Hepatocellular carcinoma with pancreatic mass as the first symptom: a case report and literature review
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Abstract: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and primary pancreatic cancer are common malignant tumors of the digestive system. However, there are significant differences in treatment methods, medication types, and survival prognoses. For patients whose imaging findings suggest there to be a significant pancreatic mass and multiple masses in the liver, it can be easily misdiagnosed as a primary pancreatic cancer with liver metastasis in the clinic instead. Therefore, patients with a high likelihood of primary pancreatic cancer based on their clinical data, the pathological diagnosis should be confirmed through a needle biopsy as early as possible to avoid a misdiagnosis and possible mistreatment. In this study, our department admitted a patient with HCC that was characterized by pancreatic occupation. Before the pathological diagnosis was determined, all imaging examinations and tumor marker changes had met the clinical diagnostic criteria of primary pancreatic cancer. However, the results of the biopsy showed a rare pancreatic metastasis of HCC. This case reminds us that a pathological biopsy should still be used as the final means of definite diagnosis and should be widely popularized for pancreatic nodules.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), or liver cancer, is one of the most common malignant tumors. An extrahepatic metastasis most commonly accompanies hematogenous metastasis, and it can be transferred to the lungs, bones, distant lymph nodes, and brain (1). According to the current data, a pancreatic metastasis of HCC is quite rare. Correspondingly, pancreatic cancer, another malignant tumor of the digestive system, is prone to metastasize in the early stage, most commonly in the liver (2). Our department treated one case of HCC characterized by pancreatic occupation. Before the pathological diagnosis was determined, all imaging examinations and tumor marker changes had met the clinical diagnostic criteria of primary pancreatic cancer. However, the results of the biopsy showed a rare pancreatic metastasis of HCC. The patient’s diagnosis process is reviewed below.

Case presentation

The patient in the case was a 70-year-old man who was admitted to our department due to abdominal distension for 1 month in October 2017. He had mild abdominal distension since the beginning of 2017 without an apparent cause, but there was no visible edema in either eyelid...
or the lower extremities at that time, which led to the patient not giving much notice to the condition. The abdominal distension worsened in September, and urine volume decreased with lower extremity edema, anorexia, but no nausea and vomiting. The magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) performed by the local hospital showed abnormal shape and signal of the pancreas, which was further confirmed and treated in our department. The patient had a history of being a hepatitis B virus carrier and recently was found to be in the active phase of the hepatitis B virus.

During the physical examination, it was noted that there was no yellow staining of the skin, no yellow staining of the sclera, no liver palps, no spider mites, and no subcutaneous nodules. The superficial lymph nodes of the whole body were not swollen to the touch. The abdomen was mildly bulging and soft, with no tenderness and rebound pain. A mass of about 4×5 cm in size could be felt in the upper abdomen. The liver and spleen could not be felt under the ribs, and the gallbladder could also not be felt by touch. Murphy’s sign was (−), and there was tenderness in the liver and gallbladder areas (−), with mild edema in both lower extremities.

For the laboratory examination, the results of the test indicated that the tumor marker, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), was normal, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) was 16.50 IU/mL (reference 0.0–7.0 ng/mL), and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) was 250.1 U/mL (reference 0.0–30.0 U/mL). For the coagulation parameters, the D dimer was 1.87 mg/L, plasma fibrin degradation products were 6.70 mg/L, activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) was 40.2 seconds, prothrombin time (PT) was 16.7 seconds, and antithrombin III was 69%. For the blood cell analysis, the platelet (PLT) count was 80×10⁹/L, and red blood cell (RBC) count was 4.01×10¹²/L. For the liver function test, serum total bile acid determination was 20.8 μmol/L, serum direct bilirubin determination was 19.6 μmol/L, serum total bilirubin determination was 31.6 μmol/L, serum albumin determination was 25.9 g/L, and serum R-glutamyl transpeptidase was 177.85 U/L; serum aspartate aminotransferase was 59.80 U/L, and serum alkaline phosphatase was 287.35 U/L. N-terminal B-type natriuretic peptide was 632.7 pg/mL. Hepatitis B surface antigen, e antigen, and c antibody were positive.

The image examination results are described below. Abdominal ultrasound tips were as follows: (I) pancreatic head cancer; (II) nodular cirrhosis; (III) secondary gallbladder changes, gallbladder enlargement, and gallbladder deposits. PET/CT (Figure 1A,B) results suggested the following: (I) a full pancreatic head, uneven density, and uneven metabolism, considering the possibility of malignant lesions (pancreatic cancer); (II) liver cirrhosis, splenomegaly; (III) portal hypertension; (IV) ascites. The results of magnetic resonance enhancement indicated nodules of metastatic carcinoma, which suggested liver metastasis of primary pancreatic cancer (Figure 1C). The preliminary clinical diagnosis was as follows: (I) pancreatic cancer (malignant possibility), (i) liver metastasis; (II) nodular cirrhosis; (III) splenomegaly; (IV) ascites.

According to the results of PET/CT and abdominal ultrasound, the patient was highly suspected of having primary pancreatic cancer clinically, but we decided to make a further treatment plan after pathological a diagnosis for the sake of caution. The patient was asked to fast and abstain from drinking liquids before surgery, given ulinastatin and somatostatin to inhibit secretion of pancreatic juice, and was also provided intravenous nutritional support. On December 13, 2017, we performed a multipoint pancreatic biopsy on the patient. The pathological results showed that several mildly shaped small round cells were seen in the punctured tissue. Tumor thrombus was also seen in the vein. Immunohistochemistry results (Figure 2) showed the following: CK8/18(+), Hep (+), AFP (+), CK19(−), Syn (−), CD34(+), CD56(−), CgA (−), CEA (−), CA19-9(−), Ki67(+) about 10% CK (−), and CK20 (partial +/-). According to the immunohistochemistry and morphology, pathological diagnosis suggested pancreatic metastasis of HCC.

The pathological results, combined with those of immunohistochemistry, confirmed that the patient had pancreatic metastasis of HCC. According to the results of the pancreatic biopsy, the patient had a precise diagnosis: (I) HCC; (i) pancreatic metastasis; (II) nodular cirrhosis; (III) splenomegaly; (IV) ascites. After the diagnosis was precise, we applied for a consultation with a surgical specialist. Considering the patient’s poor liver function, the specialist believed that the risk of failure of the surgery was extremely high and did not recommend surgical treatment. We recommended the patient to take Sorafenib 400 mg twice a day for first-line targeted therapy, and he was then discharged from the hospital. After follow-up, we learned that the patient’s overall survival time was 11 months.

**Discussion**

HCC is a primary malignant tumor derived from hepatocytes, accounting for about 90% of all primary liver cancers (3).
Globally, HCC is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related death, and its incidence is increasing annually (4). Hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and cirrhosis from various causes are still some of the most common risk factors for HCC (5). As China has a high incidence of HBV, it accounts for more than 50% of the world’s liver cancer incidence (4,5). HCC lacks typical clinical symptoms and signs in the early stage. Symptoms can come from liver cancer or hepatitis and cirrhosis background. It is challenging to distinguish HCC from benign liver disease. The detection rate of early liver cancer is not high in correlative imaging examination, and some patients have had extrahepatic metastasis when diagnosed preliminarily, which is already in the middle and late stage of the disease, and the prognosis is poor (6). Extrahepatic metastasis of primary liver cancer is most common in hematogenous metastasis, mostly to the lungs, bones, distant lymph nodes, and brain.¹ The incidence of extrahepatic lung metastasis in HCC is nearly 30% (7), but the occurrence of pancreatic metastasis in HCC is very low, with only a few reported in case reports (8-11), the first of which was by Lowe et al. (8) in 1997. Research by Cheng (12) shows that the overall survival of patients with advanced liver cancer who were not given intervention was only 7.9 months, while the overall survival of patients taking sorafenib could be extended to 10.7 months.

Pancreatic cancer is another digestive system tumor with high morbidity and high mortality. Sixty percent of patients have distant metastasis at the time of the first diagnosis, of which the liver is the most common, and 25% of patients are locally advanced. Radical resection is not possible in these patients, exhibiting a median survival of

Figure 1 The liver's volume was reduced, the edge of the liver was uneven, and there was no obvious abnormality in radioactivity uptake (A). The pancreatic head is thickening about 6.1 cm x 4.3 cm size with the density uneven, and the radioactivity uptake increased (B: red arrow): SUVmax: 3.8, delayed imaging SUVmax: 4.8. Liver metastasis (C).
only 6 to 9 months (13,14). Compared with simple lung metastasis or lymph node metastasis, patients combined with liver metastasis often have a worse prognosis, and their 5-year survival rate is only about 6% (2), so early diagnoses and treatment are particularly important. On the other hand, patients with primary pancreatic cancer lack typical clinical symptoms and auxiliary examinations. The clinical and imaging findings of most patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer are like those of primary pancreatic cancer, but there are significant differences in the prognosis and treatment choice between them. Therefore, the differential diagnosis of metastatic pancreatic carcinoma and primary pancreatic cancer is particularly important.

In terms of treatment, advanced liver cancer is often treated with systemic therapy, especially with molecularly targeted therapy led by sorafenib. For metastatic pancreatic cancer, except that simultaneous resection of primary tumor and metastasis is still attempting for a few pancreatic cancers with solitary liver metastasis (15,16), FOLFIRINOX-based systemic chemotherapy has replaced gemcitabine and is considered to be the first-line standard treatment for patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer (17). In this report, we note three points that led us to make the misdiagnosis of advanced pancreatic cancer. At first, the patient’s ultrasound, PET/CT, and enhanced magnetic resonance did not show a clear malignant mass in the liver. Secondly, the increase of tumor marker AFP was not significant, while CA19-9 was significantly increased. Lastly, both PET/CT and abdominal ultrasound showed a high possibility of pancreatic cancer with liver metastasis. Therefore, based on clinical statistics, imaging findings, and serum tumor marker changes, the differential diagnosis of metastatic pancreatic cancer for this patient was easily misdiagnosed as primary pancreatic cancer. Finally, for this patient, we chose to perform a needle biopsy on the mass in the head of the pancreas for caution’s sake. The pathological results confirmed that the tumor originated from HCC. This also reminds us that pathological diagnosis plays an essential role in the diagnosis of pancreatic tumors and is related to the patient’s therapeutic response and survival.

In addition, CA19-9, as a used serological marker, is one of the essential tumor markers in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. However, studies show that CA19-9 may also increase in biliary tract infections, biliary obstruction, and other digestive system malignancies (18), which means that CA19-9 is not sufficiently specific in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. We suggest that imaging results such as CT, ultrasound, or even PET/CT be considered crucial references in the diagnosis of pancreatic tumors, but the final molecular pathology should still be the gold standard for diagnosis.

Figure 2 Hematoxylin and eosin stains showed poorly differentiated malignant cells in the pancreatic masses (A); immunohistochemical stains showed positive staining of tumor cells for Hep (B), AFP (C) and negative staining of that for CA19-9 (D). Tumor thrombus was also seen in the vein (E,F) (×200).
Conclusions

In a review of the diagnosis process for patients, we have summarized the following experiences; although the AFP of the patients increased slightly and there was no primary apparent malignant mass in the liver, the history showed that the patient had been carrying the hepatitis B virus for more than 20 years. The most recent test results indicated that the hepatitis B virus was in an active stage with the hepatitis B surface antigen, e antigen, and c antibody all positive. Hepatitis B virus infection is a common cause of HCC, and China is a country with a high incidence rate of the hepatitis B virus infection. The patient has had cirrhosis for several years at the time of medical treatment. At the same time, there is the possibility of having small liver cancer in the context of hepatitis cirrhosis. Liver cirrhosis nodules and small liver cancer are difficult to identify by either ultrasound or enhanced MR, which is one of the most likely causes of malignant nodules in the liver in our case report. The patient’s immunohistochemistry suggests CD34+, and the pathological morphology suggests a tumor thrombus in the vasculature, suggesting the possibility of small liver cancer transferring to the pancreas through the blood. Therefore, for patients who have a hepatitis B virus infection, pancreatic mass but no focal primary liver malignant lesions, and whose tumor markers also change indistinctively, the possibility of HCC should be considered before the biopsy pathology is confirmed even if imaging results strongly indicate primary pancreatic cancer. Pathological biopsy should still be used as the final means of definite diagnosis and should be widely popularized for differentiating primary HCC with pancreatic metastasis and primary pancreatic cancer. Moreover, the overall survival of the patient in this report was 11 months, which was longer than that of the patients in the sorafenib group studied by Cheng et al. This proves the accuracy of the diagnosis and the effectiveness of the treatment.

This article reports a rare case of HCC with pancreatic metastasis. The case was characterized by a pancreatic mass, slight AFP elevation, and unclear imaging of the liver lesions, all of which made a misdiagnosis of pancreatic cancer highly likely. Fortunately, we confirmed the pathology by a puncture biopsy and gave the patient a correct treatment recommendation, which fully confirms the vital role of molecular pathology in the diagnosis of malignancies.
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