Supportive & palliative interventions in motor neurone disease: what we know from current literature?
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Abstract: Although there is no cure for motor neurone disease (MND), the advent of supportive interventions including multidisciplinary care (MDC) has improved treatment interventions and enhanced quality of life (QOL) for MND patients and their carers. Our integrative review showed evidence-based MDC, respiratory management and disease-modifying therapy that have improved the outcomes of patients diagnosed with MND. Supportive approaches to nutritional maintenance and optimization of symptomatic treatments, including management of communication and neuropsychiatric issues, improve the QOL for MND patients. Notwithstanding improvement to care and QOL, survival benefit has become evident with the advent of a MDC framework, early treatment with non-invasive ventilation (NIV). In addition, weight maintenance remains critical, as weight loss is associated with more rapid disease progression. The end-of-life phase is poorly defined in MND patients and treatment remains challenging, yet effective symptom control through palliative care (PC) is achievable and essential.
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Introduction

The treatment of motor neurone disease (MND) incorporates the management of complex medical issues, psychosocial considerations as well as functional disabilities. A coordinated team of medical, nursing and allied health professionals constitutes a multidisciplinary care (MDC) model that results in improved care and quality of life (QOL), reduction in the length and frequency of hospitalization and prolongation of survival in MND patients (1,2). The multidisciplinary clinic typically involves a neurologist, MND nurse, physiotherapist, occupational and speech therapists.

Methods

Evidence for this review was obtained from a search of the Cochrane data base, PubMed, guidelines of National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE), American Academy of Neurology (AAN), and European Federation of Neurological
Objectives

This review aims to objectively evaluate the role of the supportive interventions available to patients with MND, the evidence basis for intervention modalities, and highlight areas for future research. The benefit(s) of intervention measures are assessed on their impact on outcomes such as survival, QOL, reduced hospitalization, improved disability, and cost effectiveness.

This integrative review includes articles from the earlier review as well as articles that were found through database searches on articles published from 2003 through March 2015. In addition, we reviewed bibliographies of selected articles for potentially relevant articles, and other articles recommended by experts in the field were included in this review. Search terms for the review included supportive care, multidisciplinary approach, symptoms activities, activities of daily living, assistive devices, assistive equipment, caregiver support, cognition, disease management, education, emotional regulation, exercise, extended care, fall prevention, fatigue, health maintenance, instrumental activities of daily living, intervention, leisure, mobility, mobility equipment, neurorehabilitation, occupational therapy, QOL, rehabilitation, self-management, sleep, social engagement, walkers, wheelchairs, and work.

Results

MDC

MDC approach is the mainstay for the management of patients with chronic neurological conditions such as multiple sclerosis (4), stroke (5), acquired brain injury (6), and MND. MDC is defined as any care delivered by two or more disciplines (7), involving a neurologist and other allied disciplines such as MND nurse, chest physician, and occupational therapist. Other personnel needed as part of the MDC team for MND care includes occupational therapists, physiotherapists, social workers, palliative care (PC) physician, speech and language therapist, and religious leaders. Individual role of various MDC team members are summarized in Figure 1. Care is administered 24 hours daily in a hospital or on outpatient basis or in the patients’ home or community, but effort must be effectively coordinated to avoid overlapping or missing care due to the large number of care providers involved in the management of the patient and their family. MDC is important in enabling care specialist to undertake proper assessment of patients and addressing the concerns of patients and family (8,9).

MDC is reported to prolong survival from 7 to 24 months, possibly further enhanced in patients with bulbar disease (10), while reducing the risk of death by 45% at 5 years. Such a model may also provide support for the treating clinicians coordinating the complex care requirements. However, challenges still exist. Patients may describe an uneven deterioration as their disease progresses,
Respiratory impairment is the leading cause of death in MND. Symptoms of respiratory dysfunction in MND patients include sleep disturbance, excessive daytime somnolence, morning headaches and cognitive changes. Almost all MND patients will develop respiratory problems during the course of their disease. In a small percentage of MND patients, respiratory failure may present as the primary symptom at onset, whereas more commonly it develops later in the disease. It is the cause of death in most MND patients (14), representing an important negative survival factor. Ideally, evaluation of respiratory function should be undertaken at each clinic visit. Forced vital capacity (FVC), taken either supine or erect, is the most commonly used measurement tool of respiratory function. Such measures are well recognized predictors of survival (15), with supine FVC a more accurate marker of diaphragmatic weakness (14). However, FVC may not be sensitive for the detection of early respiratory failure and can be technically difficult to perform in patients with severe bulbar weakness (16). Other respiratory measures include maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP), maximal expiratory pressure, sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) and, less commonly, formal assessment of arterial blood gases. Interpretation of the trends of these measures over time, combined with the clinical picture, determines appropriate respiratory management. Significantly, the advent of NIV has provided clear benefit in terms of improving symptoms, QOL and prolonging survival by up to 7 months, making NIV a central armamentarium of respiratory management in MND patients (17-19). Bilevel ventilation devices (Bi-PAP) are most commonly used as initial therapy. There are no established evidence-based guidelines regarding optimal timing for initiation of NIV. Current recommendations for considering a trial of NIV include PCO2 more than 45 mmHg, MIP less than 60% predicted, FVC less than 50% predicted, oxygen saturation less than 88% for at least 5 continuous minutes during sleep or SNIP less than 40% predicted. Some studies suggest that an early introduction of NIV may increase survival (20,21), reduce respiratory-related energy expenditure (22) and improve adherence to therapy and QOL (23). Clearly, formal studies are needed to better define the precise timing of this intervention. Once initiated, poor optimization of NIV represents an independent risk factor for mortality (24). However, there are no randomized control trial data available that have compared the specific parameters of bilevel modes of ventilation across various patient cohorts. Different strategies have been used to optimize patient comfort, including adjusting the type of mask and fittings, providing humidified air and testing different Bi-PAP pressure settings. Despite these measures, up to 30% of MND patients cannot tolerate therapy due to secondary effects of anxiety, emotional lability from pseudobulbar palsy, excessive salivation, clauthrophobia and nasal bridge soreness (25). Importantly, the key factors that affect overall compliance with this treatment are the presence of cognitive impairment (26) or bulbar dysfunction (27), with bulbar onset patients six times less likely to tolerate NIV than those with limb onset disease (28). Invasive ventilatory support has been explored as an option for MND patients not able to utilize NIV. In patients with severe bulbar dysfunction and in those
whose respiratory function has deteriorated despite the use of NIV, tracheostomy remains a consideration. Although this provides adequate respiratory support and simplifies the suction of secretions, tracheostomy is associated with an increased risk of lung infection, site infection, bleeding and tracheoesophageal fistula formation (29). It has been suggested that the majority of the complications may relate to the use of cuffed tracheostomy tubes, with one study showing that 48 of 52 cuffed tracheostomy tube users died from tube-related complications (30). In addition, Sancho et al. (31) demonstrated prolonged survival in patients with the use of intermittent positive pressure ventilatory support using uncuffed tracheostomy tubes. However, the use of the uncuffed tubes is transient in current clinical practice, often only employed until excessive air-leaks and/or hypoventilation demand a cuffed tracheostomy tube. Another invasive option that has been actively explored recently is electrical stimulation of the diaphragm, referred to as diaphragmatic pacing. This intervention was originally developed to assist patients with spinal cord injuries. A pilot study conducted in 16 MND patients showed benefits in terms of a reduction in FVC decline, quantitative improvement of diaphragmatic movement and the delayed need for respiratory support (32). However, a more recent randomized controlled trial contradicted these findings, suggesting that diaphragm pacing may conversely reduce survival, possibly by affecting vulnerable motor neurones or causing excessive muscle fatigue (33). Ultimately, however, performing any invasive treatment in a progressive and incurable disease remains an ethically challenging concept. Future efforts must be focused on determining more accurate testing for early respiratory failure, optimal time to initiate NIV and the comfortable adaptation of NIV devices for patients with bulbar weakness.

Respiration can also be assisted using an electrical stimulation of the diaphragm to produce contractions (diaphragmatic pacing). It is a procedure originally meant for patients with cervical spine injury (34), but still experimental in MND. Four electrodes are placed on the motor roots of the phrenic nerves on the abdominal surface of the diaphragm. Thus, it is only effective if the diaphragm still retains some innervation (33). The diaphragmatic pacing in patients with respiratory muscle weakness due to motor neurone disease study (DIPALS) is an ongoing randomized controlled trial (RCT) assessing the efficacy of diaphragmatic pacing among MND patients in some UK hospitals.

Apart from hypoventilation, respiratory weakness also impairs cough (35). Insufficient cough results in recurrent chest infection, which is the leading cause of hospitalization in MND (36). The strength of the patients’ cough is assessed with a peak flow meter and reported as suboptimal if the peak cough-flow (PCF) is less than 270/min (37). Cough can be augmented using intensive physiotherapy and manoeuvres like tussive squeeze and mechanical in-exsufflator (MI-E). Evidence for MI-E is weak, but it has been suggested that it could be effective in MND patients for cough management (38,39).

**Nutritional management**

Metabolic changes described in MND patients include fluctuations in weight, cholesterol and insulin resistance and are linked to disease progression and prognosis (40). Clinically asymptomatic thiamine deficiency has been reported in 28% of MND patients, with Wernicke encephalopathy found in approximately 10% of cases (41). In addition, elevated serum lipids have recently been identified as a potential positive factor for survival (42). Weight loss is associated with a more rapid disease progression and is a negative survival factor (43,44). The causes of malnutrition appear multi-factorial and can result from swallowing dysfunction, feeding difficulties due to loss of limb strength and dexterity, neuropsychiatric issues and the development of a hypermetabolic state (45,46). Weight must be regularly monitored, and weight loss and dysphagia ideally managed by an experienced speech pathologist, with video-fluoroscopy review and guidance in food consistency and safe swallowing techniques (such as chin tuck and upright position). Occupational therapists can assist with functional aids for feeding difficulties including provision of specialized cutlery, with support from carers and family members for additional assistance at meal times. Dieticians can assist with nutritional supplementation, including thiamine replacement (41). The benefits of various diets such as high protein, high fat, high carbohydrate and high calorie intake on disease progression have been trialled, with inconsistent results (47). With disease progression, malnutrition may inevitably occur, requiring more invasive nutritional intervention. The option for enteral feeding should be discussed early in the course of the disease and considered when 10% or more of baseline weight has been lost (44). If appropriate, nutritional interventions can be commenced via nasogastric tube or via gastrostomy insertion, either through percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG), percutaneous radiological insertion of gastrostomy (RIG) or per-oral image-guided gastrostomy (PIG) (48,49).
Currently, there is no comparative data regarding differences in median survival or patient tolerance between different feeding mode, and the choice is often guided by clinical practice and experience. A nasogastric feeding tube (NG-tube) is passed through the nares, down the oesophagus and into the stomach, primary advantage of the NG-tube is that it is temporary and relatively non-invasive to place, meaning it can be removed or replaced at any time without surgery. NG-tubes can have complications, particularly related to accidental removal of the tube and nasal irritation. Besides, it may create negative cosmetic impact and require frequent changes. PEG is the most commonly used method, but can be hazardous in patients with concurrent respiratory muscle weakness and moderate-to-severe respiratory failure (mainly due to the risk of aspiration). This must therefore be discussed before either respiratory failure or severe dysphagia occurs. RIG has a higher success rate and is better tolerated, and as minimal or local sedation is required it can be considered in patients with impaired respiratory function (even when FVC is less than 50%) (46). Similarly, the newer fluoroscopic PIG technique uses minimal sedation and has reported lower complication rates, but is not yet as widely practised (50). If gastrostomy is contraindicated, home parenteral nutrition is occasionally considered for longer term nutritional support, with recent evidence showing improvement in nutritional status for these patients (51). However, this is inconsistently and uncommonly used in clinical practice. The complications of gastrostomy insertion can be related to the surgery (e.g., gastric haemorrhage, localized infection, peritonitis or failure of PEG placement), direct gastrointestinal changes (e.g., vomiting, diarrhoea or constipation), or to the stoma (e.g., peri-stomal infection, buried bumper syndrome). Significant aspiration causing death due to secondary respiratory arrest or peritonitis (after PEG or RIG placement, respectively) has also been reported in the literature (52,53). However, most complications are minor, with reported rates varying between 16% and 70%. Type and frequency of all complications (including mortality) within the first month of insertion are similar, irrespective of the procedure chosen (PEG vs. RIG) (53).

**Other symptomatic management**

**Common symptoms faced by MND patients are summarized in Table 1.**

**Sialorrhoea**

Excessive salivation is common in MND as bulbar dysfunction worsens and can be embarrassing or result in aspiration. Amitriptyline, atropine, botulin toxin type-b (BTX-B), and external irradiation of the salivary gland have all been tried in the control of sialorrhoea. Costa *et al.* (54) evaluated the efficacy and safety of BTX-B in the treatment of sialorrhoea in patients with a bulbar onset MND in an open-labelled prospective study that involved the injection of BTX-B into the parotid and submandibular glands. They observed that most patients reported a better QOL while on treatment and a mean reduction of symptom severity of 70%. The most commonly reported side effects include viscous saliva, local pain, chewing weakness, and respiratory infection.

**Bronchial secretion**

Bulbar impairment results in poor clearance of tenacious sputum. Mucus accumulation is a poor prognostic factor in patients on NIV (55). No RCT exists for any of the treatment approaches in MND. EFNS recommends the use of mucolytic such as N-acetyl cysteine when there is sufficient cough flow.

**Pseudobulbar affect**

This is observed in up to 50% of MND patients (56). Yawning, weeping, and laughing are the characteristic presentation. Pseudobulbar affect has a negative impact on QOL. Small placebo controlled trials and case series have observed the effectiveness of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) and tricyclic antidepressants in controlling this symptom (57,58). Pioro *et al.* (59) evaluated the treatment of pseudobulbar affect in patients with multiple sclerosis and MND in a randomized-controlled trial using 30/10 and 20/10 mg dextromethorphan plus ultra-low-dose quinidine. They reported that dextromethorphan

---

**Table 1** Common symptoms faced by MND patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sialorrhoea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudobulbar affect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial secretions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle cramps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia and fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired swallowing and malnutrition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MND, motor neurone disease.
plus ultra-low-dose quinidine is effective in reducing the frequency and severity of symptoms and improving patients’ QOL especially at the dose of 30/10 mg combination when compared with placebo. EFNS recommends citalopram (SSRI) and amitriptyline (TCA) for treatment of troublesome cases of pseudobulbar affect (59). A fixed dose combination of dextromethorphan/quinidine (30 mg/30 mg) is the recommended treatment option of AAN (12).

**Cramps**

Cochrane review found no evidence to recommend any particular treatment in MND-associated cramps, which are a common symptom of the disease (60). Quinine is the most commonly used therapy but its use for cramps is restricted in the USA because of reports of rare but serious haematological and cardiac events (665 serious events and 93 deaths between 1969 and 2006). A further Cochrane review of quinine in patients with various causes of cramp reported some evidence that quinine was safe and effective (60). The adverse effects of quinine are dose-dependent, and the low doses of quinine used in the management of cramps may not necessarily be associated with the serious adverse effects reported in the USA. Alternatively, the studies included in the review could be too small to detect very rare events. In the absence of an effective alternative, the AAN recommends that quinine can be used in MND, but only as a last resort. UK guidelines recommend using quinine as first choice, whereas European guidelines recommend a trial of levetiracetam first, based on the findings of one small study (61). More recently, a small trial, published in 2016, suggested that low-dose mexiletine was well tolerated and reduced the frequency of cramps (61).

It is usually troublesome particularly at night. A RCT failed to support the efficacy of tetracannabinoid in treating moderate to severe cramp (62). A small open-labelled pilot study confirmed that levetiracetam is useful for treatment of cramps in MND patients (62). Modalities such as massage, physical exercise, hydrotherapy, heated pools, and drugs like carbamazepine, diazepam, phenytoin, and verapamil have all been tried without any conclusive evidence. EFNS recommends levetiracetam, quinine sulfate, and physical therapies for management of cramp in MND.

**Spasticity**

Management of spasticity aims to reduce the impact of increased muscle tone and prevent complications, such as pressure sores or contractures (63-65). Attention should be paid to treating aggravating factors (such as constipation or pain), as well as to posture and seating. Physiotherapy, including passive stretching, can be helpful. One randomized controlled trial of 25 patients indicated that prescribed exercise was associated with small improvements in disability and spasticity (66). Physical therapy is the main treatment modality for spasticity that has its usefulness established from randomized controlled trial in literature (66). Physical therapy methods in use include therapeutic exercise, stretching, positioning, casting, and biofeedback. Other interventions with no controlled trial evidence include heat/cold therapy, hydrotherapy, ultrasound, electrical stimulation, and chemodenervation and rarely surgery can be used (66). Intrathecal Baclofen is the drug of choice in intractable cases (67). Drugs such as Dantrolene, Tetracemam, and Tizanidine have not been tested in MND in clinical practice but are recommended by EFNS. Nonpharmacological treatment modalities should first be deployed before pharmacological interventions are introduced if symptoms do not improve. These drugs should be used with caution in MND patients as they can cause depression of respiration and worsening of weakness. Physical therapy can be combined with one or more of the antispasticity drugs (68).

**Insomnia and fatigue**

Insomnia is common in the final stages of MND probably due to cramps, pain, and respiratory impairment (69). Amitriptyline and Zolpidem are some of the medications used in practice without being tested. Fatigue is potentially debilitating and may be of central or peripheral origin. An open-labeled trial confirmed the effectiveness of Modafinil in the treatment of fatigue in MND (70,71).

**Cognitive impairment**

MND is associated with a frontotemporal type of dementia and it is associated with a negative impact on survival (72). Cognitive impairment has been demonstrated in 20–50% of patients with MND. A number of screening instruments are available for assessing cognitive impairment in MND, but EFNS recommends the use of tools that can assesses verbal fluency as a major component of any test instrument (70).

**Communication**

It is important for effective social interactions. Subtle changes may be seen as word finding difficulties, spelling difficulty, and decreased verbal output. Language impairment results in difficulties in clinical management and decreases QOL of patients and caregivers (73). EFNS recommends 3–6 monthly assessment; full neuropsychology test; and use of communication aids like computerized speech synthesizer (69).
Supportive and PC

PC and care at the end of life
The experience at the end of life can have a significant impact on patients and their families (74-76). Clinical trials in PC have many ethical and practical challenges, and guidance is based on expert consensus (74). Owing to the complexities of the management of advance amyotrophic sclerosis (ALS), guidelines recommend that PC teams should be involved early and throughout the disease (75).

In recent literature, role of early PC had shown promising evidence beyond solid malignancies (76). More clinical collaboration and evidence had been established in early PC involvement in advanced organ failure (77), haematological malignancies (78,79) and geriatrics population (62).

Patients welcome the ability to participate in advanced care planning, which enables them to discuss and document their attitudes towards future events and medical interventions; however, enactment of these wishes is not always straightforward, and decisions should be reviewed regularly (80,81). Patients’ preferred place of death is usually at home, but distressing symptoms, unanticipated crisis or increasing carer burden can make end-of-life care at home challenging. Advanced planning, good communication and access to expertise in palliative and social care, particularly out of office hours, can avert crises and promote a peaceful death. Anticipatory prescribing of symptomatic therapies in a range of routes (including via gastrostomy, transdermal patches or subcutaneous infusions) is encouraged (82). The Motor Neuron Disease Association (UK) has developed a “Just-in-case” box in which medication can be stored in a patient's home (83). Carers or clinicians (such as ambulance practitioners or nurses) can then use these medications to treat terminal symptoms.

Common symptoms faced by MND patients approaching end-of-life and management options are summarized in Table 2.

Carer support and volunteer service
Informal carers (usually spouses) provide the majority of care for those with MND. This role has a significant negative impact on carers’ physical and emotional wellbeing, burden and QOL (77,84). Carer distress increases with patient distress and with disease progression, and when they are faced with behavioural problems or they lack social and medical support. Carers identify the need for provision of information and training in subjects such as manual handling, as well as the availability of respite care, counselling and access to trained paid-for care (85). PC volunteer roles vary considerably by organization and country as well as over time. It is delivered throughout the

Table 2 Symptom management for MND patients approaching end-of-life (82,83)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms approaching end-of-life</th>
<th>Pharmacological treatment option</th>
<th>Dosage and route at treatment initiation</th>
<th>Additions &amp; non-pharmacological treatment option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>Strong opioids</td>
<td>Morphine 5–10 mg PO/NG tube/PEG/SC</td>
<td>Electric fans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>Fentanyl 25–50 microgram SC</td>
<td>Non-invasive ventilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory secretions</td>
<td>Hyoscine butylbromide</td>
<td>Hyoscine butylbromide 20–40 mg SC</td>
<td>Cough augmentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glycopyrronium</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chest physiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Non-opioids in mild pain</td>
<td>Morphine 5–10 mg PO/NG tube/PEG/SC</td>
<td>Proper positioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Opioids in refractory pain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transdermal opioid patch as alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal agitation</td>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>Lorazepam 0.5 mg PO/NG tube/PEG</td>
<td>Arrangement of single quiet room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Midazolam 2.5 mg SC</td>
<td>Encourage family accompany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety upon ventilation withdrawal</td>
<td>Opioids</td>
<td>Morphine 5–10 mg SC</td>
<td>Continuous SC benzodiazepine infusion in refractory cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>Midazolam 5–10 mg SC</td>
<td>May require continuous SC opioid/benzodiazepine infusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MND, motor neurone disease; PO, per-oral; NG, nasogastric; SC, subcutaneous; PEG, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy.
world in a wide range of settings, such as purpose-built hospices, day care units, and nursing homes. They assist in psychosocial care, bereavement care, organization of day center activities, hair cutting, musical performance, and engagement in patients’ life review. The roles of volunteers are thought to be complementary to those of professional staff in the care of advanced MND patients. The literature has reported that family caregivers appreciate the emotional support, availability, sustained relationships, and respite that volunteers can provide (86). Role of PC team in the care of advanced MND patients is summarized in Table 3.

## Conclusions

Understanding the specific detail of how best to deliver the interventions described in this review, such as MDC, NIV and nutritional support, is essential to ensure patients derive the benefits (87). Given the clear evidence of benefit of NIV, improving the assessment and optimization of respiratory function should be a priority (88). Another priority should be the effort to evaluate rehabilitative strategies, such as speech therapy programmes and device provision.
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