optimal test for detecting neurocognitive deficits and more recent trials have used more sensitive tests such as the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT). Table 2 shows selected studies of RT to the brain with neurocognition as its primary endpoint.

In a randomized controlled trial of patients with 1–4 brain metastases, Aoyama et al. found statistically significant difference in baseline mini mental state examination (MMSE) analyses on stratifying total tumor volume, extent of edema, age, and Karnofsky performance. Interestingly, they found the mean duration of time until cognitive deterioration was 16.5 months for the WBRT with SRS group compared to 7.6 months for the SRS alone group (P=0.05) (86). An RTOG trial evaluating 182 patients with unresectable brain metastases treated with WBRT reported pre-treatment MMSE as a statistically significant factor for survival and decreased risk of death with increased MMSE (85). They reported MMSE of >23 in 81% of patients at 6 months and 66% at 1 year. In a study comparing accelerated fractionation (AF) WBRT with 3 Gy daily treatment to 30 Gy vs. accelerated hyperfractionation (AH) WBRT with 1.6 Gy twice daily treatment to 54.4 Gy for unresectable brain metastases, Regine et al. reported no significant difference in MMSE between those receiving AF- or AH-WBRT, however observed a significantly lower MMSE score in those with uncontrolled brain metastases at 3 months post-WBRT (average MMSE decline of 0.05 in radiologically controlled brain metastases vs. 6.3 for those with uncontrolled brain metastases, P=0.02). These findings indicate that control of brain metastases has a significant role in neurocognitive function (91).

A large randomized clinical trial (the N0574 study) reported significantly less cognitive deterioration and higher quality of life at 3 months in 213 patients with 1 to 3 brain metastases receiving SRS alone compared to SRS with WBRT (14). A phase II trial solely focusing on health-related quality of life (HRQOL) reported worse HRQOL in patients who received adjuvant WBRT and recommended observation after initial surgery or SRS for limited brain metastases (92).

Measures to reduce risk of neurocognitive decline

Radiation injury to the hippocampal neural stem cells affects neurocognitive function in many aspects, including verbal and non-verbal memory, executive function, attention span and information processing speed (93,94). Studies have consistently demonstrated benefits of hippocampal avoidance in preserving cognitive function. A prospective study of 53 patients with primary brain tumor treated with conventional fractionated RT reported hippocampal V53.4 Gy to V60.9 Gy (i.e., percentage volume receiving 53.4 to 60.9 Gy) as a statistically significant predictor of memory impairment following RT with V55 Gy as being the most significant predictor of neurocognitive decline (95). In a phase II trial evaluating hippocampal-sparing WBRT (using IMRT) 30 Gy in 10 fractions for brain metastases in 113 patients (RTOG 0933), significant preservation of memory and quality of life was observed compared to historical control (96). A retrospective study of hippocampal-sparing RT to primary brain tumor using volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) reported that the contralateral hippocampus could be reasonably spared to preserve verbal memory function. Interestingly, decline in memory function was associated with the left hippocampal mean dose and was not associated with the right hippocampal mean dose (97). Most recently, a phase III trial comparing hippocampal-avoidance WBRT (HA-WBRT) plus memantine or conventional WBRT plus memantine reported significantly lower risk of cognitive failure with HA-WBRT plus memantine (adjusted HR 0.74; 95% CI: 0.58–0.95, P=0.02). The HA-WBRT plus memantine group was observed to have better preserved executive function (at 4 months), learning, and memory (at 6 months). They were also found to have less fatigue, less difficulty with speech, and less interference of neurologic symptoms in daily activities (89). This can now be considered the standard of care in patients with brain metastases, not eligible for SRS.
and have a prognosis of at least 4–6 months.

Memantine is an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist previously shown to reduce clinical deterioration in moderate to severe Alzheimer's dementia through its anti-glutamatergic effect in the brain as overstimulation of the NMDA receptor by glutamate contributes to the development of neurodegenerative disorders (98). In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of memantine in patients with brain metastases receiving WBRT to a total dose of 37.5 Gy in 15 fractions, patients were assigned to either the placebo or memantine which was given as an escalating dose regimen up to 20 mg/day started within 3 days of initiating RT for 24 weeks. The probability of cognitive function failure at 24 weeks was 53.8% in the memantine arm vs. 64.9% in the placebo arm; HR 0.78 95% CI: 0.62–0.99, P=0.01), indicating that memantine significantly delayed time to cognitive decline. Patients receiving memantine were also found to have significantly better executive function at 8 and 16 weeks, as well as processing speed and delayed recognition at 24 weeks (88). In the same study, the rate of cognitive decline was reported to have slowed by 4 months post-RT in both arms and this was more pronounced in the memantine arm. However, we must not forget that cognitive function is multifactorial, and can be affected by both disease progression and cancer treatments such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy (87). As such, opting for the treatment with the least neuro-cognitive toxicity to provide intra-cranial disease control would be in our best interest to maintain a reasonable quality of life.

Brainstem injury

Radiation injury to the brainstem can result in cranial nerve III to XII neuropathies depending on the exact location affected and can lead to profound and permanent neurological deficit with potential life-threatening effects on the cardiovascular and respiratory systems. Significant RT-related brainstem injury can occur months to years after RT and can be challenging to distinguish from disease progression (99). The CTCAE is used to grade the severity of each cranial nerve injury—from mild or asymptomatic (grade 1), moderate and limiting instrumental ADL (grade 2), severe symptoms limiting ADL (grade 3), life threatening consequences (grade 4), death (grade 5) (100). The QUANTEC analysis recommends a maximum dose of 54 Gy to the whole brainstem using conventional fractionation of photon RT and higher dose limit of 59 Gy for smaller volumes of the brainstem (1–10 mL) (99).

Due to its potential detrimental effects, brainstem dose constraints tend to be prioritized over tumor coverage, and hence overall incidence of brainstem injury is low. Previous studies have reported relatively low complication rates with 15–20 Gy of SRS in patients with poor prognosis (101,102). For single fraction SRS, QUANTEC recommends a maximum dose of 12.5 Gy to the brainstem whereas the (AAPM) Task Group 101 recommends a maximum point dose of 15 Gy (99,103). Improving accuracy during contouring and planning using high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images will help to reduce unnecessary toxicity to surrounding tissue.

Cranial nerves injury

Optic neuropathy

Radiation-related optic neuropathy (RON) results in painless irreversible visual loss with the majority occurring within 3 years post-RT (peak incidence of 1–1.5 years) (104). Clinical signs are determined by the exact site of injury such that injury to the optic nerve leads to ipsilateral monocular vision loss, whereas injury to the whole optic chiasm leads to bilateral vision loss. Disruption to the decussating fibers at the central chiasm typically features as bitemporal hemianopia, and damage to the optic tract leads to homonymous hemianopia of the contralateral eye (105,106). On MRI imaging, contrast-enhancement on T1 and high signal T2 change, is usually seen in the pre-chiasmatic portion of the optic nerve (107). A QUANTEC analysis on radiation dose-volume to optic nerves and chiasmas concluded that the risk of toxicity significantly increased at doses >60 Gy at ~1.8 Gy/fractions for fractionated RT and >12 Gy for SRS (106,107). Milano et al. reported single fraction 10 Gy to be associated with 1% risk of RON (108). However, it is interesting to note that some patients remain asymptomatic, despite imaging and ophthalmologic findings.

Previous studies have reported the risk of RON is ~1% for patients receiving up to 12 Gy (109), whereas doses exceeding 12 Gy lead to a 10% risk (110). Whether underlying vasculopathy such as diabetes mellitus or hypertension contribute to RON remains controversial (107,111). Measures to reduce the risk of RON include using high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images to aid contouring, appropriate dose selection, and optimizing the plan.

Management of RON is challenging and only achieves limited benefit with various treatments including steroids, vitamin E, pentoxifylline. The anti-vascular...
Effects on the hypothalamus/pituitary axis
Early studies postulated radiation-induced pituitary dysfunction to be the result of hypothalamic damage resulting in loss of the hypothalamic releasing hormones rather than actual damage to the pituitary itself which was thought to be relatively radioresistant (125-127). Subsequent studies have demonstrated higher radiation dose increases the risk of both hypothalamic and pituitary insufficiencies and this occurred in a time-dependent manner (i.e., more prevalent with longer follow-up post-RT) (128). A meta-analysis evaluating pituitary dysfunction ~1–20 years after cranial RT in adults reported a prevalence of 0.66 (95% CI: 0.55–0.76) for any degree of hypopituitarism (0.54; 95% CI: 0.42–0.66) post-RT for brain tumors and 0.74 (95% CI: 0.57–0.86) post-RT for nasopharyngeal tumors). Growth hormone (GH) deficiency was the most prevalent (0.45; 95% CI: 0.33–0.57), followed by luteinizing hormone & follicle stimulating hormone (0.3; 95% CI: 0.23–0.37), thyroid stimulating hormone (0.25; 95% CI, 0.16–0.37), adrenocorticotropic stimulating hormone (0.22; 95% CI: 0.15–0.3) (129). Isolated GH deficiency has been observed to occur with lower doses of RT (<30 Gy) whereas higher doses will affect other pituitary hormones (128,130). With studies reporting pituitary hormone deficiency detected as late as 26 years post-RT, pituitary function should be routinely assessed during follow-up of patients after RT to the brain and head & neck region where the hypothalamic, pituitary and thyroid glands are within the RT field (131).

Stroke
Cerebrovascular events (CVE) is a late complication that can occur many years after RT. Atherosclerosis, which has long been attributed as a major cause of stroke, is a known complication of RT (132). In a study of patients receiving primary treatment for craniopharyngioma, the rate of clinically apparent CVE at 10 years was 11% (15%) for those receiving higher dose of RT (EQD2 >50 Gy) and 8% for those receiving lower dose of RT (EQD2 <50 Gy), P=0.3. Although this difference was not statistically significant, other studies with longer follow-up have demonstrated significant correlation between increased radiation dose and risk of CVE. One study of pediatric cancer survivors with a mean follow-up of 23.3 years reported increased risk of CVE in a dose-dependent manner with hazard ratio (HR) 5.9 (95% CI: 3.5–9.9) for 30–49 Gy and HR 11.0 (7.4–17.0) for >50 Gy (133). Of note, EQD2 is the equivalent dose in 2 Gy fractions, derived by using a formula to convert the total radiation dose to EQD2 (134). The same study
reported a cumulative incidence of stroke of 1.1% (95% CI: 0.4–1.8) for patients who received >50 Gy of cranial RT at 10 years post-diagnosis and 12% (95% CI: 8.9–15.0) at 30 years post-diagnosis (133). As such, managing modifiable risk factors, which contribute to CVE, such as tobacco use, diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia become important in the long-term follow-up of these patients.

Secondary malignancy
Radiation-induced secondary malignancy is a potential long-term complication occurring many years after RT and diagnostic criteria include tumors that occur within the irradiated field, adequate latency period, histologically different from the primary tumor, no other associated pathology present e.g., neurofibromatosis (135). Meningioma and glioma are the most widely reported secondary malignancy occurring after cranial RT. Studies have reported cumulative incidence of secondary brain malignancy as 2.7% at 15 years and 2.4% at 20 years (136,137). In a meta-analysis of 296 cases of secondary glioma post-RT, mean latency period between RT and diagnosis of any grade of secondary glioma was 9 years (95% CI: 8–9.5). Interestingly, they observed that those who received systemic chemotherapy had a mean latency period of 8 years (95% CI: 7–9) and those without chemotherapy had a mean latency period of 10 years (95% CI: 9–12, P<0.0001) (138).

Conclusion
RT continues to play an essential role in the management of primary and metastatic brain tumors; however, the risks and benefits have to be thoroughly considered and fully discussed with patients as it can significantly affect their quality of life and daily function. As intracranial disease progression also contributes to neurocognitive and functional decline, each case should be carefully evaluated and the most appropriate modality of treatment (considering clinical indication, expected prognosis, associated risk factors, toxicity, and cost). Advances in brain imaging have aided radiological diagnosis and improved the accuracy of delineating tumors and critical structures help to reduce potential complications. Other measures to reduce complications, such as with memantine, hippocampal sparing WBRT or use of SRS should be utilized in suitable patients where possible. Increasing awareness of potential RT-related complications will allow patients to be managed appropriately and although most late effects tend to be irreversible, treatment to help alleviate specific symptoms or measures to aid patients’ daily function can make a difference to their lives and should be initiated early.
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