Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is a major lethal malignant tumor in men of Europe and America, which has been becoming the first cancer with high ratio of incidence and mortality in the United States. PCa is the third malignant tumor in the urogenital system of the elderly after bladder and kidney tumor, and the incidence is increasing year by year (1). As ductal and mucinous adenocarcinoma are rare subtypes of PCa, there are no specific guidelines to follow in the management of these patients yet. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) would not be a good choice for the type of mucinous adenocarcinoma, but radical cystoprostectomy may be a better treatment (2). After treated 19 Hong Kong-Chinese patients with ductal adenocarcinoma of the prostate (DAP) (3), Kan et al. found a high failure rate with either RP or ADT. Furthermore, patients with pure adenocarcinoma PCa have a better clinical outcome after RP than patients with mixed ductal or mucinous adenocarcinoma (2,4). In addition to the rare pathological type of this case, the pulmonary nodule was also notable. Here, we describe a 72-year-old male patient, who presented with a multi-differentiated PCa complicated by lung metastasis, which
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made this case difficult to be managed. We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-386).

## Case presentation

A 72-year-old male patient was admitted with complaints of frequent urination of 6 days duration. Neither hematuria nor fever were found during these days. The PSA value (prostate-specific antigen) was 12.64 ng/mL, which was much higher than the normal standard. Prostatic MRI revealed a lump in the 3–6 o’clock position of the middle and PZ area of apex of prostate, PI-RADS 4–5 scores. However, there was no nodule can be touched by digital rectal examination except shallow median groove and uneven surface. To clarify the diagnosis, transperineal prostate biopsy guided by transrectal ultrasound was performed. The pathology revealed that the lump was acinar adenocarcinoma, and part of it was mucinous adenocarcinoma. The Gleason score was 4+3=7. Whole-body bone scintigraphy and the chest CT scan showed that there was no bone metastasis but a lung nodule measuring 1.1 cm × 1.2 cm (Figure 1, left). We then communicated with the patient to perform a biopsy to confirm whether this lung nodule was a metastasis, but the patient refused to take the risk of the hilum damage. Furthermore, He denied any family history of genetics or cancer and never smokes.

The patient was treated with RP very soon. Clinical pathology suggested that the mass with a diameter of 0.5–2 cm was acinar adenocarcinoma with focal ductal and mucinous adenocarcinoma differentiating (Figures 2, 3; HE staining, ×100). Gleason score was modified to 4+4=8.

---

**Figure 1** CT scan of the chest demonstrates the reduction of the lung nodule size. The left was taken before RP and the size was 1.1 cm × 1.2 cm (arrow). The right was taken after RP and ADT, shrinking to 0.8 cm × 1.1 cm (arrow). RP, radical prostatectomy; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy.

**Figure 2** Prostate ductal adenocarcinoma type B, diffuse large acinar papillary tubular adenocarcinoma in the parenchyma of the prostate, resembling endometrial adenocarcinoma. HE staining, ×100.

**Figure 3** Prostate mucinous adenocarcinoma, interstitial mucus lake formation, floating adenoid tissue cancer cells. HE staining, ×100.
The cancer tissue was located in the bilateral peripheral zone, with a wide and multifocal distribution. What's more, the cancer tissue showed a strong invasiveness involved prostatic capsule tissue, circumferentially cut edge, incision margin of bladder neck, right vas deferens and nerves. The final stage of the PCa was pT4N0M1c, stage IVB according to the TNM classification (AJCC 8th edition).

ADT (Goserelin acetate 3.6 mg per 28 days) was performed immediately after operation and the patient recovered very well. He was asked to check the PSA every month and chest CT scan every 3 months. The patient showed good adherence. The PSA change was drawn in the blow (Figure 4) and the CT scan gave a good news that the lung nodule showed a shrinking trend which from 1.1 cm × 1.2 cm to 0.8 cm × 1.1 cm (Figure 1). He is now, 12 months later, no adverse or unanticipated events occurred, being followed up. The major diagnosis and treatment procedures of this patient were drawn as a timeline in Figure 5.

**Discussion**

The primary malignant tumor of prostate is acinar adenocarcinoma. DAP is a kind of papillary malignant tumor which mainly occurs in ductal and urethra of prostate. It is a rare subtype of PCa. The incidence of DAP alone is 0.4–0.8% (5,6), and most of DAP is combined with acinar adenocarcinoma which is account for 5% of PCa (7). Up to now, there is no guideline for the treatment, mainly
using the treatment plan of prostate acinar adenocarcinoma with the same Gleason score, including RP, ADT, systemic chemotherapy and brachytherapy etc.

Of all PCa types, the incidence of mucinous adenocarcinoma is about 0.2% (8). Negative staining for PSA makes this primary subtype of prostate tumor difficult to diagnose in the early stage (9). By the time of diagnosis, patients usually have the symptoms of dysuria, lumbosacral pain and even lung or other metastases discomfort. Some studies have suggested that this subtype has a more aggressive behavior than typical acinar adenocarcinoma (8,10), while others have shown these two types have a similar outcome (11). In addition, there is also a dispute over the therapy of mucinous adenocarcinomas. Lane et al. (11) found that there was no correlation between ADT and biochemical recurrence-free survival by studying 32 patients with mucinous adenocarcinoma or prostate adenocarcinoma with focal mucin. While Zhang et al. (9) considered that the patients, whose specimen stained positive for PSA, was responsive to ADT, resulting in a better prognosis. However, they all agreed that RP should be the first choice which would make a good prognosis for patients. At the 2014 ISUP consensus conference (12), it reached a consensus that the grade of mucinous adenocarcinomas should be based upon the underlying architecture of the tumour, ignoring the mucinous component. This consensus made a great difference to grade mucinous adenocarcinomas and consequent treatment. In this case, considering multiple cancer metastases in the tissues submitted for pathological examination, high PSA level and lung metastasis, we took the ADT after RP to alleviate the metastases and improve the life quality of patient.

In particular, the lung nodule couldn’t be ignored in this case. We all know that PCa most often metastasizes to bone and pelvic lymph nodes, except these all belong to atypical metastasis (13). In atypical metastasis, lung or pleura metastasis is especially rare.

Although some literatures reported that ADT had no significant effect on mucinous or ductal adenocarcinoma, we adopted this treatment actively to extend survival time. This is a successful case that RP united ADT to treat multi-differentiated PCa complicated by lung metastasis. The experience or guidelines for treating such patients has never been reported. After 12 months follow-up, there was no recurrence or metastasis, but the nature of the lung nodule is still unclear. Since the follow-up time is short yet, the prognosis needs longer follow-up to full understand the outcome of this rare patient. Without bearing the economic and physical burden from radiotherapy or chemotherapy, the patient’s condition has been well controlled and his survival has been extended. He said he was very satisfied with the treatment.

In conclusion, this case shows that RP combined with ADT is helpful for advanced PCa with organ metastases and multiple differentiation. Further follow-up is warranted to confirm the curative effect.
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