The influence of relationships on the meaning making process: patients’ perspectives
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Background: The diagnosis of a chronic or life limiting illness followed by treatment often requires an adjustment to life goals and expectations. With added existential concerns, patients’ struggle to redefine life meaning while also finding ways to alleviate any distress that may occur. Central to the work of many scholars, meaning making is thought to be a vital component of negotiating traumatic life events while also essential to the positive adjustment in chronic illness and healing. Information gained from science about meaning making is an important link to the medical community as it provides physicians with insight to increase patient centered care. The purpose of this qualitative study was to expand our understanding of meaning making for an individual diagnosed with a chronic or life limiting illness. Also, to explore the connection, if any, to how meaning making may lead to an outcome of psychosocial spiritual healing or exacerbate distress.

Methods: The goal of this secondary data analysis was to examine the influences of meaning making to determine its impact on a patient’s sense of healing. This study utilized data collected during in-person interviews using a convenience sample of 30 palliative care patients. The original study was conducted at three different locations: the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (NIH), a large research institution in Bethesda, Maryland; Johns Hopkins Suburban Hospital, a community hospital in Bethesda, Maryland; and Mobile Medical Care (Mobile Med), a community clinic located in Rockville, Maryland. A total of 56 potential participants were approached based on convenience sampling with 30 participants enrolled (54%).

Results: The overall theme that emerged indicated a strong emphasis on meaning making through relationships, specifically an increase of meaning in family relationships, the connection to friends, and a change in compassion towards others.

Conclusions: Further investigation is needed to explore relationships as a variable in finding meaning during life limiting illness among patients, loved ones and their physicians. It is clear that developing meaning is a central mechanism to the construct of healing.

Keywords: Palliative care; meaning making; healing; psychosocial spiritual

Submitted Mar 15, 2017. Accepted for publication May 15, 2017.
doi: 10.21037/apm.2017.06.10
View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm.2017.06.10
**Introduction**

The search for the meaning of health, illness, and self after diagnosis and during treatment of a chronic or life-limiting disease is an ongoing process. Previous research has shown that adjusting to this type of life situation may require individuals to modify their life goals and expectations, given that serious illness often interferes with goals and long-term plans in life (1). Further, existential concerns triggered by the onset and progression of disease may lead to the need to make sense of illness or give it meaning (2). Scholars continue to examine the subjective variable of meaning making in illness as a method to understand the patient and also provide valuable information to the medical community that may increase person-focused/patient-centered care as opposed to disease-focused care (3-5).

Although meaning making as a response to the diagnosis of a threatening life event is not well understood, scholars considered it to be a measurable concept and urge continued work to seek better understanding (3,6-8). Meaning was established as being an important part of the outcome and process of negotiating traumatic life events (9) and thought to be significant in the process of positive adjustment in chronic illness and healing through restructuring or re-evaluating the situation (2,10-12).

Recent evidence indicates that those who find meaning in life may be better able to adjust to medical challenges including improvement in quality of life. Total quality of life was related to the meaning ascribed to illness among patients diagnosed with stage I and stage II lung cancer (13). Meaning of illness, social support, and coping were measured in a sample of 85 patients and 85 family members. The Meaning of Illness Questionnaire (14), which included subscales of impact, meaning/expectation, managing, and burden was used. The patients’ who reported the ability to manage their illness had the highest quality of life scores, and those who ascribed positive or optimistic meaning to their illness reported a greater ability to live with the illness. Similarly, cancer patients with higher meaning in life reported improved quality of life (15). Even in traumatic situations, meaning was associated with higher psychological well-being in a sample of individuals living with spinal cord injury (16).

Theoretically, Frankl (17) proposed that finding meaning is a part of human nature and is central to pursuing a life characterized as purposeful and goal-oriented. Bandura [1986] expounded on Frankl’s thought and proposed that all human behavior is driven by meaning and goals, and that these goals are central to a person’s ability to create meaning in stressful life events such as a diagnosis of life-threatening illness (8). Frankl’s proposition was later described as global meaning, which gives direction for one’s life (18). Global meaning encompasses connections that give people meaning, and their beliefs and expectations for the future (8,19), as well as subjective emotions (20). Adding to the foundation set by these scholars, Berlin (21) suggested that meaning is created from external sources of information. It is in the process of internalizing this information that the structures of personal values, norms, and roles are created. The wide variety of external sources one is exposed to during this process results in an individual’s sociocultural foundation.

Leary and Tangney (22) further framed this outcome as global beliefs. These global beliefs develop into views about the self and justice. It is these views that influence the core schemas that people use to evaluate life events (23). It is when unfavorable life events take place, such as the diagnosis of a life-limiting illness, a person uses core schemas to appraise the situation. An incongruence between the event and core schema (global beliefs) can lead to loss of homeostasis and cause distress. During this time, a person struggles to regain stability and alleviate the distress to gain understanding and direction by forming new meaning. As a part of the meaning making process, when one’s core beliefs are being challenged, the current event and their prior life experiences are evaluated with intense focusing on purpose (24). This new meaning, developed as a result of the life event, is referred to as situational meaning (8,24,25), which is in turn used to adjust and make the current situation bearable. This ascribed meaning influences the perception, either positive or negative, of the disease (13). The new meaning may result in the desired stability and alleviation of distress or it can exacerbate the sense of instability and distress if the discrepancy experienced is not resolved (25-28). Sherman et al. (29) reported that when global meaning increases, distress decreases thereby supporting an improvement in quality of life. In their study, participants diagnosed with a life-threatening illness who reported higher global meaning also reported lower distress and improved health-related quality of life. These multiple examples of the effects of meaning making during illness are thought to possibly influence the healing process.

Skeath and colleagues (30) completed a study exploring the attainability of healing in patients diagnosed with a life-limiting illness who also had an aggressive disease trajectory and poor prognosis. The study was a qualitative approach.
to determine the process of healing. The findings described subjective healing in palliative care as a reduction in psychosocial or spiritual suffering until the patient decides they have reached a place of healing.

The purpose of the current qualitative study was to expand our understanding of meaning making for an individual diagnosed with a chronic or life limiting illness. Also, to explore the connection, if any, of how meaning making may lead to an outcome of psychosocial spiritual healing or exacerbate distress.

Methods

The goal of this secondary data analysis was to examine the influences of meaning making to determine its impact on a patient's sense of healing.

Design

The current study utilized data collected during in-person interviews using a convenience sample of 30 palliative care patients. The original study was conducted at three different locations: the National Institutes of Health Clinical Center (NIH), a large research institution in Bethesda, Maryland; Johns Hopkins Suburban Hospital, a community hospital in Bethesda, Maryland; and Mobile Medical Care (Mobile Med), a community clinic located in Rockville, Maryland. The Institutional Review Boards and appropriate research governing bodies approved the protocol. The purpose of the original study was to conduct cognitive interviews, using semi-structured questions, aimed at establishing conceptual definitions such as the construct of healing. Willis (31) identifies semi-structured interviews as an accepted approach for conducting cognitive interviews.

The interviews took place during a 5-month period between February and June 2016. Eligible participants spoke English, were above the age of 18, and seen by a palliative care provider at one of the three sites. Exclusion criteria included patients with known brain metastases because of their poor prognosis and because they often develop progressive neurologic dysfunction that would confound the evaluation of the assessment questions. Interview question prompts were guided by items from the Healing Experience in All Life Stressors (HEALS) instrument (32). The HEALS is a 54-item self-administered scale that measures psycho social spiritual healing.

The interviews asked participants about their understanding of the items on the HEALS and the extent to which they agreed or disagreed with such statements. The response set was a 5 point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Participants were encouraged to elaborate on their understanding and explain specifically how the statement related to his/her life. The responses to the elaboration question are the subject of this paper.

Sample

A total of 56 potential participants were approached based on convenience sampling with 30 participants enrolled (54%). A description of the study group can be found in Table 1. Most interviews were conducted at the NIH (80%). Participants self-classified race/ethnicity, with Caucasians making up majority of the sample. Gender was slightly less than equal with males exceeding females by 11%. Seventy-seven percent of the sample had some form of college education up to and including post graduate degrees. Given the presence of a life limiting diagnosis, 60% of participants did not work. The largest age groups of participants were split between ages 26–35 (20%), 46–55 (20%), and 56–65 (26%) with 47% self-reporting as married.

Procedures

Screening for eligibility was based on medical record search and palliative care clinician referrals. After introducing the study in detail, written informed consent inclusive of consent for audio recording was obtained at each site. The demographics form was given to the participant for completion. The participant was then informed when the audio recorder was started. Trained members of the research team conducted the interviews in private inpatient or outpatient rooms. The session involved a semi structured interview oriented toward eliciting the sequence of experiences and decisions that led to the selected answer on the HEALS. All interviews occurred in-person and took between 30–90 minutes to complete with one interview lasting 120 minutes.

Data analysis plan

This qualitative study was guided by a grounded theory approach to the analysis of the original data. The analysis was a line by line process for coding allowing for the simplification of the data from patient narratives and served as a means to organize it into to meaningful categories, leading to theme development (33).
Results

The overall theme that emerged indicated a strong emphasis on meaning making through relationships—connecting with family and friends, and finding more compassion for others. For instance, some responders indicate that family relationships were more meaningful especially after diagnosis, and specifically with their children. One participant implied that she has always had a meaningful relationship and went on to say “… I think that what happened is, is that um, w-w-we’ve always had uh, meaningful relations, but…, given the circumstances, I mean, we had a moment the other day when my son started talking about how important it [our relationship] was to him.” She went on to say “… it’s not as though I need to hear this to believe it—but sometimes you do have to say it.”

In the same vein, thoughts about dying brought more meaning to life, the patient referred to kids and grandchildren growing up, “I wanna see a life with my kids. So, yeah it’s a very big meaning in my life right now. Specially, I just became grandpa too.” Another participant stated she was “aware of who I am and of relationships with family.” Another respondent, when asked about being present responded, “both physically and mentally…being there with … children and focusing attention on them.”

As a result of diagnosis/illness, two of the respondents found new meaning through family relationships, which suggests some level of adjustment by finding this new meaning and developing situational meaning. The later of the quotes encompasses Frankl’s (17) definition of meaning, being inclusive of purpose and goal orientation as indicated by the respondent’s desire to spend time with kids and also referring to a new grandchild, bringing about a sense of fulfillment. Newly discovered sense of purpose has been found to support positive adjustment and leading to a reduction in the symptoms of distress during life threatening illness (34-36).

In addition to the connections with family, one respondent spoke of the importance of friendships in terms of meaning, the relationship became “more connected.” Overall, respondents did not necessarily ask for support from friends, one participant did not seek meaning but experienced the development through “mental and physical presence” and through “interaction with more content, more sharing information, and more conversation.” The interaction and sharing of information has the potential to influence the reduction in of distress as the psychosocial
adjustment to illness is often time very distressful (37).

When asked about changes in compassion for others, two participants shared that “I understand more and I can see situations better” and “I’ve tried hard to understand other people’s point of view.” Another patient, when asked about deepening relationships, stated that it “took a toll for the good… with a past relationship.”

**Discussion**

The data collected in this study suggest the development of meaning is gained through relationships, specifically an increase of meaning in family relationships, the connection to friends, and a change in compassion towards others. A common societal misperception of meaning making is that it is a personal, individual journey. The Presence of Meaning theory proposes that meaning is generated when individuals view their lives as significant and purposeful (6). This suggests that it is based on relationships with others rather than an individual pursuit. The participants in this study reported finding significance and purpose, when life limiting illnesses strengthens their relationships and they have what they felt as a valued place in that world. A possible explanation for this phenomenon could be the process of self-reintegration that takes place in the presence of a terminal illness (36). As a part of the self-reintegration, patients find it necessary to re-evaluate relationships, even in the absence of an end of life situation. During this examination, the realization of mortality prevails and relationships become important. This awareness brings about a sense of urgency to be a part of relationships, and reawaken in others the longing to create meaning. This type of information is valuable to health care providers as a segue to discuss the inclusion of family members as support when establishing the goals of care.

Healthcare providers see patients during life-altering moments when they are diagnosed and treated for serious illnesses. While the immediate concerns often center on day-to-day medical interventions, the act of healing extends beyond addressing the physical malady—because the impact of illnesses reverberates the core of an individual, or their global meaning. What is a singer to do if she loses her voice from the cancer and subsequent treatment? What about a husband and father who is the sole provider for his family and can no longer work because of illness? When patients’ core identities are challenged through life-threatening illnesses, treating the disease is a crucial first step, but intentionally providing space for conversations on the impact of illness and meaning making can facilitate the process of healing. The presence of a caregiver, family, and friends seem to allow patients to maintain purpose and have meaning, supporting the ability to cope with the life altering situation.

Little research exists to substantiate relationships as a conduit to develop meaning in the process of psychosocial spiritual healing. However, Kaptchuk and Eisenberg (38) propose that a vital component of healing is connectivity, which often manifests as compassion, adding value to the importance of relationships. Other literature speaks of healing relationships that foster a sense of belonging and safety giving patients a sense of empowerment (39).

This research helps provide preliminary evidence of what mechanisms are involved in the healing process (i.e., the focusing on relationships). Though, not all responses mentioned value changes in relationships post diagnosis, one participant stated there was “an appreciation for others’ lives” after diagnosis; while another spoke of an effort to “keep the relationships going”.

Of interest is that in the quantitative portion of this study most agreed that relationships with others is an important aspect of developing meaning after diagnosis of a life limiting illness. Specifically, 80% of participants agreed that relationships with others had deepened since diagnosis, 81% agreed that relationships with friends were more meaningful, and 86% felt that family relationships had become more meaningful.

**Conclusions**

Future investigations could explore relationships as a variable in finding meaning during life limiting illness, particularly among patients and their loved-ones or among patients and their physicians. Upon further validation, the HEALS assessment could serve as a diagnostic tool to identify the strengths of patient relationships, which will be helpful for health care professionals as they attempt to provide holistic, patient-centered care.
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